	1		3
1		1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	2 3	FEDERAL STIPULATIONS
3	JOSE GUZMAN,	4	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by
4	PLAINTIFF,	5	and between the counsel for the respective
5	Action No. 1 -against- Case No.:	6	parties herein that the sealing, filing and
6	1:16-cv-03499-GBD	7	certification of the within deposition be
7 8	MEL S. HARRIS & ASSOCIATES, LLC, et al., DEFENDANTS,	8	waived; that the original of the deposition
0	DEFENDANTS,	9	may be signed and sworn to by the witness
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	10	before anyone authorized to administer an
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	11	oath, with the same effect as if signed
10	AGUSTINA BUENO,	12	before a Judge of the Court; that an
11	PLAINTIFF,	13	unsigned copy of the deposition may be used
12	Action No. 2 -against- Case No.:	14	with the same force and effect as if signed
13	1:16-cv-04737-WFK-VMS	15	by the witness, 30 days after service of the
14 15	MEL S. HARRIS & ASSOCIATES, LLC, et al., DEFENDANTS.	16	original & 1 copy of same upon counsel for
15	DEFENDANTS.	17	the witness.
16	DATE: April 6, 2017	18	
17	TIME: 12:02 p.m.	19	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
18	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of a Non-Party	20	that all objections except as to form, are
19	Witness, TODD FABACHER, taken by the	21	reserved to the time of trial.
20 21	respective parties, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, held at the Law	22	
22	Office of Ahmad Keshavarz, 16 Court Street,	23	* * * *
23 24	26th Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11241, before	24	
25	Richard Aurelio, a Notary Public of the State of New York.	25	
	2		4
-	_		
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1	T. FABACHER
3 4		2	MR. KESHAVARZ: Could you mark
-	THE LAW OFFICE OF AHMAD KESHAVARZ, ESQS.	3	these as exhibits, please.
5	Attorneys for the Plaintiff in both actions	4	(Whereupon, the aforementioned
6	16 Court Street, 26th Floor	5	subpoenas were marked as Plaintiff's
7	Brooklyn, New York 11241 BY: AHMAD KESHAVARZ, ESQ.	6	Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as
0	-and-	8	of this date by the Reporter.) TODD FABACHER, called as a
8 9	JESSICA MOODY, ESQ.	9	
10	HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS, LLP Attorneys for the Defendants	10	witness, having been first duly sworn by a Notary Public of the State of New York, was
11	LR CREDIT 13, LLC in Action No. 1	11	examined and testified as follows:
12	LR CREDIT 18, LLC in Action No. 2 450 Lexington Avenue, 14th Floor	12	EXAMINATION BY
	New York, New York 10017	13	MR. KESHAVARZ:
13 14	BY: BENJAMIN P. MILLS, ESQ.	14	Q. Please state your name for the
15	O'HARE PARNAGIAN LLP	15	record.
16	Attorneys for the Defendants SAMSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK	16	A. Todd Fabacher, T-O-D-D,
1.77	in both actions	17	F-A-B-A-C-H-E-R.
17	82 Wall Street, Suite 300 New York, New York 10005	18	Q. Where do you reside?
18 19	BY: JEFFREY S. LICHTMAN, ESQ.	19	A. 447 West 18th Street, Apartment
20	KAUFMAN DOLOWICH & VOLUCK, LLP	20	7E, New York, New York 10011.
21	Attorneys for the Non-Party Witness TODD FABACHER	21	Q. Thank you, Mr. Fabacher for your
	135 Crossways Park Drive, Suite 201	22	time. Appreciate it.
22	Woodbury, New York 11797 BY: BRETT A. SCHER, ESQ.	23	A. Yes, sir.
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	* * *	24	O. You're here testifying in response
24 25	* * *	24 25	Q. You're here testifying in response to two subpoenas, Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2,

	5		7
1	T. FABACHER	1	T. FABACHER
2	for the Guzman and Bueno cases; is that	2	it's
3	correct?	3	MR. KESHAVARZ: Where do
4	A. I assume yes. I got subpoenas,	4	MR. MILLS: it's a waste of
5		5	time.
6	SO.  O You got sarryed Exhibits 1 and 2:	6	MR. KESHAVARZ: You don't want to
7	Q. You got served Exhibits 1 and 2;	7	
	is that correct?  A. Can I read them?	8	agree to that?
8		1 -	MR. LICHTMAN: You served a
9	Q. Take your time.	9	subpoena in this case with a notice in
10	A. Yeah.	10	this case. So, to the extent that this
11	(Perusing documents.)	11	is a properly noticed deposition
12	I just want to make sure that this	12	without objection prior to the
13	is the yes, that's correct.	13	deposition, that's what it is.
14	Q. Okay.	14	But to the extent you might use
15	MR. KESHAVARZ: Do we have an	15	this for whatever purpose, I don't
16	agreement, counsel, that this	16	know.
17	deposition could be used for both the	17	MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. Let's
18	Bueno and the Guzman cases?	18	get the judges on the phone.
19	MR. LICHTMAN: I think this	19	MR. SCHER: Just noting for the
20	deposition has been pursuant to	20	record that this is counting against
21	subpoenas served in each case.	21	your your time against my client
22	MR. KESHAVARZ: So, yes?	22	is here as a non-party witness. I
23	MR. LICHTMAN: That's the fact	23	don't care about what dispute you have
24	that it's been served as subpoenas in	24	amongst counsel.
25	both cases.	25	He's here, he's ready, willing and
			· · ·
	6		8
1	T. FABACHER	1	T. FABACHER
2	MR. KESHAVARZ: I know but I just	2	able to testify.
3	want to make sure that	3	MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood.
4	MR. LICHTMAN: And we'll talk	4	THE WITNESS: Just to let you
5	about the use when you seek to admit	5	know, your subpoena's actually wrong.
6	whatever you want to admit in whatever	6	Because
7	case.	7	MR. SCHER: I'm there's
8	MR. KESHAVARZ: Do you really want	8	there's no question.
9	to go to with	9	THE WITNESS: Oh.
10	MR. MILLS: I join.	10	MR. SCHER: You're not answering
11	MR. KESHAVARZ: with the judge	11	anything.
12	on this? All right. Let's get the	12	Q. Did you have a concern that one of
13	judge on the phone. This is a waste of	13	the subpoenas there's an issue with the
14	everyone's time.	14	subpoenas, Mr
15	MR. SCHER: Ahmad, we have a	15	A. No. I'm going to listen to my
16	non-party witness here.	16	counsel.
17	MR. KESHAVARZ: Can we agree	17	MR. MILLS: Sir, are we still on
18	MR. SCHER: The clock is ticking.	18	the record or
19	MR. MILLS: You're an hour late.		
20		19 20	MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes, we're still
21	Can you start this?		on the record. We're on the record.
	MR. KESHAVARZ: Can we agree that	21	MR. SCHER: Not your problem.
22	we can use this in both depositions	22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's not my
23	in both cases or not?	23	problem.
24	MR. MILLS: You know where we	24	Q. Is there an issue do you
25	stand. If you want to call the judge,	25	believe there is an issue with the
			2 (Pages 5 to 8)

9 11 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 subpoenas? 2 defendants did not object. But now, 3 3 A. No, no, no, no. It's not my they won't agree that I could use the 4 deposition in both cases. 4 problem. 5 5 O. I didn't understand -- I didn't So, the request for relief is an say that. Do you believe that there's an 6 order from the Court to authorize me to б issue with the subpoenas? 7 7 use this deposition in -- in both the 8 A. I don't know. I'm not an 8 Guzman and the Bueno cases. 9 attorney, man. 9 And as soon as we get off the Q. Do you believe there's --10 phone, I'm going to call the District 10 11 A. I'm --11 -- the Magistrate in Guzman and request 12 the same relief. So, that's the -- the 12 O. -- an issue with the subpoenas? 13 A. -- I'm not an attorney. So, how 13 relief requested. can I tell you whether it's a -- wrong or LAW SECRETARY: Okay. Just so I 14 14 15 15 understand -- make sure I understand not? 16 Q. Do you have an opinion about 16 it. You -- a dep of a non-party whether there's -witness in this and another case, the 17 17 18 A. I have no opinion. 18 SDNY. And you noticed it to be held (Whereupon, a telephone call was jointly. Defendants in both cases 19 19 20 made to the Chambers of the Hon. Vera 20 didn't object. But now, they do not want you to use the deposition in both 21 M. Scanlon, U.S. Magistrate and the 21 following proceedings were had.) 22 cases? 22 23 MR. KESHAVARZ: Hi, this is Ahmad 23 MR. KESHAVARZ: They won't agree 24 Keshavarz. I'm calling in the Bueno 24 that I can use the depositions in both 25 case with Judge Scanlon. We're on the 25 cases. They want to be able to object 10 12 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2. record in a deposition, and we have a to its use. So, that's the dispute. 3 3 dispute for the Judge to resolve if MR. LICHTMAN: Well, that's she's available. And I can go off the 4 actually -- hi. This is Jeff Lichtman. 4 record if you would like at any point. 5 I'm representing the defendant. That's 5 LAW SECRETARY: The judge is 6 a slightly mischaracterization. б 7 7 We did -- we put on the record actually about to head down to 8 arraignment. She's on criminal duty. 8 that we received notices that we know 9 If you -- let me see if -- here, can 9 that this witness has been subpoenaed. you hold for one moment? 10 But with regard to stipulations with 10 (Whereupon, the telephone call was regard to the depositions' use, without 11 11 placed on a brief hold.) 12 knowing to what specific use it's going 12 LAW SECRETARY: Hi. The Clerk at 13 to be put, I think it's an -- it's an 13 14 Chambers again. So, the judge can give 14 unnecessary stipulation, to the extent 15 15 -- or we can give you a call back -that there are objections that should the Judge's ruling later. But if you 16 have been asserted to the subpoena. 16 could describe the dispute to me now? 17 17 And we have not raised them. We 18 MR. KESHAVARZ: So, this is in 18 haven't raised them. So, I'm not 19 Bueno versus LR Credit. And the issue 19 really sure why this is even necessary. 20 20 MR. MILLS: This is Ben Mills -is, we noticed the deposition of a 21 non-party witness named Todd Fabacher. 21 LAW SECRETARY: Okay. 2.2 We noticed it for both this case and a 22 MR. MILLS: -- LR Credit 18. And 23 I have the -- join of Mr. Lichtman's 23 parallel case in the Southern District 24 24 named Guzman versus LR Credit. We comments. 25 25 LAW SECRETARY: Sorry? I couldn't noticed it to be held jointly. The 3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	13		15
1	T. FABACHER	1	T. FABACHER
2	quite hear you.	2	MR. KESHAVARZ: Go ahead.
3	MR. MILLS: Benjamin Mills,	3	LAW SECRETARY: No. Continue.
4	representing LR Credit 18, another	4	MR. KESHAVARZ: Oh. I was going
5	defendant in this action. And I join	5	to I was going to call Magistrate
6	Mr. Lichtman's comments in full.	6	Ellis next to get a parallel ruling but
7	LAW SECRETARY: Okay. You think	7	we can hold off on that.
8	it's unnecessary to stipulate for the	8	I guess the question is, how long
9	deposition testimony. But you agree	9	do you think it might take to call
10	that it was set it was noticed in	10	back? Not to be pushy. But I'm I
11	the way that plaintiff's attorney	11	just need to call the other magistrate.
12	describes it?	12	So, that's why I was
13	MR. MILLS: Well, I would say I	13	LAW SECRETARY: Yeah. It's
14	don't know jointly means We	14	it's just tough to say. The Judge is
15	received two notices. One in this	15 16	going now to take a plea. But she's
16	action, one in Guzman. So, we received	$\begin{vmatrix} 16 \\ 17 \end{vmatrix}$	on criminal duty. So, it sort of
17 18	two notices for the deposition. And I	18	depends on how much stuff they have down there. And it's never clear to us
19	agree there's no need. And it's (inaudible) making would do.	19	up here how much they'll have.
20	LAW SECRETARY: Okay.	20	MR. KESHAVARZ: Okay. All right.
21	Can I get a call-back number?	21	Well, thank you very much.
22	MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Let me give	22	LAW SECRETARY: Sure. No problem.
23	you the number where we are at right	23	MR. KESHAVARZ: Bye-bye.
24	now. It is 347-308-4859.	24	LAW SECRETARY: Okay.
25	LAW SECRETARY: Okay.	25	(Thereupon, the telephone ruling
	14		16
1	T. FABACHER	1	T. FABACHER
2	And what's the docket number on	2	concluded.)
3	this case, and then the one in the	3	(Whereupon, a telephone call was
4	SDNY?	4	made to the Chambers of the Hon. Vera
5	MR. KESHAVARZ: One moment,	5	M. Scanlon, Magistrate, and the
6	please.	6	following proceedings were had.)
7	Pardon me (retrieves documents	7	MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. Now,
8	from in front of Mr. Fabacher).	8	I'm calling the Chambers of Magistrate
9	THE WITNESS: That's all right.	9	Ellis in the Guzman case.
10	Oh, I thought these were mine.	10	LAW SECRETARY: Good afternoon
11	MR. KESHAVARZ: For the one in the	11	(inaudible).
12	Eastern District before your	12	MR. KESHAVARZ: Good afternoon.
13	magistrate, it is 16-CV-4737. And in	13	This is Ahmad Keshavarz, the attorney
14	the	14	for the plaintiff in Guzman versus LR
15	LAW SECRETARY: Okay.	15	Credit. We're currently on the record
16	MR. KESHAVARZ: Southern	16	in a deposition. And I can get off the
17	District, it is 16-CV-3499.	17	record if you would like me to.
18	LAW SECRETARY: Okay.	18	But we have a deposition a
19	What who which magistrate on	19	dispute in a deposition that we need a
20	that?	20	ruling on.
21	MR. KESHAVARZ: Oh, that's	21	LAW SECRETARY: Hold on. For what
22	Magistrate Ellis.	22	cases?
23	LAW SECRETARY: Ellis. Okay.	23 24	MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. The case is
24 25	MR. KESHAVARZ: And we're	25	Guzman versus LR Credit, et al., Index Number 16-CV-3499.
<b>∠</b> ⊃	LAW SECRETARY: Umm	43	4 /- 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
			4 (Pages 13 to 16)

17 19 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 LAW CLERK: Chambers of Judge 2 Guzman case and the Bueno case. 3 3 And we have a request for that Ellis. 4 same relief to the magistrate in Bueno. 4 MR. KESHAVARZ: Good afternoon, 5 So, I'm trying to get an order from 5 this is Ahmad Keshavarz, the plaintiff -- attorney for the plaintiff in Guzman 6 both of the magistrates that I can use 6 7 versus LR Credit. We are currently on 7 one transcript for both cases. Or else 8 the record in a deposition. I can take I have to take the same deposition, and 8 9 9 us off the record immediately, if you ask the same set of questions twice 10 which is going to be a waste of 10 would like. 11 But we have a deposition dispute 11 everyone's time. that we need the magistrate to rule on, 12 MR. LICHTMAN: So --12 13 13 LAW CLERK: Okay. And this is please. 14 LAW CLERK: Okay. Okay. Well, something that you need to have 14 15 I'll take notes first. And then I'll 15 resolved right now? 16 give the background to the judge. And 16 MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes, or else we're 17 going to waste everyone's -- I'm going 17 the judge will get on the phone with to have to ask two sets of questions. 18 18 vou all. 19 And I don't want to have to do that. 19 MR. KESHAVARZ: Okay. 20 LAW CLERK: So, what is the 20 And nobody -- so, that's the reason why I think the answer is yes. 21 21 dispute? And now the other attorneys want 22 MR. KESHAVARZ: The dispute is 22 23 that we noticed the deposition --23 to say something. 24 LAW CLERK: Plaintiff? 24 All right. 25 25 MR. KESHAVARZ: -- plaintiff MR. LICHTMAN: Yes. Hi. This is 18 20 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2. noticed the deposition of a non-party Jeffrey Lichtman representing witness named Todd Fabacher. We 3 3 defendants Samserv and Mlotok in the 4 4 noticed it at the same time for this Guzman case. 5 5 the case and a parallel case with I just see this as unnecessary. 6 And we have -- there have been 6 essentially --7 7 subpoenas that were served on the LAW CLERK: The one in the Eastern 8 District? 8 witness in both cases. Notices that 9 9 MR. KESHAVARZ: Exactly. That one were served on the witness in both is Bueno versus LR Credit. 10 10 cases. We have had an opportunity to And I thought we had an agreement 11 object, and we haven't objected. 11 that we could use the single deposition 12 12 So, as far as I'm concerned, these transcript for the same non-party 13 13 are depositions that are taken pursuant 14 witness in both cases. And that was my 14 to subpoenas in each of the two cases. 15 understanding. 15 I don't know why it's even necessary 16 for me to stipulate about the use in 16 But on the record, the defense attorneys will not stipulate to that. 17 17 each case -- hasn't been an issue. And And they want to reserve the right to 18 18 I'm not sure how they might be used, 19 make whatever objections to the 19 that I might then later object to. But deposition transcript they'd like at a 20 the fact that they're being taken 20 future point, although they haven't simultaneously is not something I'm 2.1 21 served any objections up to now. So, 2.2 22 objecting to. the request for relief is an order from 23 23 So, I just see this as totally the magistrate that I can use this 24 unnecessary, respectfully, to ask for a 24 deposition transcript in both the 25 stipulation in the course of the 25

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

21 23 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 deposition, when the deposition is 2 I mean, in point of fact, one 3 3 designed to ask questions of the deposition -- one case has a protective witness we're here attending. And it's 4 order ordered by the judge. Another 4 5 pursuant to subpoenas in two different 5 case has a stipulation not yet ordered cases without objection. 6 by the judge. There are two different 6 7 avenues there. 7 LAW CLERK: Okay. 8 But I'm not objecting to the 8 MR. MILLS: And this is --9 9 simultaneous asking in -- of -- of LAW CLERK: So --10 questions. I'm not objecting to one 10 MR. MILLS: -- sorry -- this is transcript being generated in both 11 Benjamin Mills representing LR Credit 11 13, a co-defendant. I would just like 12 12 cases. 13 13 to join Mr. Lichtman's comments in If -- if that's the question, I --14 I will stipulate that this one 14 full. transcript refers to both cases 15 15 LAW CLERK: Okay. So, what is the 16 actual dispute then -- (inaudible) --16 pursuant to the subpoena. That's fine. is it -- that they don't feel the need 17 But the broad term of use, I -- I 17 to have to stipulate to the use because 18 -- right now, I'm not sure what I'm 18 19 you're not objecting to the deposition 19 being asked to agree to. And I just being simultaneously --20 think it's -- I -- I do think it's 20 MR. KESHAVARZ: I believe their 21 21 unnecessary. position -- they can speak for 2.2 2.2 MR. MILLS: And I agree with Mr. 23 themselves -- but I believe their 23 Lichtman. 24 position is that they want to be able 24 LAW CLERK: Okay. 25 to reserve the right at a future point 25 MR. KESHAVARZ: So, I guess --22 24 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 to -- objecting to the use of a single LAW CLERK: Do you have anything transcript for both cases. 3 3 to say to that? That's -- that's my understanding, 4 MR. KESHAVARZ: -- I guess the 4 5 that they want to reserve that right 5 dispute is, what the word use means. for that objection. So, if they're 6 They don't understand what I mean by 6 7 7 the use of this transcript in both reserving that right, I -- I would like to get that resolved at the front end 8 depositions. 8 9 9 or else I might need to ask two sets of So, that's the issue. Can I use 10 this deposition in both cases? If 10 the same questions which is going to 11 waste everyone's time. 11 they'll say the answer is yes, then 12 we're good. 12 MR. LICHTMAN: Well, no, that's --13 Can we use this transcript for 13 that's actually not my objection. My 14 objection is the broad term of the word 14 both cases or not? 15 using the deposition in both cases. 15 MR. LICHTMAN: I am fine with you 16 This -- this applies in each of using this deposition as you would a 16 17 subpoenaed non-party deposition. To 17 the two cases. I have no problem with 18 that. 18 the extent that it might have other 19 infirmities to it, I reserve my right 19 I -- but, you know, to begin the deposition asking for a stipulation 20 20 to object. about the prospective use of the 2.1 2.1 But to the extent that you're 22 deposition when we haven't objected to 22 saying is -- is two different subpoenas 23 being served on one witness for one day 2.3 anything is unnecessary. And I'm not really sure what I'm being asked to 24 valid in both cases, I'm okay with 24 25 25 agree to. that. And I have never voiced an

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

25 27 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 objection to that. 2 articulate an answer as opposed to nodding 3 MR. MILLS: Agree. 3 your head or shaking your head? Will you MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. I 4 4 do --5 5 think that resolves our dispute then. A. Yes, as best I can. LAW CLERK: Okay. So, you don't 6 6 Q. Okay. And it's also normal for 7 7 need the judge? someone to anticipate the end of the 8 question and begin answering it. But so the 8 MR. KESHAVARZ: No. But 9 apparently you being on the phone 9 court reporter has a clear record, will you helped facilitate everything. So, I 10 please try to wait until I'm done with the 10 appreciate your time. question before answering it? 11 11 LAW CLERK: Of course. 12 A. Yes. 12 MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you. 13 Q. Okay. If you don't understand any 13 of my questions, will you please ask me to LAW CLERK: Have a good one. 14 14 15 MR. KESHAVARZ: Bye-bye. 15 rephrase it? 16 (Thereupon, the telephone ruling 16 A. I will. Q. If I ask you a question and you concluded and the following proceedings 17 17 don't ask me to rephrase it, is it 18 were had.) 18 19 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 19 reasonable for me to assume that you 20 understood the question? 20 discussion was held.) 21 MR. KESHAVARZ: On the record, my 21 MR. SCHER: Note my objection. Law Clerk is calling Judge Scanlon's 2.2 A. Can you repeat that? 22 Chambers to withdraw the issue for a 23 23 Q. Sure. 24 24 A. I'm slow. ruling. Q. Take your time. 25 25 Q. Now --26 28 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 A. Okay. A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Let's start from the beginning, 3 Q. If I ask you a question and you 4 sir. Again, I do thank you for your time. 4 don't ask me to rephrase it or clarify it, 5 is it reasonable for me to assume that you 5 A. Yes. Q. During the course of the 6 understood the question? 6 7 7 deposition, your attorney might make an MR. SCHER: Same objection. objection, particularly an objection to 8 You can answer. 8 form. But unless you're instructed A. Okay. 9 otherwise, you're still required to answer 10 Q. All right. And that's one of the 10 the question. Do you understand that? examples of an objection I was referring to. 11 11 12 A. Yeah, I'll answer as best I can. 12 A. I understand. 13 13 Q. Now, have you ever had your Q. But do you understand if he says 14 objection to form that you're still required 14 deposition taken before? 15 to answer? 15 A. Yes. 16 16 Q. How many times? A. That's correct. 17 17 Q. All right. A. Twice. 18 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 18 Q. Was one of those -- one of those 19 discussion was held.) 19 times in the Sykes, S-Y-K-E-S, class action 20 Q. That was the next thing that I was 20 lawsuit? about to ask. Because the court reporter's 21 21 A. Yes. 22 scowling at me. But anyway, my --22 Q. Approximately, how long was that A. That's not a problem. 23 23 deposition? Q. -- my point being, I'm going to 24 24 A. I don't remember. ask a question. Will you attempt to 25 25 Q. All day?

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

29 31 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 A. Yes. 2 -- Guzman affidavit. Did you look for those 3 3 Q. Felt like three days? documents? A. No, it was good. 4 A. I have no documents. 4 Q. All right. And what was the other 5 5 Q. I understand that. But did you case that you were deposed in? 6 look? Did you try to obtain the documents б that are listed in Exhibit A of Plaintiff's A. It was two days for the same case. 7 7 MR. SCHER: Sykes? 8 Exhibit 1? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Sykes, yeah. 9 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. But there was two days. Actually, 10 10 A. Yeah, I... it was two days. 11 MR. SCHER: You can answer. 11 Q. It didn't just feel like two days? 12 12 A. Yes. I looked around the room, 13 It actually --13 and I didn't see them. I mean how -- how do A. It actually was two days. Because 14 14 I look for -- where? -- I also looked -we had -- just like here, we had a lot of 15 15 Sykes case for the e-mail, and I had no 16 delays. So --16 attachments. 17 17 O. All right. Q. Well, that's what I was trying to A. -- it turned into two days. 18 18 ask. Q. All right. Fair enough. Now, 19 19 A. Oh, okay. So no, I -- I -- I did 20 have you ever been known by any other name 20 not find anything. other than Todd Fabacher? 21 21 Q. Okay. I'm just asking you what search you took. Was one search you took to 22 22 A. No. determine if the -- documents responsive to 23 Q. Am I pronouncing your name 23 24 24 Exhibit A in the Guzman subpoena, one step correctly? you took was to look at e-mails, correct? 25 A. Yes, you are actually. Thank you. 25 30 32 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Okay. I'm doing my best. A. Yes. I have -- yes. I have (Perusing document.) 3 3 nothing. Now, looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, 4 Q. Okay. And -- that be true for 4 the subpoenas to testify at the deposition, 5 Exhibit A in the subpoena in the Bueno case? 5 if you turn your attention to the 6 A. Can I read it? 6 Q. Take your time. next-to-last page of both, in Exhibit A --7 7 well, let's take them one at a time. 8 A. (Perusing document.) 8 9 Yes, I did search and I found Exhibit A -- excuse -- Exhibit A attached to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 which is the Guzman 10 10 nothing. 11 Q. Okay. So, let me just clarify 11 subpoena asks for three sets of documents; because I started with one subpoena and I 12 12 is that correct? should have just done both. So, for both 13 13 A. (Perusing document.) the Bueno subpoena and the Guzman subpoena, 14 Can I read it? 14 you did a search for responsive documents to 15 Q. Please take your time. 15 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 16 both of the Exhibit A's in the subpoenas, 16 discussion was held.) 17 17 right? 18 A. No. I -- I --18 A. That's correct. 19 MR. SCHER: No. There's no 19 Q. Okay. And you were unable to obtain or to discover documents responsive 20 20 question. to either subpoena, correct? Q. Were you about to say something? 21 2.1 22 A. No, no. I said I read it. 22 A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Did you do a search for 23 Q. Okay. And one step you took was 23 to check your e-mails, correct? 24 each of the three items listed in Exhibit A 24 25 A. Correct. 25 to deposition three -- deposition Exhibit 1

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

33 35 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. What is your e-mail address? 2 A. Never. A. Tfabacher@gmail.com. 3 3 Q. Or download it onto a cloud Q. Is that the only e-mail address 4 4 somewhere? 5 that you use? 5 A. Never. A. Yes. It's my personal e-mail. 6 6 Q. Okay. Q. Do you have any other e-mail 7 A. On my phone, on my iPhone. 7 Q. Okay. Do you have e-mails that go addresses that you use? 8 8 back to 2012 in your Gmail account? 9 A. Yes, I do. I have 9 A. I said I -- I have -- everything tfabacher@digitalpomegranate.com. 10 10 over a year has been deleted. But I can't Q. Any other e-mail addresses --11 11 -- I wouldn't know a hundred percent but I 12 12 A. No. Q. -- that you use? 13 would think not because that would have been 13 14 14 A. That's it. deleted. 15 Q. Okay. Have you used any other 15 Q. Okay. 16 e-mail addresses from 2011 to the present? 16 A. So, I'm going to speculate no. A. No. Those would be the only two Q. All right. Do you have your phone 17 17 e-mails that I've used. 18 with you today? 18 A. I do. 19 Q. Okay. And did you get e-mail --19 Q. During the -- during a break, can 20 A. No, that's it. 20 you just see if you have any e-mails that go 21 Q. -- did you get e-mail 21 back that far, 2012. And then we could take 2.2 correspondence regarding the Sykes case on 22 23 your Gmail account? 23 the next step and see --24 A. Yes. 24 A. I would prefer to do it on my computer. I don't prefer to do it on the 25 Q. Did you get e-mail correspondence 25 34 36 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 regarding the Sykes case on your Digital 2 phone because that -- the phone doesn't show Pomegranate account? 3 you everything. So, I -- that's my 3 A. Never. 4 4 preference. 5 5 Q. Okay. And do you know how long Q. Well, I know. But I'm trying to your Gmail is retained? The e-mails. 6 get this done. 6 7 7 A. I delete everything over a year MR. SCHER: He's already testified 8 8 that he searched his e-mails and had always. 9 nothing related to the Sykes case. So, 9 Q. Do you manually do that? A. Yes. 10 I don't know where you're going with 10 11 this continued questioning about having 11 Q. And why do you do that? A. I do it always. 12 him go and look again to see if he has 12 Q. Is there any particular reason? 13 anything from 2012. 13 14 A. It's -- it's -- I use the free 14 Q. When you say you searched your Gmail account, do you mean you searched it account in my -- I size up. And they always 15 tell me I'm running out of space. So, I 16 on a computer browser? 16 just take everything from a year over and 17 17 A. That's correct. 18 delete it. 18 Q. You didn't search it on your 19 Q. All right. 19 phone? 20 A. Because I don't want to pay. 20 A. Did not. Q. Okay. And do you use Outlook 21 Q. Your phone has Gmail e-mails going 2.1 further back than your browser, correct? 22 generally for your Gmail account? 22 A. Never. 23 A. No. It's on the cloud. The --23 Q. You never download any of your 24 it's everything -- from my understanding, 24 e-mails onto a hard drive? 25 the phone does not retain anything. It's --25 9 (Pages 33 to 36)

37 39 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Gmail is not -- it's cloud-based, from my 2 you would want to or not. Is there a way to 3 3 access Gmail -understanding. Q. Well, can you just check your cell 4 A. Well, then I would have to give 4 5 phone now and see if you have Gmail e-mails 5 you my personal security on your computer. going back to 2012? 6 And I'm -- personally, I am not willing to б MR. SCHER: No, he can't. 7 do that. 7 8 A. As I said, I wouldn't -- I would Q. I'm not asking you to do that at 9 not because I'm not -- you know, I would 9 this point. I -prefer to do it on my desktop. 10 A. Yes, you are. Because for me to 10 log in here, I would have to give you on one 11 Q. Some of the e-mails --11 of your computers access to my user name and A. You're asking me to testify 12 12 whether -- on grounds of perjury whether I 13 password. 13 have a -- an -- a -- an e-mail from 2012. 14 14 Q. User name and password to what? 15 And I'm telling you the iPhone is not 15 A. To the Gmail account. You cannot 16 sufficient to do that. I would -- if you 16 access your Gmail account without a user name or a password. 17 want me to -- to testify, I would want to do 17 it on my -- on my desktop. 18 Q. Well, I guess what I'm trying to 18 figure out is, if you accessed your Gmail Q. So, are you saying that some of 19 19 your Gmail e-mails from 2012 may have been 20 20 account from your home computer, is there downloaded onto your computer? anything that makes you think that you may 21 21 A. No. I do not download the 22 have Gmail e-mails from 2012? 22 23 23 A. No. 24 Q. Okay. So, when you say you would 24 Q. Thank you. want to look on your desktop, you mean to 25 25 Do you recall if your deposition 38 40 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 look on your desktop to go through the Gmail 2 transcript was e-mailed to you in the Sykes browser on the website? On the Gmail 3 3 case? website? Is that what you mean? 4 4 A. I searched -- I do not recall if A. I'd prefer -- that would be 5 5 it was searched. But I did check. I did 6 correct. seek for the Sykes case and I did not find 6 7 7 Q. Okay. Would there be anything it. 8 else that you would do on your home computer 8 Q. My question is, do you recall -to check if there any Gmail e-mails from A. I said no. I said no. And I 9 9 2012 that you couldn't do on your phone or 10 searched. I'm sorry. I apologize. 10 somewhere else? Q. No, that's okay. You're doing 11 11 A. Well, again, I don't have -- I'm what's normal, particularly in New York, is 12 12 not going to -- to access my -- my personal 13 13 that you're anticipating what I'm going to e-mail right now from -- from here. Because 14 14 ask and -for purposes -- for security purposes, I'm 15 15 A. Well, you asked. And -- and I not willing to do that unless I'm -- unless said no. And then you said -- you -- you --16 16 17 I'm subpoenaed to -- forced to. 17 you contradicted what I said. 18 Q. Well, how would you go about doing 18 Q. I'm just trying to ask you then --19 that? 19 I think -- I think you answered a different A. I would do it on my home computer. 20 question than what I'm asking. But --20 Q. No, but you -- I believe you said 21 21 A. Okay. something about accessing it from my phone. 22 22 Q. -- in any case, let me just ask Maybe I misunderstood. Is there a way to the question specifically. 23 23 access those e-mails here, from here? Is A. Okav. 24 24 there -- put aside the issue about whether 25 25 Q. Even if you do not have your Gmail 10 (Pages 37 to 40)

41 43 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 accounts today from 2012, do you remember 2 have you done -- be an attorney? It's -one way or the other whether at some point 3 it's constant. So, I don't know. Since --3 you saw your deposition transcript on Gmail? 4 I would probably -- if you want when I 4 5 started programming? 5 A. No. Q. Did you review your deposition 6 Q. Yes. б transcript in the Sykes case? A. I started programming in 1995. 7 7 Q. Okay. And in what capacity did 8 A. I did. you start programming? 9 Q. And did you have an opportunity to 9 make changes to that deposition testimony? A. I picked up a book and started 10 10 11 A. I -- no. 11 12 Q. Okay. Did you work for someone 12 Q. Okay. Did you -- whether after when you started doing computer programming? reading it, did you believe that there were 13 13 any changes that you needed to make to make A. No, I worked for myself. 14 14 your answers fully truthful? Q. Okay. So beginning in 1995, what 15 15 A. I don't recall. But I do not did you do in terms of computer programming? 16 16 remember making any exceptions. 17 A. I'm sorry? 17 Q. Beginning in 1995 when you -- let Q. Thank you. 18 18 me -- back it up. When did you graduate 19 So, let's go to your background a 19 20 little bit. Did you go to college? 20 from college? A. In 1989. 21 A. I did. 21 Q. And where did you go? 22 Q. And what did you do after you 22 graduated from college? 23 A. Miami University. It's at Miami 23 24 24 A. I went to work -- directly out of of Ohio. 25 college? I went -- moved to Denver, and 25 Q. Did you get a degree there? 42 44 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 sold PC's. A. I did. Q. What was your degree in? 3 3 Q. What did you do next? A. BA in Political Science and 4 A. I bought a one-way ticket to 4 Industrial Engineering. 5 5 Taiwan. Q. And did you get any other 6 Q. What did you do in Taiwan? 6 education after college? 7 A. I -- I don't remember. I -- I was 7 8 A. No. 8 bumming around. I don't know -- taught 9 Q. No graduate school? 9 English, you know. What else did I do. A. No. 10 That's basically it. Just bums --10 Q. That's fine. 11 Q. Any other formal training 11 12 particularly in terms of the use of computer 12 A. Yeah. 13 Q. So, about how long were you in 13 programming? 14 A. No. 14 Taiwan for? 15 Q. What do you do now? 15 A. Well, I -- I was everywhere. Q. You were traveling around? A. I write computer software. 16 16 Q. And how did you learn to write A. Yeah, just traveling around. 17 17 18 computer software? 18 Q. Okay. Did you get a job after you A. I taught myself. 19 were traveling around? 19 Q. Over how long of a period of time A. Yes. I -- I worked for a year, 20 20 one year, in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. were you learning to write computer 21 21 22 software? 22 Q. About when was that? Roughly, 23 23 A. You -- I -- well, you have to ask what year? a particular question. Like -- you know, 24 24 A. I don't remember. there's so -- aspects -- how -- how long 25 25 Q. About how old were you? 11 (Pages 41 to 44)

45 47 T. FABACHER 1 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 A. I'm trying to think, man. That Q. Okay. was so long ago. I'm an old guy. All 3 A. I did a lot of hanging out. 3 right. So, that was in 1992 maybe --O. That's fine. It's nice. I'm 4 4 nineteen ninety -- no, that was 1995. 5 iealous. Nineteen -- 1995. 6 A. Yeah. So -- yes. б 7 Q. That's around 1996? 7 Q. And not to ask an offensive question, but I'm going to ask how old you A. Well, yes, pretty close. 8 9 are now? 9 Q. All right. And then what did you 10 10 A. I am 50. do after that? Q. Okay. You look mighty good for 11 11 A. Then I met a -- a gentleman at a 12 50, I have to say. 12 party who said he wants to create day spas A. Well, my wife -- she's around the world. He wanted to change the 13 13 complaining. I got a gym membership for my 14 14 15 birthday. 15 Q. Okay. So, you were involved in 16 Q. Sir, what did you do beginning in 16 that somehow? 17 1995 in --17 A. Yeah. He said he -- he's, like, 18 A. That's when I started programming. 18 let's do a software together. Q. Okay. You started working for 19 19 Q. And is that what you did? 20 yourself in programming? 20 A. That's what I did. A. Yes. And there was a company in 21 21 Q. In layman's terms, when you say Malaysia. The name of it is I & J -- I, did software together, what do you mean? 22 2.2 ampersand, J -- LLC. 23 23 A. So, he is a company. He wanted to 24 Q. And you worked for yourself, and 24 write a software to manage hair salons and did work for them? 25 25 spas. 46 48 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER A. Yes. Yes, they -- that's where I 2 2 Q. I see. And how long did you do decided to be a programmer. And I worked 3 3 that for? basically for free. And they taught me how 4 A. I did that from 1996 possibly -to -- you know -- in a sense, I started on '95 -- I'm not sure -- I -- I can't remember 5 5 my own. And I would just sit in the office, 6 -- no, I --6 7 7 and just kind of hang out. Q. Roughly speaking? Q. What type of programming did you 8 A. Maybe '96 to 2000. 8 Q. Right around there? 9 do there? 9 10 A. Yeah. 10 A. DBase. Q. All right. Did you do any other 11 Q. And just in layman's terms, what 11 12 programming other than for the day spa? 12 is that? A. No, that was it. 13 13 A. It's a database. It's a -- it's 14 called D, just the letter D, B-A-S-E. 14 Q. That was your full-time job? 15 Q. And how long did you do that for? 15 A. That was it. A. About -- about a year. 16 Q. From '96 to 2000? 16 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. And then what did you do after 18 that? 18 Q. What did you do after that? 19 A. I moved to New York. 19 A. I went to -- I met a -- a girl. And then I moved to Pune, India. 20 20 Q. What did you do when you moved to Q. Okay. And how long were you in 21 21 New York? 22 Pune, India for? 22 A. I programmed. 23 Q. Who did you program for? 23 A. For about five months. 24 A. A company named Borrels. I don't 24 Q. What did you do there? 25 A. Hung out. 25 know how to spell it.

49 51 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. And how long did you do computer 2 what they did. They -- they gave me the programming for Borrels when you came to New text. I'm not -- I -- I believe that's what 3 3 4 York around 2000? they did but that wasn't my function. 4 5 5 A. I don't know. Maybe a year and a Q. Your function was, again -- I'm 6 6 half. sorry --Q. Okay. And in layman's terms, when 7 7 A. Yeah. you say you did programming for Borrels, 8 Q. -- specifically make it so the give me a general idea about what you mean 9 9 text -- the articles are searchable? by that, please? 10 A. No. I did not do that. I 10 A. Programming. I -- I don't know 11 11 searched -- I wrote the codes to search the how else to describe it. 12 12 13 Q. Programming and managing a 13 Q. All right. Did you do any other database -- information? 14 major work when you were at Borrels for a 14 A. No, I did not manage a database. 15 15 year and a half beginning in 2000? So, it's programming which is coding --16 16 A. No. That was pretty much it. Q. Okay. Coding to do what? That's what they did. They were a clipping 17 17 A. To show news clips. 18 18 service. Q. In what way? Like, search the 19 19 Q. Okay. So, what did you do after 20 Internet and pull together news clips, or --20 you left Borrels? Was that your -- let me what do you mean? 21 21 do one step at a time. Was Borrels a A. Well, I -- wasn't my part. 22 22 full-time job? Someone else did that. I just showed them 23 23 A. Yes. 24 on the screen. 24 Q. Did you have any other major jobs during that time you worked with Borrels? 25 Q. And what do you mean by that? 25 50 52 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 A. So, they -- so, when they --2 A. No. someone would download a news clip. And 3 3 Q. What did you do after Borrels? A. I worked for the law office of Mel 4 then we would write -- we wrote a -- a --4 5 5 what's called a text reader. Harris. Q. And that would be around 2001. 6 6 Q. Okay. 7 7 A. So, if someone is looking for a 2002? key word out of a news clip, like -- you 8 8 A. It was actually 9/11. So, it know, like, David Bowie, okay? So, then would be probably two thousand -- I don't 9 they would scan the image. And it would 10 remember exactly the date. 10 turn it into a text file. And I wrote the Q. So, sometime in 2012 (sic), you 11 11 12 software to look for David Bowie. 12 think? 13 13 A. 2002. Q. So, that was before the time when 14 newspaper articles were largely text on the 14 Q. Oh. 2002. Excuse me. 15 Internet? 15 A. Yeah. Sure. 16 16 A. That's right. Q. And how did you come about to get 17 Q. So, there were --17 that job? 18 A. Just getting started. You know, 18 A. I applied. 19 19 Q. How did you find out about the 2000. position? 20 Q. So, they're physically newspaper 20 articles scanned. And then you would have 21 21 A. A friend had said someone was 22 some sort of optical reader that would break 22 looking for something. down the text into words? The search. 23 23 Q. All right. And how long did you A. It wasn't my -- that's not what I work at Mel Harris? 24 24 did. So, I don't -- I'm assuming that's 25 25 A. I don't even remember when I left. 13 (Pages 49 to 52)

53 55 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 It was a number of years ago. A. And then I read it. And then in 3 3 Q. Did you leave around 2012? this case, I took the data, and printed A. I don't even remember, to be 4 information subpoenas. 4 Q. And in this case, what do you 5 honest with you. 5 Q. All right. Did you have a title 6 mean? When you say in this case. б while you were at Mel Harris? 7 A. For this particular task -- to say 7 A. I was -- I don't remember. I -- to read a database is to -- to acquire 8 8 9 think it's M -- MIS, management information 9 the data out of the database, and then to 10 then take that data, and put it in a 10 system. 11 document. Similar to mail merge. If you're Q. And --11 12 looking for a layman term. There you go. 12 A. On my card, if I can remember. 13 Q. -- did your job responsibilities 13 Mail merge. How's that? change in any major way from when you 14 Q. Okay. So, you have a -- you set 14 started and when you stopped working there? up a computer system that there's some sort 15 15 of -- something like a mail merge of data? 16 A. No. 16 17 A. Correct, that's -- would be 17 Q. Okay. What were your major responsibilities when you worked at Mel 18 18 correct. Harris beginning approximately 2002? 19 19 Q. And that would be a mail merge of 20 A. My major responsibility was --20 names, account numbers, amounts due, and starting in 2002 was to do -- to read a 21 21 that type of information, and to form database, and create subpoenas, information 22 22 letters like information subpoenas? Is that 23 subpoenas. 23 what you mean? 24 Q. By read a database, what do you 24 A. That's correct. 25 25 Q. Okay. Did you develop any mean? 54 56 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 programs while you were at Mel Harris? A. I mean to read a database. I 3 3 don't know how else to explain it. A. Yes, I did. Q. To gather information from a 4 O. Were there names to these 4 database, and have it spit out information 5 5 programs? subpoenas? Is that what you mean? 6 6 A. There were. 7 7 A. No. It would mean to read a Q. What were the names of the database. That's -- the technical term, 8 programs? 8 9 that's -- I don't know how else to explain A. Pinpoint, P-I-N-P-O-I-N-T. 10 Q. And was Pinpoint the only program 10 that you developed while you were at Mel 11 Q. Well, just in a layman's term? I 11 12 12 don't need the technical term. Harris? 13 13 A. I think a number of utilities. We A. I don't know layman's term. I'm 14 not a layman. The -- the technical term is 14 didn't have names for them. 15 to read a database. 15 Q. What do you mean by utilities? 16 A. Well, you -- these would be 16 O. And what does it mean to read a applications that read data. 17 17 database? What does ---Q. So, basically, another version of 18 A. It means to read a database. I --18 19 I -- I don't understand how -- I -- to read, 19 a mail merge? Is that what you mean? A. Depending on the situation -- some 20 20 just like you read a piece of paper. were mail merges. Some could be exporting a Q. Okay. You read the data that's in 2.1 21 22 the database? 22 file. 23 Q. All right. What were your --2.3 A. That's correct. roughly speaking, what were your hours at 24 Q. And what do you do with the data 24 in the database when you read it? 25 Mel Harris? 25

57 59 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 1 2 A. Nine to 5. 2 percent. It could be -- more like working Q. Was that here in Manhattan? on PC's. PC's are not working, you know, 3 3 putting in -- putting in a copying machine. A. There -- (indicating) -- in 4 4 Yeah, you know just -- we ordered a new 5 Manhattan, yes. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. 6 copying machine. Now, you would think you 6 would just plug it in. But no, copying 7 7 A. I believe we were on John Street. machines can actually be quite difficult. 8 And then -- yeah. 8 Q. All right. So, were you the tech Q. All right. Fine. Fair enough. 9 9 What was your -- just generally 10 person at Mel Harris? 10 speaking, ballpark --11 A. I was the MIS director -- the --11 A. Sure. 12 management information system, that's what I 12 Q. -- about what percentage of your 13 13 was. time would you spend doing, say, computer 14 14 Q. So, you were managing all the computer programs at Mel Harris; is that 15 programming? 15 A. Oh, I don't know. 16 16 Q. Most of the time? Only a little 17 17 A. I installed them. I don't know 18 about manage -- yeah, okay. 18 bit of the time? Q. Is that right? I mean just 19 A. I -- you know . . . 19 20 MR. SCHER: Want to put a time 20 generally speaking, you installed the software at Mel Harris, correct? 21 21 frame on it? A. Yeah. Can you give me an exact --22 A. Yes. 2.2 23 you know --23 Q. You -- you designed the --24 generally speaking, you designed the 24 Q. That's fine. I -- I appreciate software that Mel Harris used? 25 that because you're doing exactly what I 25 58 60 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 asked you to do which is asking me 2 A. No, I did not. 3 questions. 3 O. This database --A. One -- one of it. 4 A. Yeah. 4 Q. Were you -- my understanding is 5 5 Q. Okay. You did -- you designed that your responsibilities while you were at 6 Pinpoint? 7 Mel Harris were largely the same; is that 7 A. That's correct. correct? Or did it change in any 8 8 Q. Okay. significant way? 9 9 A. That's -- that was not the system A. I would say largely the same. 10 10 of record. Q. Okay. Is the breakdown -- just 11 11 Q. What do you mean by system of generally speaking, about the tasks that you 12 record? would do while you were at Mel Harris, would 13 13 A. A system of record is -- is the --14 that breakdown change in any way 14 is the -- the -- system of -- it's the -significantly over that time? 15 15 the -- the -- the main data. A. Not significant. Q. So, are you saying you designed a 16 16 Q. Okay. So, just ballpark idea, 17 17 database to maintain -what percentage of your time would you spend 18 18 A. I didn't say I designed a 19 doing what -- would you spend half your time 19 database. doing computer programming? Five percent of 20 20 Q. So, what do you mean by that? your time? Just ballpark --A. I said I read a database. 21 21 A. Yeah, because it -- it's -- you 22 22 Q. Okay. Because you're talking to a 23 know, each time frame -- like, if I had a 23 person who barely knows how to turn on his task to do at one time, it could be 50 24 24 computer. percent. Sometimes it could be zero 25 A. Okay. Well, then see -- see --15 (Pages 57 to 60)

61

1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 I'm telling you. Copy machines are 2 A. I'm not -- I didn't really use difficult. There's a difference between 3 3 Debtmaster, so I can't answer to that. So, reading a database and designing a database. I was not a real Debtmaster user. I just 4 4 5 Q. In what way? 5 knew that it was the database. So, I don't A. Okay. So, like, for example, to 6 know its main functions. So, I don't know 6 read this document (indicating). And 7 how you say function in that sense. But 7 another one is to actually craft a document, 8 it's the database. That's all I know. 9 to actually write the document. One is to 9 Q. And your design of Pinpoint read, one is to write. 10 integrates the data from Debtmaster into a 10 So, I did not create the -- the --11 mail merge; is that right? 11 A. I don't know if it integrates it. what's called the system of record -- where 12 12 13 the information lived, for example, the --13 Reads the data. the case information, any payment 14 14 Q. It uses the data? 15 information, I did not write that. 15 A. It reads the data. I -- you know, 16 O. Where did that information come 16 it is what it is. It reads the data. 17 17 from? O. And what is --A. I don't know why you can't -- we 18 18 A. That lived in a program called Debtmaster. D-E-B-T-M-A-S-T-E-R. just can't say, read the data. It's pretty 19 19 plain. I think it's pretty plain. It's --20 20 Debtmaster. reads the data. Because use is -- is very 21 21 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 2.2 discussion was held, and a portion of the 2.2 different. testimony was read back.) 23 23 Q. In what way? 24 Q. So, I apologize if I'm going to 24 A. Use is, like, manipulates or ask you the same question. Because I'm 25 25 something -- it reads the data. 62 64 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 still trying to figure out how to turn on my Q. It puts the data in somewhere -computer and the -- too big a stretch on 3 3 does it -getting the water. So, Pinpoint, what does 4 4 A. It reads the data. That's what -you want me to be particular. I'm trying to 5 that do? 5 -- to -- it reads the data. б A. That reads -- that reads the 6 7 7 Q. And I know for you reads the data database. 8 8 is entirely clear because this is what you Q. And Debtmaster, what does that do? A. I didn't write it. So, my -- it 9 do for a living. 9 10 10 A. Right. stores the database. 11 Q. It stores the information such as 11 Q. So, to a layperson, can you names, addresses, amounts due, and so forth? 12 elaborate on what you mean by reads the 12 13 A. Yes. 13 data? 14 Q. Are those the main two functions 14 A. Okay. It queries the data. Q. It pulls the data information from 15 between Pinpoint and Debtmaster? 15 A. I don't understand the question. the database, and does something with it? 16 16 A. Okay. I guess that's query. Is 17 Q. So, you said Pinpoint reads the 17 that your definition of query? database, correct? 18 18 19 A. Correct. 19 Q. I'm just asking you in layman's 20 20 Q. And Debtmaster stores the terms -information, the data such as names, 21 21 A. I'm giving you layman's terms. Read the data. I don't know how much more 22 addresses, and so forth, correct? 22 23 layman I can be. I -- I'm -- I'm -- I don't 23 A. Correct. 24 mean to be, you know -- but reading the 24 Q. Okay. Are those the two main functions of these programs? 25 data. I don't -- I don't -- I don't 25 16 (Pages 61 to 64)

63

65 67 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 understand how that's inefficient. 2 way? 3 3 A. No. I said -- no, it didn't --Q. Okay. So, roughly speaking, in a month, a year doing computer installation, 4 not in a significant way. But you're asking 4 copier installation, working on software, 5 me to put a number on it. working on computer issues, in a year, or a б б Q. Okay. Ballpark for the time you month, roughly speaking, what percentage of 7 worked there, approximately 20 percent of 7 8 time did that take? your time was doing computer work? 9 A. It varies. 9 Ballpark, is that approximately right? 10 A. That's accurate. 10 Q. From what to what? 11 A. It varies. Sometimes, as I -- as 11 Q. Thank you. 12 12 I testified earlier, sometimes it could be What were your other major tasks 13 80 percent. Sometimes it could be zero. 13 other than doing what I'm going to call computer work? What were the -- the major Q. On average over the year, what 14 14 15 would it be approximately? 15 part of the time that you spent? Just A. I -- maybe -- I don't know. It 16 16 generally speaking. 17 would be speculation. 17 A. Major part was just maintaining Q. Approximately, what do you think 18 the PC's, you know. 18 19 it would be? 19 Q. Is that what you mean by general 20 A. I -- I'd have to think about it. 20 20 percent, or do you mean on top of the 20 21 21 Q. Take your time. So, the question percent? 22 is approximately what percentage of your 2.2 A. Yeah, I would -- I would say 20 23 time, generally speaking, say, over the 23 percent was probably coding. 24 course of a year would you spend doing 24 Q. Okay. 25 computer-related work, installing computers, 25 A. You have a lot of things. You 66 68 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 doing software work, that type of thing? 2 have to do backups every day, server maintenance -- server maintenance, wiring, 3 Roughly speaking. 3 4 buying new scanners. 4 A. I don't know. Maybe -- maybe 20 percent. I mean it's -- I -- you know, 5 Q. Generally speaking, approximately, 5 it's so long ago. You know, I don't know 6 how much of your time was doing backups, 6 rough. You're asking me to give you an 7 servers, maintaining? Roughly speaking, was 7 8 answer at a deposition to say, you hereby 8 that another 20 percent? testify that -- you know, like -- that --9 A. I don't know. I mean -- I mean I that -- so, I mean I don't know because each 10 -- I can't give you a solid number because 10 year's different. In the beginning, it was -- I mean this was ten years ago. 11 11 a little bit more. As the years went on, 12 Q. I'm not asking for a solid number. 12 less programming, it was less. I mean you 13 13 I just asking --A. You're asking me for a solid 14 -- you -- if you ask me a reasonable 14 question, I could give you a reasonable 15 number. 16 16 answer. Q. Rough estimate --17 17 A. You just asked me -- I can't give Q. I thought you had testified that you -- I can't give you a number. You're 18 your major responsibilities and the 18 breakdown of your major responsibilities did 19 19 asking me for a number that you want me to not change in any significant way during the 20 testify for. And I -- how do I give you an 20 exact -- you tell me 10 percent, and I can't time period that you worked at Mel Harris; 21 21 22 is that correct? 22 ask you -- I --23 Q. I'm not --23 A. Well, you used the word 24 A. -- can't answer that. 24 significant. 25 25 Q. Did it change in any significant Q. Roughly speaking, you did 20

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

69 71 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 percent of doing computer work that we 2 A. Management --3 3 talked about before? Q. -- do for --A. -- information system which was my A. We said coding. 4 4 Q. Coding. About 20 percent of your 5 5 iob title. time, roughly speaking, is coding? 6 б Q. In addition to coding and A. Correct. 7 management information system, was there any 7 major part of your responsibilities while Q. What you just talked about, 8 backing up, server, would that be more or you worked at Mel Harris? 9 9 less -- significantly more, or less, or 10 A. The only other thing that I did 10 roughly the same amount of time that you 11 11 was -- besides maintaining the data. And spent coding, generally speaking? then I did the -- the affidavits to verify 12 12 13 A. No, it would be more. 13 the data. Q. Okay. Would it be twice as long, 14 Q. And ballpark --14 roughly speaking, than you would spend A. Can't give you a ballpark on that 15 15 one because it varied -- it varied. There's 16 coding? 16 17 -- there's no ballpark. 17 A. Probably. Q. Okay. What -- would you say it 18 MR. SCHER: Let him finish his 18 would be about half the time that you worked 19 19 question. 20 is doing backup, server maintenance, and so 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Q. When you say affidavits, what do 21 21 forth? 22 22 A. Yes, and -- and maintaining the vou mean? 23 data. Yeah, just in the sense making sure 23 A. It's an affidavit of merit. 24 the data -- you know, the integrity of the 24 Q. The 20 percent for coding, the 30 25 data, the security. 25 to 70 percent for management information 70 72 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER systems, and the time you spent doing 2. Q. So, that would be, roughly 2 3 affidavits of merit, were there any other 3 speaking, about half the time you would major responsibilities that you had while spend while you were at Mel Harris, correct? 4 4 A. Again, I... 5 working at Mel Harris? 5 Q. Roughly speaking, would it --6 A. No. 6 would it -- is it fair to say -- you tell 7 7 Q. Okay. When you say the amount of time that you spent doing affidavits of 8 me. I'm asking you. 8 merit varied, what do you mean by that? A. I don't know. 9 9 A. That's -- just what I said. It Q. What --10 10 A. You're asking me. I told you I 11 11 varied. can't really remember. You're asking me, do 12 Q. Okay. Did you do affirmations 12 you remember a case from ten years back? I (sic) of merit from 2002 onward? 13 13 14 don't know. What did you do ten years ago? 14 A. I don't remember at that time I don't know, man. You're asking me to give 15 frame. you a -- you say roughly. I don't know. I 16 16 O. Okav. mean can I say anywhere between 30 and 70 MR. KESHAVARZ: If you could mark 17 17 percent? Is that rough? 18 18 19 Q. Is that within that range? 19 (Whereupon, the aforementioned A. Yeah. I would say between 30 and 20 Affidavit of Merit (LR Credit 18, LLC 20 vs. Agustina Bueno) was marked as 70 percent. How's that? 21 21 Q. All right. And how would you 22 22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for describe -- it's not called coding. Is 23 identification as of this date by the 23 there a phrase that you would use to 24 Reporter.) 24 describe that work that you --25 Q. (Perusing document.) 25 18 (Pages 69 to 72)

73 75 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 numbers. What I'm asking you is this --I am showing you what's been 3 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3. Is 3 A. Well, you're asking me to testify 4 4 this an example of an affidavit of merit upon it. 5 5 that you were just testifying about? Q. Let me be specific. 6 A. Yeah, please. 6 A. Can I read it? 7 Q. Whoever the plaintiff is, if the 7 Q. Please. 8 A. (Perusing document.) plaintiff used the word LR Credit, 8 9 regardless of the number, if the plaintiff 9 Correct. Q. Okay. Now, would you do 10 used the word LR Credit, would you be the 10 affidavits of merit for all of the person at Mel Harris who would execute the 11 11 12 affidavits of merit for those lawsuits? 12 collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed? 13 MR. MILLS: Objection. 13 A. No. 14 A. I don't know because you haven't 14 Q. Would you do it for most of the 15 collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed? 15 given me the list. So, you're asking me for every LR Credit -- I -- I don't know. I was 16 A. I don't know. 16 -- they were -- I had already left. So, Q. Would you execute the affidavits 17 17 they -- I'm going to go with no. of merit for all of the cases filed under 18 18 Q. When did you leave? 19 the name of -- one of the LR Credit 19 20 20 A. I don't remember the date. entities? 21 21 Q. While you were there at Mel MR. MILLS: Objection. A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question? 2.2 Harris, were you the person who was 2.2 23 Q. I'm going to use the term LR 23 executing the affidavits of merit for the Credit entities. And I'm --24 collection lawsuits Mel Harris filed where 24 A. We need --25 25 the plaintiff included the name LR Credit? 74 76 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 MR. MILLS: Objection. Q. -- going to be --3 A. -- to use specific. They're very 3 Q. Regardless of whatever number it 4 4 5 MR. MILLS: Objection. 5 Q. I was about to get to that. A. I -- I'm going to go -- I -- I was 6 A. Okay. 6 not -- I -- I don't remember. I mean I -- I 7 7 Q. When I say LR Credit entities, 8 there are LR Credits 1 through something 8 did -- I did the ones -- I knew the ones like 23? Is that about right? 9 9 that I was in charge of it. That's all I MR. MILLS: Objection. 10 10 11 A. I don't know. 11 Q. Okay. Were you in charge of all Q. For cases where the plaintiff uses the ones that you used the words LR Credit 12 12 the word LR Credit, regarding LR Credit 1, 13 13 in the plaintiff? 14 or 8, or 18, whatever the number is, would 14 MR. MILLS: Objection. you be the person that would sign the 15 15 A. I don't know. You don't -- give me the list of the names. You're asking me 16 16 affidavits of merit at Mel Harris? 17 to say -- how can I do it? You have the 17 MR. MILLS: Objection. 18 MR. SCHER: You're asking for 18 list of the names, I'm happy to answer the 19 every -- if he did it for every LR 19 question. 20 20 Credit case that ever --O. You mean the list of the names of 21 21 A. Do you have a list of the LR the -- the numbers of the LR Credit 22 Credits for my -- to jog my memory? I don't 22 entities? Is that what you mean? even remember the -- I don't even remember 23 A. You are asking me to answer for an 23 24 array of names that you're not even giving 24 all the -- the numbers. 25 25 Q. You don't have to remember the me. How can I testify, on grounds of 19 (Pages 73 to 76)

77 79 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 perjury, on that? You want me to perjure A. Yes. 3 3 Q. Were you the person who did all of myself? No, counsel? MR. SCHER: No. I think you mean 4 the signings of the -- all or almost all the 4 5 5 under the penalty of perjury. signings of the affidavits of merit for the A. Under the penalty of perjury. 6 collection lawsuits Mel Harris filed while 6 Whatever it is. I'm not an attorney. See 7 7 you worked there? -- no, no, no -- but I'm not going to 8 8 MR. SCHER: Objection to form. testify to something that -- unless you can 9 9 You can answer. provide me a list. I'm sorry, counselor. I 10 10 A. I don't know. I know what I -- just because you're asking me to swear, 11 11 signed. That's it. I -- I -- I was not in you know, with the right arm on something 12 charge -- you know, I don't know what other 12 that, you know, I can't answer. 13 people signed. So, how can I know whether 13 14 it's a majority? You're asking me for a 14 Q. By the list, you mean the list of 15 numbered LR Credit entities --15 number. How do I know? 16 A. No. If you want --16 Q. There was -- was there anyone 17 17 else, to your knowledge, at LR -- at Mel MR. MILLS: Objection. Note my objection before that. 18 Harris who was in charge of signing 18 affidavits of merit? 19 A. -- if you want me to testify on 19 20 something, you have to give me the list. 20 A. There were other people but I 21 Q. Okay. I'm asking you a slightly 21 don't remember their name. 2.2 different question. 22 Q. Do you know if that was a major 23 A. Okay. 23 thing that they did at Mel Harris? 24 Q. While you worked at Mel Harris, 24 MR. SCHER: Objection. A. Don't know. 25 and you signed affidavit of merit --25 78 80 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Who were the other people? A. Correct. 3 3 Q. -- did you sign the affidavits of A. I don't remember their name. Q. Were they lawyers or non-lawyers? merit where the plaintiff used the words LR 4 4 Credit regardless of whatever came after LR A. I think a non-lawyer. 5 5 Credit? Were you the person who did the Q. Was one of them named Michael 6 6 signings of the affidavits of merit? 7 7 Young? 8 MR. SCHER: Objection. 8 A. I believe -- I'm -- I don't 9 9 Asked and answered. remember. I -- I don't remember. MR. MILLS: Objection. 10 Q. Okay. What steps, if any, did you 10 take to determine whether the facts asserted 11 A. I can't answer that question. I 11 12 12 can't answer that. in an affidavit of merit such as Exhibit 3 Q. Did you do affidavits of merit for 13 13 were true? 14 any lawsuits that Mel Harris filed other 14 MR. MILLS: Objection. than those where the plaintiff had the name 15 15 MR. LICHTMAN: Before we answer 16 16 the question, can we give a stipulation LR Credit? 17 that an objection by one is an 17 MR. MILLS: Objection. 18 A. All right. Repeat that question 18 objection by all? 19 19 MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. again? 20 20 Q. Sure. I'd be glad to. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. Did you sign affidavits of merit 2.1 A. Can you repeat the question? 2.1 22 for collection lawsuits filed by Mel Harris 22 Q. And I'd be glad to. for cases other than when the plaintiff used 23 23 A. Okay. 24 Q. The facts that are -- well, strike 24 the words LR Credit? 25 25 MR. MILLS: Objection. that.

81 83 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Exhibit 3 is the -- is the Q. Is there a template that use for 3 3 template form used for the affidavits of the affidavits of merit? 4 merit that you executed, correct? 4 A. No. It's -- it's not -- no, I 5 A. Correct. 5 wouldn't call it a template. Q. What would you call it? 6 MR. SCHER: At what point in time? 6 7 A. I would call it a database. 7 A. Yeah, for . . . Q. So, for the time period that you 8 Q. Okay. And what do you mean by 9 worked at Mel Harris, is Exhibit 3 the basic 9 that? 10 10 template for the affidavits of merit that A. The data in here (indicating) --11 you signed? 11 so I -- this is -- to me, this is a A. For this particular case. But 12 12 database. And so the -- so, it's -- it's -they -- it depend -- there were different 13 13 it's data. That's what I'm attesting to, 14 14 15 Q. Different affidavits of merit? 15 Q. (Perusing document.) 16 A. That's correct. 16 The affidavits of merit that you 17 17 signed at Mel Harris say that you are fully Q. And the templates for the affidavits of merit, some of them were and personally familiar with and have 18 18 19 different than Exhibit 3? Is that what 19 personal knowledge of the facts and 20 you're saying? 20 proceedings related to the within action? 21 A. Mm-hmm. 21 A. Yes. 2.2 2.2 Q. You have to --MR. SCHER: I think -- I'm just 23 A. That's correct. 23 going to object to the fact that you 24 24 quoted part of the affidavit out of Q. Generally speaking, how many 25 different types of affidavits of merit were 25 context. 82 84 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 2 there? MR. KESHAVARZ: Okay. 3 3 THE WITNESS: Can I send an e-mail A. I -- I can't answer that. There's 4 4 no generally speaking. real quick? 5 5 Q. And did the affidavits of merit MR. KESHAVARZ: You want to take a 6 that were used change over time? In other quick break? 6 7 7 words, you know, for a three-year period, it THE WITNESS: Can we take a quick would be one template, and then the next 8 8 break? 9 three-year period, it would be another MR. KESHAVARZ: Yeah. template? 10 10 (Whereupon, a short recess was 11 11 A. It's situational. 12 12 Q. What do you mean by that? MR. KESHAVARZ: Back on the record. You can mark this as an 13 A. I mean the laws changed. The 13 14 types of -- of -- of data was 14 exhibit, please. different. So -- so, I'm going to say that 15 (Whereupon, the aforementioned -- was it the same as this (indicating)? 16 Opinion in Monique Sykes vs. Mel 16 17 Harris was marked as Plaintiff's 17 No. 18 Q. I mean the template of the text, 18 Exhibit 4 for identification as of this 19 putting aside the issue about the names of 19 date by the Reporter.) 20 Q. (Perusing document.) 20 the --Okay. Mr. Fabacher, I am showing 21 2.1 A. That's what it is, right? You 22 22 you what's been marked as Plaintiff's read the data. 23 Exhibit Number 4. It's quite long. Feel 23 MR. MILLS: I object to the use of 24 free to take as much time as you want to the word template throughout this line 24 25 take a look at it. I'm going to ask you 25 of questioning.

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

85 87 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. It's up to you. Okay. So, have to --3 A. Do I get to read it? 3 you had a chance to read through Exhibit 4, Q. Of course. I -at least through page fifteen? 4 4 A. I did read to page fifteen. 5 5 A. The whole thing? Q. If you'd like. 6 Q. Okay. So, let me start with page 6 A. Sure. 7 seven. If you see where it goes, on the 7 last line where it says 3/1/11 Fabacher dep? Q. I was -- do you know if that --8 8 A. Most of it. 9 A. Mm-hmm -- yes. Broke the rules. 9 Q. -- all right -- do you know if --10 Q. I represent to you when the --10 A. Am I allowed to read the whole when the Opinion references the Fabacher 11 11 12 dep, it means your deposition in the Sykes 12 thing? 13 case -- represent that to you. 13 Q. You are. Let me ask you a question first. Do you know if that is one MR. KESHAVARZ: Do we -- can we 14 14 15 of the orders issued in the Sykes case? 15 have a stipulation as to that? 16 A. I do not -- even read any of the 16 MR. LICHTMAN: I'm not stipulating 17 17 orders. to that. 18 18 Q. Hmm? MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. Fine. 19 A. I didn't read any of the orders. 19 Q. The fact -- let me ask you a 20 Q. Okay. You don't know? 20 general question, then we can go line by line if you would like. It's fine with me A. Don't know. 21 21 Q. Fine. Fine. either way. Where it says Affidavits of 22 2.2 A. I never read -- this would be the 23 23 Merit, beginning on page seven, all the way first one I've actually read --24 through page 11 up to the point where it 24 says Procedural History, are the facts 25 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you 25 86 88 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER questions about pages seven through --2 stated in those paragraphs true? 2 MR. MILLS: Objection. A. But I want to read the whole thing 3 3 MR. SCHER: Same objection. 4 4 first. Q. -- I know -- just -- I'm going to 5 A. Can I read it again --5 ask you about pages seven through eleven. 6 Q. Yes. 6 Take as much time as you would like to read 7 A. -- just to make sure? 7 the whole thing if you would like. I'm 8 (Perusing document.) 8 really only going to ask you about those 9 Say -- so, you're saying number 9 10 five on page seven to B, Procedural History, 10 pages. 11 A. Okay. 11 12 Q. But feel free to read --12 Q. Yes. A. Read it --13 13 A. -- eleven? 14 14 Well, number one, my -- my title Q. -- it to --A. -- up to somewhere around there. 15 is wrong. It was -- management 16 information --16 17 Q. Okay. 17 Q. Well ---18 A. (Perusing document.) 18 A. -- you asked me a question. I'm 19 We're not going past fifteen, 19 giving you an answer. Q. Well, let me pull the answer back. 20 20 21 Let me rephrase the question. Let me just 21 Q. I'm not going to ask you past fifteen. But you can feel free to review as 22 22 go through one at a time, and you just tell much as you would like. 23 me if the facts are true. Let's go through 23 A. Okay. As long as we don't go past 24 the first sentence. The --24 fifteen -- unless we -- just sit here. 25 25 A. Go ahead.

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

89 91 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. -- affidavits of merit submitted 2 to -- I can't answer. 3 3 by (sic) Mel Harris and Leucadia defendants MR. SCHER: When I start talking, in New York City Civil Court follow a 4 4 you stop. 5 5 uniform format. Is that true? THE WITNESS: Yeah. 6 6 A. I don't know what uniform format MR. KESHAVARZ: Brett, I -- I 7 7 think you can say objection as to form. is. 8 8 Q. Okay. Well, why don't you read If you're going to make an objection beyond that, that's fine. But I would 9 all the pages, and then let me know when 9 you're done. 10 ask to exclude the witness while you do 10 11 A. Okay. 11 that because I don't want it to --12 12 (Perusing document.) MR. SCHER: My objection is not as 13 13 to form. My objection is to the fact Okay. Q. Ready to go? 14 that you're asking him to testify with 14 15 A. (Indicating.) 15 regard to the truthfulness of 16 Q. Yes. My question is, are the 16 statements that are supported by -- in the Court's decision by documents which facts stated beginning on page seven after 17 17 where it says Affidavits of Merit through 18 you're not providing to him. So, 18 19 page eleven above where it says Procedural 19 that's my objection. I'm letting him 20 History, are the facts stated between those 20 answer. 21 21 pages true? MR. MILLS: I also object on that 2.2 MR. MILLS: Objection. 2.2 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 23 23 MR. KESHAVARZ: Jeff, you didn't 24 MR. SCHER: Note my objection. 24 -- you didn't . . . 25 A. I don't agree with them. I mean 25 MR. LICHTMAN: I don't need to 90 92 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 true is truth -- am I -- my truth, for me 2 because an objection by one is an personally? No. I could tell a few -- a 3 objection by all. And now you're 3 defeating the purpose by extending the few things. He used the word template. I 4 5 number of lines in the transcript. Not 5 did not use the word template. He used the 6 6 word template -- he said would you call it a from me. 7 7 template. A. So --8 8 Q. As --And I -- I believe he just quoted 9 the word template out of it. I'm not really 9 A. -- so ask me a specific question. Q. So, other than the word template, sure -- I don't remember using the word 10 10 are there any facts -- strike that. 11 template. But clearly he quoted. But he 11 Other than the use of the word 12 kept on calling it a template. And I was 12 13 trying -- again, as in here, tried to 13 template, are all the facts stated on page 14 explain to him that it -- it -- it's -- it's 14 seven under the term Affidavits of Merit 15 not necessarily a template. That's his 15 through page eleven above the Procedural layman's term. But that's not a template. 16 16 History, other than the use of the word So, that's the -- that's the -- my -- a big 17 17 template, are there any other facts that you 18 objection on that. 18 believe are not true? 19 The second objection, there are 19 MR. MILLS: Objection. 20 several of them I have. Do-do-do. Let's 20 A. Do we want to go line by line? 21 21 see her. So --Q. That's -- however you want to do 22 MR. SCHER: I just want to --22 it. 23 23 A. -- so, there's --A. No, let's go line by line. Q. That's fine --24 MR. SCHER: -- before you --24 A. -- many things. So, I'm not going 25 25 A. That's -- because I'm not going to

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

93 95 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Go ahead. say yes to it. Q. Take your time. That's fine. 3 3 A. Can I -- can I write on it, say what I don't agree to? 4 A. Okay. 4 5 (Perusing document.) 5 MR. SCHER: No. Just --6 6 Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 7 Q. Well, I'm -- I'm just asking you MR. SCHER: -- just say what you -- you can read --8 8 agree with. A. I -- understand, I'm not going to 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 answer that question -- I mean I'm going to 10 10 A. Next? -- I'm -- can't say a yes or no on that. 11 11 Q. Okay. A. We go down to the next sentence? Q. Well, other than the use of the 12 12 term template that you disagree -- disagree 13 Q. Yes. 13 A. Okay. Defendant Todd Fabacher, with, can you -- reviewing pages seven 14 14 (sic) director of information technology for through eleven, is there anything that you 15 15 16 see in those pages that you -- that you --16 Mel Harris, serves -- serves the affidavit that is a -- that, as a fact, you disagree 17 17 (sic). Okay. So . . . MR. MILLS: Sorry. I think you 18 with? 18 19 A. Yes. 19 read it incorrectly. 20 MR. MILLS: Objection. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. How did I 21 O. Which -- other than the word 21 read that incorrectly? 2.2 2.2 A. Oh. Serves as the affidavit -template --23 A. Okay. Well, let's -- let's go 23 affiant. Affiant. through sentence through sentence. 24 24 Q. Right. 25 Q. Go ahead. 25 A. I'll read it again. Serving --94 96 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 1 I'm not serving. Okay. Defendant Todd 2. A. Okay. The affidavits of merit 2 Fabacher, the director of information 3 submitted by the Mel Harris and Leucadia 3 defendants in New York City Civil Court technology for Mel Harris serves as the 4 4 5 5 follow a uniform format. affiant. 6 б What is a uniform format? Okay. So, one, my -- the -- the 7 Q. Okay. What else? 7 title, I believe, is incorrect. And serves 8 A. (No response.) 8 as the affiant, I -- just to -- to -- to Q. That's your -- so, your -- your 9 clarify, who is that affiant for what? I 9 concern about the first sentence on page 10 mean am I the affiant for Mel Harris? I was 10 seven is that -- your concern is the use of 11 11 not the affiant for Mel Harris. the term uniform format; is that right? 12 12 Q. I believe --MR. MILLS: Objection. 13 A. You believe. 13 14 A. My concern is I don't know what a 14 Q. Well, let me ask you this. 15 uniform format is. 15 A. What am I -- what am -- who am I 16 16 O. So, other than the term uniform the affiant for? 17 format that you say you don't understand 17 Q. All right. Well, I think the prior sentence says the Leucadia defendants. 18 what it means, is there any other fact 18 19 asserted in the first sentence that you 19 A. Okay. 20 20 Q. I guess -disagree with? A. So, who is that for? 21 MR. MILLS: Objection. 2.1 A. Okay. So, I -- the affidavits of 22 22 Q. So, let me ask you. Were you the merits (sic) submitted by the Mel Harris and 23 affiant, the person who signed the 23 affidavits of merit, where the plaintiff in Leucadia defendants in New York City Court 24 24 (sic), that I agree to. 25 the affidavit of merit used the words LR 25

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

97 99 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 Credit? 2 question. But I -- I -- I can't say that 3 MR. MILLS: Objection. 3 this is accurate. MR. SCHER: Same objection as 4 4 Q. Fair enough. A. Fair enough? Okay. 5 5 6 Q. Moving on to page --6 Asked and answered. 7 A. Moving on. 7 A. I -- I . . . 8 Q. -- is the next sentence on page 8 Q. You can answer. A. I'm sorry. Say that -- say that 9 9 eight true? -- could you repeat that for me? 10 10 MR. MILLS: Objection. Q. So, was -- serves as affiant Q. Well, actually, I think you 11 11 apparently as to Leucadia defendants. So. testified as to that already but --12 12 let me ask you this --13 A. Which sentence is that? 13 A. I signed no affidavit for 14 14 Q. Beginning on the --15 Leucadia. I could tell you that. 15 A. Okay. Okay. In -- in each 16 Q. Okay. You signed affidavits of 16 affidavit, he attests that he is, quote, an merit such as Exhibit 3 where it says the 17 17 authorized and designated custodian of records for the plaintiff, one of many plaintiff is LR Credit -- used the word LR 18 18 Leucadia debt collections entities. 19 Credit. Is that --19 20 A. I signed this affidavit, yes. 20 MR. SCHER: Same objection. 21 MR. MILLS: Objection before that 21 Again, it's talking about specific 2.2 affidavits, and you're not providing 2.2 answer. 23 Q. Okay. I'm just trying to get to 23 them to him to -what the word affiant. So, let me just ask 24 A. That's correct. How do I --24 this question. When the affidavit of merit 25 25 MR. MILLS: Same. 98 100 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 that you signed lists the plaintiff with the 2 A. I mean I can attest to this one 3 3 word LR Credit --(indicating). 4 A. Okav. Q. When you signed affidavits of 4 Q. -- do you sign affidavits of merit merit, you testified -- you signed them as 5 5 where the words LR Credit are listed as the 6 the authorized designated custodian of 6 7 7 records, right? plaintiff? 8 MR. MILLS: Objection. 8 MR. MILLS: Objection. A. Do I? I -- I sign as an 9 9 A. I can attest to this one affiant for the cases that I managed. 10 (indicating). I mean --10 Q. Okay. And those cases that you Q. You signed the affidavits of 11 11 12 find -- signed affidavits of merit for merit. And you -- you swear under oath that 12 the facts there are true, correct? 13 included cases where the plaintiff had the 13 14 words LR Credit, correct? 14 A. In -- in general terms? When --15 MR. MILLS: Objection. 15 when I sign an affidavit, yes, I'm -- I'm --I'm swearing that the facts are true. 16 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Okay. And the affidavit of merit 17 Q. Okay. 18 The next sentence --18 that you signed -- excuse me -- yes, the 19 A. That -- but again, that doesn't 19 affidavits of merit that you signed while say that here. So, I'm -- can I object? 20 you were at Mel Harris stated that you are 20 21 Because I -- I -- I'm going to say is --21 the authorized and designated custodian of 22 MR. SCHER: Just say --22 record. Is that true? A. -- it's not clear. So, I -- I --23 MR. MILLS: Objection. 23 I can't say that this is accurate because it 24 MR. SCHER: Same. Objection. 24 doesn't tell me who I'm -- I answered your 25 Q. For whichever plaintiff there was 25

101 103 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 in the collection lawsuits, are the A. Okay. Okay. 3 3 affidavits of merit that you signed at Mel Q. Let me ask you the question. The Harris, did they say that you were the affidavits of merit that you signed while 4 4 5 you were at Mel Harris, those affidavits of 5 authorized and designated custodian of 6 merit said that you were the authorized and 6 records ---7 designated custodian of the records for the 7 MR. MILLS: Objection. Q. -- for the plaintiff in those 8 8 plaintiff in that affidavit of merit? 9 affidavits of merit that you signed? 9 MR. MILLS: Objection. MR. MILLS: Objection. 10 A. I cannot answer that question that 10 11 A. Correct. Everything that I signed -- because I -- I -- I would have to look 11 that I maintained the data, I was the -- an 12 through all those affidavits. 12 13 authorized and designated custodian of 13 Q. Well, the forms that you used said that they were affidavits -- the forms that 14 14 15 Q. So, let me just clarify that --15 you used for custodian --16 A. Yes. 16 A. (Indicating) -- Okay. I'm sorry. 17 17 O. -- a little bit. Go ahead. A. Please. 18 18 Q. -- the forms that you used that 19 Q. So, are you saying that any 19 were the affidavits of merit, did they or 20 affidavits of merit that you signed while 20 did they not say that you were the you were at Mel Harris said that you were an 21 21 authorized and designated custodians of 22 authorized and designated custodian of 22 record for the plaintiff? 23 records for the plaintiff that filed that 23 A. I can't --24 suit; is that correct? 24 MR. MILLS: Objection before you 25 MR. MILLS: Objection. 25 answer. 102 104 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2. A. I -- I couldn't -- unless you 2 A. -- recall. produce something -- I -- you know, you want 3 3 Q. Okay. Can you think of a specific me to attest to something that -- I mean instance where the affidavit of merit that 4 4 this -- the -- we had many 5 you signed did not say that you were the 5 affidavits. We had affidavit of service, 6 authorized and designated custodian of 7 affidavits. You know, there's -- there's --7 records for the plaintiff? 8 because he just says each affidavit. There 8 MR. MILLS: Objection. are various affidavits. There's -- there is 9 9 A. I can't recall. 10 -- there's tons of affidavits. 10 Q. Okay. But you can't recall -- the only times that you can recall signing an --11 Q. Okay. Well, let -- let me ask you 11 affidavits of merit included the words 12 a question. 12 A. Yes. Please. 13 authorized and designated use -- custodian 13 14 Q. Put this aside. And I thought I 14 of records for the plaintiff; is that 15 had asked before but I -- let me just ask 15 correct? 16 16 you again. MR. MILLS: Objection. 17 17 A. Can you rephrase the question? A. Yes. Please. Q. The only affidavits of merit that 18 Q. Okay. The affidavits of merit, 18 19 the -- specifically the affidavits of 19 you recall signing while you were at Mel 20 20 Harris said that you were the authorized and merit --21 designated custodian of records for the 21 A. Doesn't say that here. 22 Q. I understand that. But --22 plaintiff; is that correct? 23 23 A. Yeah. MR. MILLS: Objection. O. -- let me -- let's -- I have --24 A. I can -- I can tell you that --24 25 25 for a second. that the one that I'm handing here 26 (Pages 101 to 104)

105 107 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 (indicating), yes, it says that. A. So, I'm trying to find out -- so, 3 MR. KESHAVARZ: Do you have the 3 can I ask back to say -- if I understand affidavits of merit for the other 4 4 your --5 cases? 5 Q. If you --6 б MS. MOODY: Sure. A. -- question --7 7 (Perusing documents.) Q. -- want to clarify --8 MR. SCHER: Just answer the 8 No. I don't. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be 9 9 question. difficult. But you're asking me to 10 10 Q. -- if you want -- clarify, I'll attest to something that is very broad. 11 11 ask him. (Whereupon, an off-the-record 12 12 A. Yes, please clarify. Because 13 you're saying that all of this is one 13 discussion was held.) 14 MR. KESHAVARZ: Let's mark this as 14 15 the next exhibit, please. 15 Q. Other than the -- the specific 16 (Whereupon, the aforementioned 16 texts that refers to the plaintiff -- the 17 Affidavit of Merit (LR Credit 13, LLC 17 specific defendant, the specific account vs. Jose Guzman) was marked as 18 18 number --19 Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 for 19 A. Okay. Can I -- can --20 20 Q. Take your time. identification as of this date by the 21 21 A. Yeah. So, can I mark on it? Reporter.) 22 Q. (Perusing document.) 2.2 Q. No. But you could point to 23 I'm showing you what's been marked 23 something if --24 as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 5. Is this 24 A. Okay. 25 the affidavit of merit that you signed in 25 Q. -- you don't --106 108 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 the case of LR Credit against Jose Guzman? 2 A. So, you're talking about LR Credit 3 A. Can I read it? 3 13. You're talking about Jose Guzman, the Q. Take your time. Please. 4 4 defendant? A. That's fine. 5 5 Q. Yes. б (Perusing document.) 6 A. My name (indicating)? 7 7 Q. Yes -- well, not your name. Well, Okay. 8 Q. So, the question is, looking at 8 go ahead. Exhibit 5, is that the affidavit of merit in 9 9 A. No, I'm -the case of LR Credit 13 versus Jose Guzman 10 10 Q. Yes. 11 that you signed? 11 A. Okay. The plaintiff (indicating)? A. That is my signature, correct. 12 12 Q. Yes. A. The type of company? 13 O. And is there some sort of 13 14 electronic form that was somewhere on Mel 14 Q. Yes. 15 Harris's computer system that had the text 15 A. Are you also referring to the --16 as Exhibit 3 and 5, other than the specific 16 the plaintiff? 17 information for the plaintiff and defendant, 17 Q. The --18 like, the name of the original creditor, the 18 A. -- the original creditor? 19 name of the defendant, the name of the 19 Q. Okay. plaintiff, the amount of the debt, and so 20 20 A. Okay. And the balances? 21 21 forth? Is there a form that existed on Mel Q. Yes. A. Okay. So, are you saying 22 Harris's computer system that is Exhibit 3 22 and 5 without all that specific identifying 23 everything else comes from one document? 23 24 Q. One document or merged from --24 information? 25 25 MR. MILLS: Objection. well, let's take it one at a time. 27 (Pages 105 to 108)

109 111 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 from the database. A. Right. 3 Q. Is there one document that is 3 MR. MILLS: Objection. everything -- strike that. 4 A. Okay. But it comes from a hard 4 I'm going to take it one at a 5 5 drive. time. The specific items you just 6 6 Q. Okay. A hard drive. In what way? identified, can I just call it the specific 7 A. It depends -- which -- which --7 -- specific items? Would you understand 8 which one are you -- the -- it's -- it's 9 9 everything. So, what are you -- are you that to mean --10 talking about the notary? The notary 10 A. Can we --11 O. -- shorthand? 11 information? 12 12 A. -- can we call it a -- data from Q. All right. The notary 13 information, that would not be data from the 13 the database? 14 database, or would it? 14 Q. Okay. So, the items you just 15 listed, we'll call that data from the 15 A. That is not. 16 database. Because that's what it is, right? 16 Q. Okay. So, we'll just call that 17 17 the notary information, okay? It's data from the database? 18 18 A. Not all of it but. A. Okay. 19 Q. Pretty much? 19 Q. Other than the notary information 20 20 and the data from the database, where does A. Yes. 21 21 Q. Okay. Now, other than the data the rest of the information that goes on the from the database, is there a document that 2.2 affidavit of merit come from? 2.2 23 contains the other information that is on 23 MR. MILLS: Objection. 24 A. Can we -- want to go through --24 Exhibit 3 and 5? 25 MR. MILLS: Objection. 25 line by line and go through it? 110 112 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 A. Now, what do you mean by other? Q. If you'd like, sure. 3 Like, everything else? 3 A. Great. So -- so, my name. So, Q. Yes. when I generated this, it would ask me my 4 4 MR. MILLS: Objection. 5 5 name. б 6 Q. Yes. 7 7 A. So, I typed it in, all right? And Q. Most of the other information --8 A. What does most mean? 8 then I -- so, I'm -- I take this out. And 9 Q. You don't know what the word most 9 all of the -- the -- the data from the 10 database, I -- I verify independently before 10 means? 11 the affidavit is printed, okay? And then 11 A. No, but -- you know, you -- I'm --I'm a computer programmer. Most is -- most 12 there's a lot of conditional logic. Like, 12 does not exist in computer programs. for example, retail charge account? 13 13 14 That's, like, about paying your taxes. 14 Q. Yes. 15 Somehow the IRS doesn't like it. It has to 15 A. Okay. I have to -- that is 16 16 entered. That has to be -- you know, to -be exact. 17 17 Q. The information other than what it's -- it's not on a form. we're calling the data from the database 18 18 Q. Okay. But the rest of the 19 that exists in the affidavits of merit that 19 information other than the data from the are Exhibit 3 and 5, where does that 20 20 database, the notary, your name, and whether it says retail charge account, that comes 2.1 21 information come from? 2.2 MR. MILLS: Objection. 22 from a form? Is that what you're saying? A. Which information? 23 Because you used the word form. So, what 23 O. The information on the -- on the 24 did you mean by the word form, the way you 24 25 25 affidavits of merit, other than the data just used it?

113 115 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 A. Okay. It --2 Q. Can I -- if you -- if I used the 3 3 MR. MILLS: Objection. word form, would you understand that to mean A. -- for a form, there's text. 4 the same technical term --4 That's -- the technical word we'll use for 5 5 A. I --6 6 text is a form. So, anything that deals Q. -- as string? with a -- a form or a string -- we call it a 7 A. -- I would prefer if I use the 7 word string. Because the string is the 8 string. Actually, it's a -- the technical 9 word is a string. 9 proper word, so. Q. So, is a string for the affidavits 10 Q. The string. 10 11 of merit everything -- that you signed A. Correct. So --11 everything other than the data from the 12 Q. Let's call it the string. 12 13 database, the notary, your name, and --13 A. String text. Okay. A. So, just these two (indicating)? 14 14 Q. String. Is the string text that 15 I'm -- I'm -- I'm just attesting to these 15 goes into the affidavits of merit that you 16 two, correct? 16 signed, are the words in the paragraph 17 underneath your name (indicating), is that 17 O. Well, let's start here string the same for all the affidavits that 18 18 (indicating)? 19 A. Okay. 19 you -- merit that you signed while at Mel Q. Is -- is everything else that 20 Harris? 20 21 you're -- that goes into this -- these 21 MR. MILLS: Objection. documents come from what you called a form a 22 22 A. I can't answer that. 23 moment ago? 23 Q. Is the string something that --24 MR. MILLS: Objection. 24 okay. Why not? 25 A. Is that one form, or multiple 25 A. Because I can't see them. 114 116 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 forms? Q. Do you remember if it -- well, for 3 3 the affidavits of merit that you did sign, Q. You tell me. 4 A. Okay. It's all conditional. So, 4 they said that -- that you were the it's -- it's everything -- is it -- for --5 authorized and designated custodian of 5 how -- if it's a retail charge agreement, б records for the plaintiff. 6 7 7 MR. MILLS: Objection. that's -- that could -- that could come from one logic part. If it's a loan, it -- then 8 O. Is that true? 8 9 the affidavit is different. If it's A. I -- I can't testify to something 10 that I can't see. I can attest to these 10 medical, the affidavit is different. If 11 it's a health club, the affidavit is 11 two. 12 12 Q. Okay. Do you remember if the different. 13 13 terms authorized and designated custodian of Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you a -- a 14 more narrow question then. 14 records for plaintiff was part of the string 15 15 for the affidavits of merit that you signed? A. Okay. 16 MR. MILLS: Objection. 16 Q. Does the form, as you used the 17 17 term form --A. On which affidavits? 18 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah. 18 Q. Any of the affidavits of merit 19 Q. -- that wasn't my word --19 that you signed at Mel Harris, did they all 20 A. No, that's fine. You -- you keep 20 include the string authorized and designated on asking me to use -- can we just use the custodian of records for the plaintiff? 21 21 22 word string? 22 MR. MILLS: Objection. 23 23 Q. Well, I think . . . A. Any, or all? 24 24 A. Because the technical -- I'm Q. All. 25 25 trying -- you're asking me to give you --A. No, you said any. So, that's why 29 (Pages 113 to 116)

117 119 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 I want to be clear. 2 believe it would be in the code? 3 3 Q. Did any of them say that? A. I'm not sure. 4 4 A. Yes. Q. Would your testimony that you gave 5 5 MR. MILLS: Objection. back in Sykes five years ago be more A. Here are two right here 6 accurate in terms of what you did at Mel 6 7 Harris than it is now? 7 (indicating). 8 Q. Did all of them say that? 8 A. I don't know. 9 A. No. 9 MR. MILLS: Objection. 10 A. Are you speculating that --10 Q. Did most of the affidavits of Q. I'm asking since that was closer 11 merit that you signed while you were at Mel 11 to the time five years ago when you worked 12 12 Harris --13 13 there, do you think that that might be more A. Can you define most? accurate in terms of what your memory is? Q. The majority. 14 14 MR. MILLS: Objection. 15 A. I can't attest to that because I 15 16 -- I -- I can't remember. I mean I -- I 16 A. No. I'd have to read the 17 can't attest to something -- what's a number 17 testimony. Correct? Testimony to what? 18 -- what's the majority? 18 Q. Well, you -- generally speaking, 19 Q. More than 50 percent. 19 do you think your memory of what you did while you were at Mel Harris would be more 20 A. I don't know. 20 MR. MILLS: Objection. 21 accurate in 2012 than it would be today? 21 Q. Let me ask the question. 22 MR. MILLS: Objection. 2.2 23 23 MR. SCHER: You can answer. 24 24 Q. Did more than 50 percent of the A. I don't know. affidavits of merit that you signed while at 25 25 Q. Next sentence, being on page 118 120 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Mel Harris include the phrase that you were 2 eight, is that next sentence true? the authorized and designated custodian of 3 3 MR. MILLS: Objection. records for the plaintiff? 4 4 A. Which -- which one are we on. MR. MILLS: Objection. 5 5 again? A. Could you repeat that? 6 6 Q. He further states --7 Q. Sure. Would more than 50 percent 7 A. Okay. I'll read it. 8 of the affidavits of merit that you signed 8 He further states that he, quote, while you were working at Mel Harris include 9 maintains the daily records and accounts, 9 the phrase that you were the authorized and 10 bracket, for the collection entities (sic), 10 designated custodian of records for the 11 11 end of bracket, in the regular course of plaintiff? 12 business, including records maintained by 12 and obtained from, bracket, the collection 13 A. I can't remember. 13 14 MR. MILLS: Objection. 14 entity's, close bracket, assignor, end 15 Q. If we wanted to go and find out 15 quote. which string was used for the affidavits of 16 16 Q. Is that sentence true? merit that you signed while at Mel Harris, 17 MR. MILLS: Objection. 17 how would we go about in finding that? 18 18 MR. SCHER: Same objection. 19 A. I'd have to look at the code. I 19 A. I -- I don't know what that's in 20 -- it -- it would be in the -- I'm not sure. 20 reference to. If they have -- I don't -how do you -- do you want me to -- to make 21 O. It would be in the code? 21 22 A. It could be. I'm not -- I -- I 22 it -- the -- in reference to what? 23 23 Q. Well, reading that sentence, is don't -- I haven't seen the code in -- in 24 the statements in that sentence true or 24 years. 25 25 Q. But sitting here today, you false?

121 123 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 MR. MILLS: Objection. 2 So, there is no timestamp of the code. So, 3 MR. SCHER: Same objection. 3 the code evolved also. So, you -- it -- you A. But I -- I have to know the -- the can't say, this is the code -- that it did 4 4 5 relativity. So, I further stated -- so, it 5 it at this date. That would be impossible. 6 6 has an ID. Can I see the line on the -- in It's a -- it's a live document -- just like 7 any document -- it -- it doesn't keep --7 the -- it has an ID here. Can I see --8 from my understanding, it doesn't keep the 8 MR. SCHER: It's an Id. 9 9 -- I don't believe they kept historical THE WITNESS: Id? 10 records for the code at the time for -- for 10 MR. SCHER: It's not an ID, no. the affidavits when they were signed. 11 THE WITNESS: See, I'm a 11 12 O. Okav. Next sentence. Is that 12 programmer. Got to have a reference 13 13 number. next sentence true or false? 14 Q. So, I guess -- well, it means what 14 MR. MILLS: Objection. 15 it means. But let me ask you, the 15 MR. SCHER: Same objection. 16 affidavits of merit that you signed while 16 A. (Perusing document.) 17 you were at Mel Harris, did it say that you 17 Fabacher affirms that he is maintained the records and accounts, thereby fully and personally -- okay --18 18 19 bracket, for the collection agency, close 19 Fabacher affirms that he is thereby -- a 20 bracket, in the regular course of business? 20 quote -- thereby fully and personally 21 A. What does that mean? I actually 21 familiar with, and has personal knowledge 2.2 quoted for the collection entities? 2.2 of, the facts and proceedings related (sic) 23 Q. I think the bracket means that you 23 to the, bracket, the debt collections agency insert that data from there. 24 (sic) a -- action, end quote. 24 25 So, the question is, in the 25 Let's see here. 122 124 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 affidavits of merit that you signed while 2 (Perusing documents.) 3 you were at Mel Harris, did it state that 3 See, it doesn't -- this is quoted 4 you maintained the daily records and 4 -- doesn't even match this affidavit. So, accounts for the collection agency in the 5 5 the quote under id, number two doesn't even regular course of business including the --6 match this affidavit. 7 the records maintained by and obtained from 7 MR. SCHER: When you're saying 8 collection agency's assignor? Is that true 8 this affidavit, you're --9 9 or false? THE WITNESS: The one -- Exhibit 10 10 Number 3. So, this is a quote, and it MR. MILLS: Objection. MR. SCHER: Same objection. 11 11 doesn't match the affidavit you A. I can say that it matches this 12 12 presented me. 13 Q. When you -- did you ever work for 13 Exhibit Number 3. 14 Q. And the question is, does -- the 14 any of the -- well, strike that. Did you ever work for LR Credit 1 15 sentence I just stated, is that in the 15 affidavits of merit that you signed while 16 16 through 23? A. Was I employed? 17 you were at Mel Harris? 17 18 A. I'd have to see those affidavits. 18 Q. Were you ever employed by them? I mean I signed a lot -- you know -- I'd 19 19 A. No. 20 have to see those affidavits. Q. Did you ever work for them? 20 Q. All right. Or you could see the MR. MILLS: Objection. 21 2.1 22 code that's on the computer that's at Mel 22 A. What does work mean? 23 23 Harris, right? Q. Do work on their behalf. 24 MR. MILLS: Objection. 24 A. Well, that wouldn't be possible. 25 Because the code actually changed over time. 25 A. What does that mean? 31 (Pages 121 to 124)

125 127 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 Q. Were you ever the custodian of 2 time. And when you say in Exhibit 3 and 5 that you are the authorized and designated 3 records for any of the LR Credit entities 1 3 custodian of records for the plaintiff in 4 4 through 23? 5 New York -- State of New York, what do you 5 MR. MILLS: Objection. 6 mean by that? What do you mean by custodian 6 You asked about 20 times. 7 of records? 7 Q. You can answer. 8 MR. MILLS: Objection. 8 A. I was the custodian of record for A. I mean I'm the custodian of 9 9 10 10 Q. Well, what do you mean by L -- LR records. -- custodian of record for LR 18? 11 Q. What do you mean by that? 11 A. The custodian of records. 12 12 MR. MILLS: Objection. A. The custodian of records. 13 Q. You don't work for any of the LR 13 Credit entities 1 through 23, correct? 14 14 O. So --MR. MILLS: Objection. 15 A. It's a title. 15 16 Q. -- when you signed the affidavits 16 A. Can you -- what are 1 to 23? that are -- of merit that are Exhibit 3 and There is no 1. From my understanding, there 17 17 Exhibit 4 (sic), both of them say that 18 is no LR Credit 1, LLC. 18 19 you're the authorized and designated 19 Q. What are the LR Credit entities 20 custodian of records for the plaintiff, 20 that you are aware of? 21 21 MR. MILLS: Objection. correct? 22 2.2 A. I'm aware LR Credit 18, comma, A. Sentence number one? 23 Q. Yes. 23 LLC. 24 24 Q. Anything else? A. Okay. It says, I am the -- I am 25 -- I am an authorized, not the. That was 25 A. I do remember LR Credit 15, comma, 126 128 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 LLC. I remember LR Credit 10, comma, LLC. incorrect. 3 3 That's -- I don't specifically recall the O. Go ahead. 4 exact names of each LLC. 4 A. I am an authorized and designated custodian of record (sic) for the plaintiff 5 Q. And by custodian of records, do 5 in the State of New York, that I maintain 6 you mean that you have the -- the computer б system that you used at Mel Harris -- the 7 the daily records and accounts in the 7 data that was transferred on behalf of LR 8 regular course of business, including the 8 records maintained by and obtained for (sic) 9 9 Credit 13 and 18? plaintiff's assignor, which were made and 10 MR. MILLS: Objection. 10 maintained in the regular course of 11 Q. Is that what you mean by custodian 11 business, and that I am thereby fully and 12 of records? 12 personally familiar with, and have personal 13 13 MR. MILLS: Objection. 14 knowledge of, the facts and proceedings 14 A. Could you repeat the question? Q. I'm just trying to get --15 relating to (sic) within action. 15 O. Okay. And that language you just 16 A. No, I'm -- I'm just -- because 16 you're asking me a specific question. And 17 read is the same for both Exhibit 3 and 17 it has a specific title. It's custodian of 18 Exhibits 4 (sic) the affidavit of merit for 18 19 Bueno and for Guzman, correct? 19 records. 20 20 Q. Okay. What records were you a A. That's correct. custodian of for LR Credit 18 and LR Credit 21 21 O. Okay. 22 MR. MILLS: That's Exhibit 5, I 22 13 for Exhibits 3 and 5? 23 MR. MILLS: Objection. 23 24 A. I was the custodian for the data 24 MR. KESHAVARZ: Oh. Three and 5. 25 25 Q. Now, let's take them one at a that you see here on this affidavit.

129 131 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. Okay. Were you the custodian for 2 does it mean to you? any other records for LR Credit 13 in 3 3 A. I -- means I'm the custodian of Exhibit 3 or LR Credit -- excuse me. Strike 4 4 record. 5 5 MR. MILLS: Objection before the 6 б Other than the data that is in answer. 7 7 Exhibit 3 and 5, were you the custodian of Q. Anything else? any other records for LR Credit 18 or 13? MR. MILLS: Objection. 8 9 A. What do you mean by any other 9 A. It means what I signed --10 10 records? Q. Okay. A. -- which is custodian of record. 11 Q. Well, you said -- well, you tell 11 12 12 Q. Moving on to the next -- the next me. 13 sentence -- the -- continuing that sentence 13 A. I mean you want me -- their -their home address, their telephone numbers? 14 from both Exhibit 3 and 5, by the daily 14 15 Q. Okay. 15 records, you mean the information that's in A. I mean I . . . 16 16 the affidavits of merit that's -- that's 3 Q. When you say the records for 17 17 and 5, correct? Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 5, what do you mean by 18 18 A. Can you -- the sentence? I'm 19 records? 19 sorry. I apologize. Which sentence are you 20 MR. SCHER: Objection. 20 referring to? 21 A. For here, I mean the records that 21 Q. Continuing on, where it says, I you see here on the affidavit. That's what 2.2 maintain the daily records and accounts in 22 23 I -- what I'm attesting to. 23 the regular course of business. And then it 24 Q. Do you mean anything else other 24 says, including the records maintained and 25 25 obtained from the plaintiff's assignor. than that? 130 132 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2. MR. MILLS: Objection. 2 Other -- do you mean anything other than the 3 A. From my understanding, what I 3 information that's reflected in the affidavits of merit that's Exhibit 3 and 5? 4 signed, I'm attesting to what is on this 4 5 5 MR. SCHER: Note my objection. affidavit. Q. So, when you say records in б MR. MILLS: Opinion as well. 6 7 Exhibit 3 and 5, you mean the data that is 7 A. No. I'm only attesting to -- to 8 on Exhibit 3 and 5? 8 whatever the -- what I mean here is -- is --9 I'm basically saying that I maintain this 9 A. That's correct. Q. Do you mean anything else? 10 10 data. MR. MILLS: Objection. 11 11 Q. So, the -- when you say records 12 and accounts, you -- as you say in Exhibit 3 12 A. No. and 5, you mean only the information in the Q. Okay. So, when you say -- and by 13 13 14 custodian, what do you mean? 14 affidavit of merit; is that correct? 15 15 MR. MILLS: Objection. MR. SCHER: Note my objection. A. Custodian. It's a definition. 16 MR. MILLS: Objection. 16 Q. Well, what do you mean by that? 17 A. No. I am saying -- no, I -- I am 17 basically saying that I'm attesting to what 18 A. I mean -- well, if you give me 18 19 19 you see here. It doesn't say only. a --20 Q. What else are you attesting to? 20 MR. MILLS: Objection. A. -- a dictionary, I'll read the 21 What other records or accounts --21 22 definition. How do you -- how do you mean 22 A. I don't -by custodian? It has a legal definition, 23 23 Q. -- do you have --24 A. -- I can't give you an answer. If 24 sir. 25 you ask me a specific question, I will 25 Q. But -- well, you signed it. What

133 135 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 answer you. 2 paragraph of the -- of the affidavits of 3 Q. Do you have -- when you said --3 merit that are Exhibit 3 and 5, the word -we've already established -- right? -- that 4 4 A. Could I see Number 5, please? when you say the words of records, you mean 5 You're asking me to testify to something I the information that's in the text of the 6 6 can't see. affidavit of merit that's Number 3 and 7 7 Q. The first paragraph in Exhibit 3 and 5 are the same; is that correct? 8 Number 5, correct? We've established it? 9 A. I said it included it. 9 A. Well, I -- can you -- can I see 10 10 Q. But it -- does it mean anything it, please? 11 else? When you say the -- the records, do 11 Q. Please take your time you mean anything else? 12 12 (indicating). 13 MR. MILLS: Objection. 13 A. (Perusing documents.) A. I don't know -- what do you mean Okay. Please. 14 14 Q. Those two paragraphs are the same, 15 by anything else? 15 16 Q. You mean the data that's in 16 correct? Exhibit 3 and 5, correct? 17 17 A. Let me read it. 18 A. I think the -- what are you --18 (Perusing documents.) talking -- you know, sir, you want their ZIP 19 19 Yes, they are the same. Yes, they 20 Code? You want their -- their -- there are 20 are the same. 21 five -- I'm a computer programmer. You have 21 Q. Okay. So, when you say custodian to give me the field, and you have to give 22 2.2 of records, let's take them one at a time, 23 me the string which I can attest to. 23 what do you mean by the term records? 24 Q. Well --24 MR. MILLS: Objection. 25 A. I mean what are you looking for? 25 A. I mean the term records. 134 136 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Their -- their -- their -- their 2 Q. Do you mean the records of 3 GPS coordinates? 3 anything other than what's reflected in the 4 Q. I have no idea. I'm trying to 4 affidavits of merit themselves that's 5 5 figure --Exhibit 3 and 5? 6 A. So, I'm --6 MR. MILLS: Objection. Q. -- out --7 A. I don't know what you mean by 7 means of records but -- do you have the 8 A. -- asking you --8 9 Q. -- when you swear under oath --9 specific example? A. And I --10 Q. Well, you used the word records. 10 So, I'm trying to find out what specific 11 Q. -- that --11 A. -- take that seriously. And records do you mean. 12 12 you're asking me -- very general. 13 13 A. Do you -- if you ask me a specific (Whereupon, an off-the-record 14 14 question, I'll give you a specific answer. 15 discussion was held.) 15 Q. Okay. In the affidavit of merit that's Exhibit 3, when you use the word Q. So, my question is very specific. 16 16 17 records, what do you mean? 17 A. (Indicating.) MR. SCHER: You're ready to jump 18 18 MR. MILLS: Objection. 19 in and give more answer. 19 A. Records. 20 20 THE WITNESS: I didn't. Q. Do you mean records other than the 21 information that's reflected in Exhibit 3? 2.1 MR. SCHER: Let him ask the 22 question. 22 MR. MILLS: Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: I know. My mother 23 A. I mean records. I didn't -- I would not be happy. Okay. 24 don't mean anything other -- other than it 24 25 Q. When you say in the first 25 says. It says, the records for the 34 (Pages 133 to 136)

137 139 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 plaintiff. 2 are you saying, am I speculating, that I --3 I -- I maintain more than what is here? I 3 Q. I understand that but my question is this. When you use the word records, do 4 can't answer that question. 4 you mean records of anything other than Q. Well, I -- I didn't put the words 5 6 records down -- the word records down --6 what's Exhibit 3? 7 A. I did. And that's -- and that's a 7 MR. MILLS: Objection. 8 A. In this particular reference? definition. You're asking me to define 8 9 records. And I'm giving you the definition. 9 A. I believe I am referencing --10 If you get a Webster dictionary, we can look 10 well, I can't -- I don't know this. Because 11 11 in this case, I am saving that I am 12 12 Q. Well, I'm -- I'm not trying to use maintaining the records, and I am attesting 13 the word records generally. You swore as to 13 what records four times? 14 to this value. I -- I don't think I am 14 saying I am maintaining all of their 15 15 A. That's correct. And I used the 16 records, all of their data. 16 same word. And I'm giving you back the word 17 I used four times which is records. 17 O. That's fine. I'm -- I'm not trying to say you are. I'm just trying to 18 Q. Okay. My question is this --18 figure out when you use the words of A. Yes, sir. 19 19 20 records, you use it twice --20 Q. -- when you use the word records A. Actually, I use it -in these two affidavits of merit --21 21 Q. -- three times --22 A. That's correct. 2.2 A. Four. Four times, actually. 23 23 Q. -- do you mean anything other than 24 Q. All right. Four times. The four 24 the information that's in these two specific times you used the word records in the 25 25 affidavits of merit? 138 140 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 affidavits of merit that are Exhibit 3 and 2 A. Can you give me an example of 3 Exhibit 5 for these specific affidavits of 3 another? 4 merit --4 Q. I don't know. But you said 5 5 A. For these specific affidavits. records. So --Q. -- what do you mean by the words б 6 A. And I'm --7 records as -- specifically as to Exhibits 3 7 Q. -- did you --A. -- giving you record -- I'm sorry. 8 and 5? 8 9 Go ahead. I'm sorry. 9 A. I --10 10 Q. Did you mean records of anything MR. MILLS: Objection. A. -- specifically mean records. other than the affidavits of merits 11 11 That's what they are. It's a -- it's a -themselves? 12 12 it's a definition. It is a record. 13 13 A. I -- I -- I don't know how to 14 Q. Okay. And you mean the records 14 answer that question. that are reflected in the affidavits of 15 15 Q. Sure. When you use the records, 16 16 merit themselves; is that right? specifically --17 A. I don't understand that question. 17 A. Right there. Q. -- as to Mr. -- Miss Bueno and Mr. 18 Q. Do you mean -- by records, do you 18 19 mean anything else other than the 19 Guzman, specifically as to these people --20 information that's reflected in Exhibit 3 20 A. That's correct. Q. -- okay -- do you mean anything 21 2.1 2.2 A. I -- I'm -- do I -- do I -- what 22 other than the information that is reflected is mean? You know, I -- I don't know what I in the affidavits of merits themselves? 23 23 A. I don't -- I -- I don't know mean. I don't know what I mean. What does 24 24 25 mean mean? Like, what do I mean? Am I --25 what it infers -- I don't -- I -- I --

141 143 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Yes. records stands for records. A. The word the. 3 3 Q. I'm not trying to get the definition of records generally --4 4 Q. Okay. 5 A. Well, I -- but you're -- you're 5 A. I was a, not -- not -- I was not asking me under -- to -- something that I --6 6 the. The means singular. I -- you want me that I signed under oath. And now I'm 7 to testify? I'm --7 testifying for -- and you're asking me to Q. I'm listening. I'm listening. 8 give you a general definition. If you -- I 9 A. -- I mean I'm not an attorney but 9 -- I -- I can't answer that question 10 this is crazy. Are you guys going to --10 have me testify and get me in trouble. 11 because . . . 11 12 12 Q. What records -- and I'm going to Q. I'm just trying to figure out -try to get at it in a different way. 13 A. Well, I'm --13 14 A. There you go. 14 O. -- these --15 Q. I might succeed. I might fail. 15 A. -- not going to testify and put 16 But at least try. 16 myself in trouble, sir. I was one of the 17 17 custodian of records. A. Try. Q. For LR Credit 18 and 13? 18 Q. When you say records in Exhibit 3 18 19 and 5, you mean electronic information 19 A. That's correct. 20 that's transferred to Mel Harris; is that 20 Q. Are you aware who were any other 21 21 custodian of records? right? 2.2 2.2 A. I'm not. MR. MILLS: Objection. 23 A. No. Not only electronic. 23 Q. So, you are a custodian. And so, Q. Well, let me just strike -- ask 24 when you -- for Exhibit 3 and 5, when you 24 25 the question again. I --25 say records, do you mean the records that 142 144 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 are the information reflected in Exhibit 3 A. Okay. 3 3 Q. -- it might be the same question. and 5; is that correct? 4 4 Maybe it's not the same. Let me just ask A. It includes. 5 5 you this. Q. What else, if anything, do the records for Exhibit 3 and 5 include? A. Okay. 6 6 7 A. Say that again? 7 Q. When --8 MR. SCHER: I'm not saying 8 Q. When you use the word records for 9 Exhibit 3 and 5, do you -- do you -- do you 9 anything. I --10 mean anything other than the information MR. KESHAVARZ: You're going to 10 that's reflected in the Affidavits of Merit 11 11 12 MR. SCHER: (Inaudible.) Ask him that is Exhibit 3 and 5? 12 13 MR. MILLS: Objection. if it included electronic records, 13 14 electronic information as opposed to --14 A. What do you mean by -- see, I'm being -- I'm trying to -- to get -- for 15 I think that's what he's getting at --15 example, I -- here, on the third paragraph, ask him -- ask him if it included 16 16 it says retail charge account, okay? So, 17 17 electronic records or electronic -you know, are you talking about something 18 MR. KESHAVARZ: Well, I'm trying 18 like that? What do you mean by records? We 19 to get to -- that's not what I'm trying 19 can go through every single field. I need to get at. But I appreciate it. 20 20 you to -- explain to me. Because I'm a Q. Are you, in fact -- were you, in 21 21 computer person. Is it -- you're saying 22 fact, the custodian of records for LR Credit 22 23 it's coming from a database? Did I read it? 23 18 and 13? MR. MILLS: Objection. 24 You're asking me to speculate. 24 25 Q. I'm not. I'm not trying to do 25 A. For these two cases?

145 147 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 that. 2 memory? Did you -- excuse me -- 2001 to 3 2012. Does that refresh your memory? Do 3 A. That's what you're doing. you believe you worked at Mel Harris between O. All right. 4 4 5 2001-2012? 5 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held, and a luncheon 6 6 MR. SCHER: Objection to form. A. Yeah. I guess. I don't -- yeah. 7 7 recess was taken.) I guess -- I don't remember the dates but 8 (Thereupon, the following 8 proceedings were had without Jessica yeah. I guess I put it in there. Sure. 9 9 Q. Okay. All right. Now, who is Moody in the deposition suite.) 10 10 Pinpoint Technologies? MR. MILLS: Before you start, I 11 11 A. It's a company. would just like to pose an objection to 12 12 the video recording of the deposition 13 Q. Okay. What's the relationship 13 between Pinpoint Technologies and Mel 14 of the witness. We object to that. 14 15 That's on the record. 15 Harris? 16 MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. 16 A. There is -- actually, I did not 17 work for Pinpoint Technologies. I was not MR. SCHER: Well, while we're 17 an employee. I -- I was -- I was a small stating things on the record, I just 18 18 shareholder of it. 19 wanted to make sure that we know that 19 20 the witness is reserving the right to 20 Q. Okay. What is Pinpoint 21 review his transcript. 21 Technologies? 22 MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. Not A. It was a -- it's a debt -- I 2.2 23 really an objection. 23 believe it's a debt holding company. 24 Let's mark this as the next 24 Q. Buyer of charged-off consumer debts? Is that what you mean? 25 exhibit, please. 25 146 148 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned A. Yes. Todd Fabacher LinkedIn page was marked 3 3 Q. Who are the other owners of as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for 4 4 Pinpoint? identification as of this date by the 5 5 MR. SCHER: Objection. 6 A. I'm not sure. I'm not -- I'm just 6 Reporter.) 7 7 a member. I'm not -- I'm not a -- an Q. (Perusing document.) 8 Take a look at Exhibit 6, and let 8 officer in it. 9 9 me know when you're done, sir. O. Who are the other members? A. (Perusing document.) 10 MR. SCHER: Objection. 10 2001. Okay. I see it. 11 A. I'm not sure. I'm not an officer 11 Q. Can you identify what Number 6 is? 12 in the -- in the company. 12 A. It's -- it's mine -- it's me. 13 Q. I understand. Do you know who are 13 14 Q. It's your LinkedIn page? 14 -- the other members are? 15 A. Uh-huh. 15 A. I think -- do I -- do I know one? 16 Q. LinkedIn page -- of the 16 Yeah. I know Michael Young. 17 information on your LinkedIn page, is 17 Q. Anyone else? Exhibit 6 true and correct? A. I don't -- this is speculation --18 18 19 A. Okay. 19 I don't -- I'm not -- again, I don't -- I don't have the records to say, like, you 20 20 Q. Is it? know, who is an actual member. I never -- I A. It looks like it, yeah. 21 21 don't know who the -- the -- all the owners 22 Q. All right. So, let me go down to 22 the bottom of the first page where it says 23 23 Mel Harris & Associates -- Technologies --24 O. You don't remember any of the 24 25 2011 (sic) to 2012. Does that refresh your 25 members, other than yourself and Mr. Young? 37 (Pages 145 to 148)

149 151 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Okay. All right. Who is the law A. I believe Kerry Lutz. But again, 3 3 firm that Pinpoint Technologies uses to do it's speculation. Q. Well, I'm just asking, do you know debt collection litigation? 4 4 5 if Kerry Lutz is one of the members of 5 A. One of the -- I think we use Pinpoint Technologies? 6 6 several -- I think one of the firms was Mel A. I believe so. 7 7 Harris. Q. Okay. Other than Kerry Lutz, 8 8 Q. Okay. Was that the main firm you Michael Young, and yourself, are there any 9 used while Mel Harris was in existence? 9 other members of Pinpoint Technologies? 10 10 A. I'm not an officer. I don't know. A. I don't know. You'd need to check 11 11 I'm an investor. 12 12 the records. MR. SCHER: Start -- start 13 13 Q. You don't know -wrapping it up. Pinpoint, they --14 MR. KESHAVARZ: That's fine. 14 A. Yeah, I don't know. As I said, 15 we're just -- I was an investor. 15 MR. SCHER: -- have nothing to do 16 Q. Okay. Is that still running? Is 16 with -that a -- still an active company? 17 17 MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. MR. SCHER: -- the litigation. A. I believe it is, yes. 18 18 19 Q. Okay. It's an LLC? Pinpoint 19 He's --20 Technologies is an LLC? 20 MR. KESHAVARZ: That's fine. 21 A. I'm going to speculate. I -- I 21 MR. SCHER: -- a non-party. 2.2 don't know. 2.2 A. Yeah, I don't -- I mean -- I'm 23 Q. You don't know. That's fine. 23 not -- I'm just an investor. A. I don't know. Yeah, I'd have to 24 Q. That's fine. That's fine. 24 25 check on my tax returns. But I am -- I 25 A. Okay. 150 152 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Thank you. would assume. Q. All right. And how long has Pin 3 A. I just --3 -- strike that -- Pinpoint Technologies is Q. That's fine. That's good for the 4 4 the plaintiff in collection lawsuits, or do 5 technology --5 6 you know? A. Yeah. 6 7 7 A. Yes. O. -- that's -- that's the whole Q. Okay. And do you know if 8 point of me bringing --8 judgments entered in the name of any of the 9 9 A. Yeah. Q. -- this up. LR Credit entities were transferred into the 10 10 11 11 name of Pinpoint? A. Okay. Q. Read the description of the work 12 MR. MILLS: Objection. 12 A. I don't know of any. you did at Mel Harris, and --13 13 14 Q. All right. 14 A. It's not very clear. Hold on. 15 A. I cannot think of any, no. 15 (Perusing document.) Q. All right. The -- the -- the same 16 Q. -- and let me know when you're 16 stuff that you did at Mel Harris is the same 17 17 done. 18 stuff you did at Mel -- at Pinpoint 18 A. Okay. 19 Technologies, generally speaking? 19 Q. And all the statements in that 20 A. No. 20 paragraph are true and correct? 21 2.1 Q. In what way they -- were they A. Yes. 22 different? 22 Q. Okay. And is all that information 23 23 A. Pinpoint is -- is a -- is an LLC in that paragraph, does that apply to your work at Mel Harris? 24 -- that it -- it's a -- it doesn't write 24 25 software. 25 A. I would say yes. 38 (Pages 149 to 152)

153 155 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. Okay. So, the last sentence where 2 A. I believe. It's -- rnis.exe is 3 3 it says, these creative programs range from the name of the program. state of the art restraining notice and 4 Q. Okay. And what about for 4 5 information subpoena automation to complete pre-judgment litigation? litigation strategy, and comprehensive 6 A. Pre-judgment is handled by 6 collection programming, what do you mean by 7 Debtmaster which I did not create. 7 8 8 Q. Do you know why they're two that? 9 A. So, these are -- what I'm 9 different softwares? speculating -- what I'm saying here is post 10 10 A. No. 11 judgment. I'm -- I'm -- I'm not -- it's not 11 Q. Okay. -- this is -- this is not regarding to 12 A. It was prior to me. 12 13 litigation. This would be all post 13 Q. The Debtmaster is prior to you? 14 14 judgment. A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. But is the computer program 15 Q. And then you did the post-judgment 16 you're talking about, that applies to 16 collection after that? creating affidavits of merit --17 17 A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now, the information that 18 A. No. 18 Q. It doesn't? 19 19 goes into Mel Harris's computer system, is 20 A. That's not what I'm -- they had --20 there an integration between the data that's 21 did not have affidavits. These are 21 in Samsery's electronic information and Mel information subpoenas. I'm not writing 2.2 22 Harris's? 23 anything about affidavit of merits (sic) 23 A. Could you be clear on that 24 here. 24 question? 25 Q. Okay. Well, why is there a 25 Q. Sure. I'm just -- what I'm trying 154 156 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 different programming for post-judgment 2 to get at is, like, affidavits of service 3 3 collections as opposed to doing affidavits with dates and times and locations, in prior 4 4 of merit? testimony, I -- I think it was established 5 5 A. Because it's a different that that information is typed into a 6 б database. Now -application. 7 7 A. What -- again -- again, be very Q. But you -- you created both 8 applications? 8 specific for me. So, what information? 9 9 A. Did I create both? I was one of Q. So --10 the programmers. 10 A. Can I write this down? I want to 11 Q. Okay. Were you the main 11 be clear. programmer? 12 12 Q. Whatever you like. I mean don't 13 A. Depends what time of the year. 13 write on the exhibits. 14 Some I was less. Some I would -- I would 14 A. Yeah. say, you know -- yeah, it depends on the 15 15 Q. But if you want to write it on a piece of paper, it's fine --16 time frame. 16 A. Right. 17 17 Q. All right. And the -- is there a 18 different name for the program for 18 Q. -- with me. It's up to you. 19 pre-judgment and post-judgment collections? 19 So, my understanding is, from 20 A. Yeah, we -- we called it RNIS. 20 Samserv that they get a process server to go out, and comes back. And he says he served 21 Q. And do you know what that stands 21 22 for? 22 the process. And he fills out a form --23 A. Okay. I -- I don't know any of 23 A. Restraining notice information 24 24 subpoena. that. 25 Q. That's the name of the program? 25 Q. I know. I understand that. 39 (Pages 153 to 156)

157 159 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as A. Okav. 3 3 Q. I'm just trying to get to the data Exhibit 7. 4 4 (Whereupon, the aforementioned part. 5 5 They fill out a form and -- where Affidavit of service (LR Credit 18, LLC they claim to have made service in a certain 6 vs. Agustina Bueno) was marked as б way. People at Samserv typed the 7 Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 for 7 8 information into their database, a Microsoft identification as of this date by the 9 Access database apparently --9 Reporter.) 10 10 Q. (Perusing document.) A. Okay. So, I'm showing you what's been 11 Q. -- is the name of the process 11 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. This is serve, the date of the service, the location 12 12 13 of the service, any other information that 13 the affidavit of service by Samserv for my 14 client Agustina Bueno. Can you take a look goes to an affidavit of merit. So, were you 14 15 aware of that? 15 at that document, and let me know when 16 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection to form. 16 you're done. 17 17 A. I was not. MR. MILLS: Just to note, there 18 18 Q. Okay. Now, do you know if any of are no copies for me and counsel to the information from Samserv regarding who 19 19 look at. 20 the process server is, the dates of service, 20 MR. KESHAVARZ: Here goes another. 21 the locations of service, do you know if 21 There you go (handing document). MR. MILLS: (Perusing document.) 22 that electronic information is integrated 22 23 with Mel Harris in any way? 23 A. (Perusing document.) 24 A. It wasn't --24 Okay. 25 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 25 Q. Okay. So, my question is, the 158 160 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 information that's in the Samserv affidavit, A. -- it wasn't my area. Q. Do you -- but even if it's not 3 in terms of the -- you know, the location of 3 your area, do you know if there was some 4 service, the date, and --4 sort of integration between electronic 5 A. Where is --5 6 information from Samserv and the -- Mel 6 Q. -- time of service --7 A. -- okay --7 Harris's database --8 8 Q. -- and so forth --MR. LICHTMAN: Objection --9 A. -- where would that be? I just 9 Q. -- of programming? MR. LICHTMAN: -- objection. 10 see that -- that it says summons and -- oh, 10 you're saying -- it says -- so, I'm just 11 A. Could -- could you repeat the 11 going to read it so I make sure I'm clear. 12 12 question? MR. SCHER: Well, don't read it 13 13 Q. Sure. The reason I -- I brought 14 this Exhibit 6 out was I was interested in 14 out loud if -- if you're just trying to 15 this program that automates the collection 15 read -- understand it. 16 16 A. (Perusing document.) process --17 So, I'm not an attorney. So, I 17 A. That's a post-judgment collection. 18 Q. Okay. 18 don't understand service -- so, I'm a --A. It's only post-judgment. 19 Q. I just want -- I'm just interested 19 Q. That's the reason I brought it 20 20 in the data. 2.1 21 out. A. Want me to read something, and you 22 22 can't -- I --A. Yeah. 23 23 Q. So, I'm -- that was bringing me to MR. SCHER: Hold on. this line of questioning. So, for 24 24 A. -- I don't understand? 25 25 example --MR. SCHER: Let him ask a 40 (Pages 157 to 160)

161 163 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 auestion. 2 vacate judgments --3 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. A. No. The --4 4 Q. So --MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 5 5 MR. SCHER: He interrupted you in Q. Okay. the middle of your question. 6 A. -- the restraint program, that 6 7 Q. All right. So, the data -- the 7 would be the legal. That's a different question is, is the data in the affidavit of 8 department. So, once it's -- goes to there, 8 9 service, Exhibit 7, the location of service, 9 then it's -- it's -- it would not be in my the name of the process server, the name of 10 10 program. the consumer, is all that information 11 11 Q. Okay. 12 somehow integrated between Samserv and Mel A. So, it -- it only deals with 12 13 Harris' system? 13 active judgments. So, that -- the answer to that would be no, from my understanding. 14 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 14 A. What do you mean by integrated? Q. All right. Well, let me ask you 15 15 Q. Can Mel Harris access 16 16 this. If -- strike that. electronically the information that's in 17 17 If Mel Harris wanted to find out 18 the dates of service and locations of 18 Exhibit 7? 19 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 19 service for Samserv serving for Mel Harris A. Electronically? 20 -- okay? -- if they wanted to find that out 20 21 O. Yes. 21 to see if the process servers were serving at the same time in more than one location, 22 A. No. 2.2 23 O. Can Mel Harris access the 23 is there any way for Mel Harris to determine 24 24 information that's in Exhibit 7 in some that? 25 25 other way --A. I don't know. I -- it was not my 162 164 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. department. 3 3 Q. -- other than electronic? Q. Do you know who might know that? A. By paper. 4 A. Mel Harris. 4 Q. Okay. And Mel Harris does -- did 5 Q. Anyone else? 5 Mel Harris keep a scanned copy of the A. I don't. б 6 7 7 Q. Does Mel Harris himself do any of affidavits of service? 8 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 8 the computer work? 9 A. I -- I -- I -- possibly. I A. What do you mean by computer work? 9 Q. I don't know. The programming, don't -- I think -- I know -- I -- I don't 10 10 know if this one they did. I know they -the data management. Because -- because you 11 11 they did scan but I don't know what they 12 asked -- Mel Harris to ask him. 12 13 13 scanned. A. Well, he would know. 14 Q. I mean one reason I'm asking you, 14 Q. Were you the -- who -- who did the tech work at Mel Harris other than yourself? 15 you talked about the post-judgment program 15 that you set up, right? One thing that A. Over the years, probably, like, 16 16 happens in post-judgment is -- and a maybe seven or eight different people. I 17 17 consumer sometimes has to -- moves to try to don't even remember the names. 18 18 19 vacate a judgment. And Mel Harris files a 19 Q. Was there another main person that 20 -- a motion to oppose that. My -- my point 20 did tech while you were there? 21 is that sometimes Mel Harris attaches an 21 A. One guy was Oleg. He -- yeah, affidavit of service. So, that's why I'm Oleg. I don't know his last name. 22 22 wondering in your program, your 23 Q. Does Mr. Harris himself do the 23 post-judgment program, does that integrate 24 24 tech work at all for --25 anything about orders to show cause to 25 A. No.

165 167 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. Okay. So, would Mel Harris -- to 2 varieties. So, that's inaccurate. 3 your knowledge, would Mr. Harris know the 3 Q. But that statement would be true tech as to whether Samserv's information is 4 for credit card debts? 4 5 accessible by Mel Harris's firm? 5 A. Not necessarily. Because I'm 6 looking at your affidavit, and it doesn't. б A. You --7 7 Q. Looking at Exhibit 5. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 8 8 A. -- would have to ask him. A. Exhibit 5. 9 O. Okay. He would -- he would be the 9 Q. So, the next sentence, is the next person most likely to know? 10 10 sentence true? 11 A. I don't know. I mean it was his 11 MR. MILLS: Objection. 12 12 A. In preparing affidavit (sic) of -- his law firm. 13 Q. All right. Let's see if we can 13 merits (sic), Fabacher uses various database and software programs to import, sort, and finish up. Going back to Exhibit 4 -- see 14 14 15 if we can finish up a couple of items on 15 check the competencies (sic) of 16 there. 16 electronic --17 17 A. Okav. MR. SCHER: (Inaudible.) Q. (Perusing document.) 18 A. -- I'm sorry? -- oh --18 19 Go to page seven -- no, eight. 19 completeness -- I'm sorry -- I apologize --20 A. (Perusing document.) 20 I can't -- I don't have my glasses -- I didn't think I was going to read --21 21 Eight. completeness of electronic data received by 22 Q. Where were we at? 22 A. We were on the second id -- I said the Leucadia -- that is spelled 23 23 24 ID -- which -- they quoted me. And that's 24 L-E-U-C-A-D-I-A -- defendants from the 25 actually not accurate. So, I just -- for 25 various creditors and debt sellers from whom 166 168 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 they purchase debt, period. the record. 3 3 Q. Is that statement true? Q. Which quotes? A. Well, they put it -- this in A. Let me go through that again and 4 4 quotes, and it's not what's on these 5 make sure. Preparing affidavit (sic) of 5 6 merit, Fabacher uses -- that is true. б affidavits --7 7 Q. Okay. One down. Q. Okay. 8 A. -- it's not -- it's -- it's not 8 A. One down. 9 9 Q. The next sentence, is the next verbatim. 10 10 Q. The next sentence, it says each sentence true? affidavit. Is that sentence true? 11 11 MR. MILLS: Objection. MR. MILLS: Objection. 12 12 A. (Perusing document.) 13 O. I need -- read it --13 Typically, Fabacher does not 14 A. Yeah. Each affidavit then 14 receive the original credit agreement (sic) 15 provides information on the underlying debt, 15 between the account holders and the including the relevant account number, 16 16 creditors. 17 original creditor, and outstanding balance. 17 Q. Is that statement true? 18 Q. Okay. Is that statement true? 18 MR. MILLS: Objection. 19 A. No, it's not. 19 A. I don't agree with that. 20 Q. In what way? 20 Q. In what way? A. Well, because it makes an 2.1 A. I don't like typically. That's --21 22 assumption that they're all credit card 22 that's -- that's speculative. And that's -debts. You don't have -- you know, you have that -- that's not necessarily -- I don't --23 23 all kinds of debt. You have loans. You 24 I don't agree with it. 24 25 25 have gym membership. You have all Q. Do you think you typically do look 42 (Pages 165 to 168)

169 171 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 at it? 2 Q. Okay. But for the -- when LR A. I --3 3 Credit gets -- when -- strike that. When Mel Harris gets an account to 4 Q. Or you just --4 5 A. -- the typically -- can you tell 5 sue on -- gets an account to sue a consumer me some percentage? What's a percentage? 6 б on, it doesn't generally get the credit card Are we talking 14 percent, 12 percent, 12 --7 7 statements, right? 62 percent? What does typically mean? 8 MR. SCHER: Are you talking about 9 Q. So, your dispute with the sentence 9 the -- the Sykes decision? Are you is you don't understand what the word 10 talking about now? Are --10 typically means; is that right? 11 11 MR. KESHAVARZ: I'm just --A. That's correct. 12 12 MR. SCHER: -- not now but --Q. Okay. Are the majority -- the 13 MR. KESHAVARZ: -- I'm just --13 instances -- is it true that a majority of 14 14 Q. Put aside -- put aside the order 15 the instances, you don't receive the 15 for a second. I'm just asking you --16 original credit agreement between the 16 A. Okav. 17 account holders and the creditors? 17 Q. -- because you used the word credit card statements. So, I'm just going 18 A. Well, what do you mean by original 18 19 agreement? 19 to ask you, isn't it true that for the vast 20 Q. I mean -- I'm just -- I'm just 20 majority of the accounts that Mel Harris 21 trying to nail down the word --21 sues upon that when it gets the account, it 2.2 2.2 doesn't have the credit card agreements; is A. No --23 Q. -- typically. You don't know what 23 that true? 24 24 MR. MILLS: Objection. the --25 25 A. That is not true. A. -- no, because -- original 170 172 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Okay. What percentage of the agreement, that's inaccurate. Because a lot 3 of times, the agreement is done over the 3 accounts --4 phone. You can get credit access over the 4 A. I have no idea. But I could tell 5 phone -- at the checkout counter now. 5 you that it's not true. б Get -- is that? 6 Q. But you don't know how much? 7 Q. Okay. All right. 7 A. No, I don't. 8 A. It's -- it's not accurate. 8 Q. Next sentence, is the next 9 Q. Okay. The next sentence, is the 9 sentence true? 10 10 next sentence true? MR. MILLS: Objection. A. So, that would be, in many 11 MR. MILLS: Objection. 11 A. (Perusing document.) instances, such agreements do not exist. 12 12 And what is that? What agreements are we 13 Instead, he receives a bill of 13 14 sale for the portfolio of debt (sic) 14 speculating about now? That's actually very 15 purchased that includes sample credit 15 untrue. 16 agreements and warranties made by the seller 16 Q. In what way? 17 regarding the debts in the portfolio. A. Are they -- from an agreement, are 17 you saying -- is that a chain of title? 18 Q. Is that statement true? 18 Q. I don't know. 19 MR. MILLS: Objection. 19 20 20 A. That's actually not true either. A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if the warranties and 21 Q. In what way? 2.1 22 A. Well, you have a whole chain of 22 the sale of debts for -- that Mel Harris title. You have from a -- a -- you have 23 23 sued upon? billing statements. You have charge-off 24 24 MR. MILLS: Objection. 25 statements. That's not accurate. 25 A. I do not. 43 (Pages 169 to 172)

173 175 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. Okay. And are -- warranty, I mean 2 Q. While you worked at Mel Harris? 3 A. That's inaccurate. 3 warranty of the accuracy of the information for the debts that Mel Harris sues on, does 4 MR. MILLS: Objection before the 4 5 Mel -- let me restate the question. answer. 6 6 A. Please. Q. In what way? 7 A. Well, it's -- it's not -- you're 7 Q. Are there warranties of the 8 -- you're -- you're not -- everything is -accuracy of the information for the accounts 9 that are transferred to Mel Harris to sue 9 like that. upon? Are there warranties of the accuracy 10 Q. Okay. How is it generally done? 10 A. Well, they are -- we get --11 of the information? 11 they're in batches. They're not always 50. MR. MILLS: Objection. 12 12 13 13 A. I'm not aware. Q. Yes. 14 A. Sometimes it's one. It's a 14 Q. Okay. Are there --15 A. Well --15 maximum of 50. 16 O. Go ahead. 16 O. Per? 17 17 A. -- let me rephrase that. You have A. A maximum of 50. Never more than the chain of title. But I -- I mean I --18 18 50 at one time. 19 I've -- that's the only -- I'm not aware of 19 Q. Well ---20 other warranty . . . 20 A. In the agreement, you will see I 21 21 only do 350 a week, as is my testimony. Q. From other warranty --2.2 A. I'm not aware of any. 2.2 Q. Okay. And that's accurate? A. That's my testimony. 23 Q. So, the specific question is, in 23 24 the sale -- in the sales of the accounts for 24 Q. That's accurate? 25 which Mel Harris sues, the chain in the --25 A. That is my testimony. 174 176 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 is the chain of title make a -- warranties Q. Okay. 3 3 A. Speculating that I'm lying? about the accuracy of the data that's being Q. No. I was just trying -- no, I 4 4 sent? 5 wasn't -- I -- sir, I was not trying to --5 A. I don't know. I'm --6 б MR. MILLS: Object --A. Okay. Fine. 7 7 Correct? I read 350. I have it A. -- not an attorney. 8 MR. MILLS: -- objection before 8 on the record. 9 9 Q. That's fine. If you could go back that answer. Q. Well, let me rephrase it 10 10 to page nine --11 differently. 11 A. Okay. A. Yeah, please. Q. -- where it says, if it does exist 12 12 O. No, no. That's fine. 13 -- if they do exist -- where it starts 13 14 A. So, that sentence is inaccurate. 14 saying, if they do exist, is that sentence 15 Q. All right. So, let me -- like, 15 true? maybe I should just ask you the question 16 16 A. Okay. I'll read the sentence. 17 since it seems to make more sense. So, when MR. MILLS: Objection. 17 18 you get affidavits of merit to sign, is it 18 A. (Perusing document.) 19 accurate that you get a stack of affidavits 19 If they do exist, Fabacher's 20 of merit, you sign them, like, once out of 20 standard practice does not entail reviewing them before endorsing the (sic) affidavit of 21 every 50 or so, you compare the data with 21 22 the affirmation -- affidavit with the -- the 22 merits (sic). 23 23 screen? Is that essentially how you sign So, let -- let me -- because let's 24 24 the affidavits of merit? read this sentence --25 25 MR. SCHER: When? Q. Well, let -- I withdraw the 44 (Pages 173 to 176)

177 179 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 question. 2 A. Because half of this stuff is 3 3 Let me just ask -- let me just ask inaccurate. you. What's the process like in filing --4 4 Q. Okay. All right. So, you signed signing -- filing -- tell me about the 5 350 affidavits of merit a week, correct? process that you go through in signing the 6 6 A. That's correct. affidavits of merit? Let me just ask you 7 7 Q. Okay. Tell me about the normal 8 straightaway. process about when do you sign them? You sign them -- you said no more than 50 a day, 9 A. Okay. 9 Q. Tell me what --10 or -- you --10 A. I'm a --11 11 A. No. 12 O. -- tell me. 12 Q. -- the process is? 13 A. -- I approach the affidavits. So, 13 A. I said 50 at a time. I maintain, you know. And we -- when we get 14 14 Q. What do you mean by at a time? A. They would never present me -- I the data, I would get it. And then I would 15 15 look at this. And I would look in -- in --16 16 refused to sign more than 50 at a batch. 17 17 And a batch is at one time. in Debtmaster. 18 18 Q. I see. So, you might sign more Q. So, you -- are you saying you 19 would compare the information in the printed 19 than one batch a day, you're just reading it 20 affidavit of merit and make sure the 20 in one batch? 21 information in the affidavit of merit 21 A. Potentially, yes. Q. But I'm just asking because --22 matches what's on the computer screen from 22 23 Debtmaster, correct? 23 A. Yeah, sure. Potentially. 24 24 Q. Okay. So, you signed a batch --A. That would be one thing. 25 Q. What else? What else would you 25 no more than 50 at --178 180 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 normally do in executing the affidavits of 2 A. That's correct. 3 3 Q. -- per batch? Okay. Now, tell me merit? the normal process you go through in 4 A. I would read -- I would attempt to 4 read the retail charge agreement. 5 executing the affidavit of merit? 5 Q. You would attempt to do that. If MR. MILLS: Objection. 6 6 7 7 you sign a batch -- let me go back. When A. Okay. So, I would read the you say you -- 50 at a time, what do --8 affidavit --8 9 A. No. 9 Q. Yes. Q. -- you mean --10 A. -- like I've done here. I would 10 A. I didn't say 50 at a time. 11 11 validate the data. And I would check if --12 O. Go ahead. 12 for example, if we had the retail charge A. I said a maximum of 50. And this 13 agreement, I would read the retail charge 13 14 is what we ran into last time. I -- he 14 agreement. I would read -- (perusing said, would you search at least one? I 15 documents) -- I would read the chain of 16 said, yes. So, then what does the judge 16 title if it was available. And all of these write? He only reads one -- which is total 17 17 vary. 18 bullshit. 18 Q. I'm just asking for typically. 19 19 You got -- I'm not getting myself Just -put in this hole again, sir. 20 20 A. Can't do it typically, sir. I --Q. I--21 I can't -- I'm not -- I -- with HIPAA laws 21 22 A. You guys stuck me last time. And 22 with medical, I'm not able to read the I'm not going to do it. patient -- I mean you can't say typically, 23 23 Q. I didn't -- stuck me last time. I 24 sir. It's -- it's -- because it's against 24 wasn't involved in Sykes. 25 25 the law for me to read the medical

181 183 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 MR. MILLS: Objection. conditions for a HIPAA case. And I didn't 3 3 A. It's not possible because you also -- you know -- it's -- it's -- it's not a 4 have -- there's -- there's other documents. 4 typical situation. 5 Q. All right. So, do you normally --5 You have credit card statements. You have б when you do 350 affidavits of merit a week, 6 chain of titles. You have credit card do you normally do them spread out over the 7 7 agreements. 8 week? Do you normally do them on the same Q. I understand that the database --9 day? Normally, how --9 the system has some of that information. A. I would --10 But if you're doing 70 a day, on average --10 11 11 Q. -- does it --A. Okay. Q. -- and 20 --A. -- I would do -- I -- I would 12 12 never do more than 50 a batch. And usually 13 A. Each one --13 I would do -- I would spread it throughout 14 14 Q. -- 20 percent of your day is doing 15 15 coding, 30 to 70 percent is doing management the week. 16 Q. How many batches would you 16 information systems, it's not leaving a whole bunch of time on a -- on a typical day 17 normally do in a day? 17 A. I don't recall. It's -- it 18 to do 70 affidavits of merit. So --18 19 varies. Sometimes one, sometimes more. 19 A. I don't know what -- see you're --20 Q. Sometimes -- what's the range that 20 MR. SCHER: Wait for a question. 21 you would normally -- the batches that you 21 THE WITNESS: Well, no. He's -would normally do in a day? 22 he's --22 23 A. I -- I can't -- I don't recall. I 23 MR. SCHER: Wait for a question, 24 -- this was ten -- over ten years. But not 24 please. 25 25 more than a few. Q. Given that you -- not the only job 182 184 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. And not more than a few batches of 2 you do, the -- for most, the large majority, 3 3 90 percent-plus of the affidavits of merit up to 50 a day? A. I don't -- I don't recall. 4 that you signed, you just compare the 4 5 5 Q. All right. Now, just -- looking information in the affidavit of merit with at my iPhone -- 350 divided by five is 70. 6 what's on the Debtmaster screen; is that 6 7 7 So, on average, you would do 70 affidavits true? of merit a week -- a day -- excuse me --8 8 A. Ninety percent? No, that's not 9 9 true. right? 10 10 A. Okay. Q. How often? 11 Q. All right. So, how long do you 11 A. I -- you know, it's not. You gave 12 spend typically on each affidavit of merit? 12 me a number, 90 percent. And that is not MR. MILLS: Objection. 13 13 accurate. 14 A. It depends on the affidavit of 14 Q. Okay. What is the accurate 15 merit. It depends on the affidavit of 15 amount? 16 16 A. I could -- don't know. It depends 17 17 on the affidavit. Is it a medical case? Is Q. All right. I'm just -- if you're 18 doing 70 a day, I'm trying to see if you 18 it a health case? Is it a credit card case? 19 normally just check the data from the 19 Q. Well, the vast -- like, 98 20 affidavit of merit with the screen? Is that 20 percent-plus of the collection lawsuits 21 the normal process that you take when you 21 filed by Mel Harris are for collect -- debt 22 sign an affidavit of merit? 22 -- credit card accounts, right? 23 23 A. It's included. A. I'm not --24 Q. But is that the normal process 24 MR. MILLS: Objection. 25 25 A. -- aware of that, no. you'd take? 46 (Pages 181 to 184)

185 187 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 Q. Well, you signed the affidavits of 2 Q. Normally, how many -- what's the 3 3 merit, right? range? 4 4 MR. MILLS: Objection. A. Could be one, could be three. 5 Q. You -- you would know because you 5 Q. Okay. And a chain of title would 6 signed the affidavits --6 be sold from the original creditor to one A. If you're --7 debt buyer to another debt buyer, ultimately 7 8 to the plaintiff who files the lawsuit, 8 O. -- of merit --9 A. -- asking me if it's a 98 percent, 9 right? 98.1 percent? No, I'm not aware of the 10 A. That's inaccurate. 10 11 exact percentage. 11 Q. In what way is that inaccurate? Q. But --12 A. It could also go to another bank. 12 13 13 MR. MILLS: Objection before that Q. Yes? 14 A. One bank acquires another bank. 14 previous answer. Q. Yes? Anything else that would 15 Q. But I mean you would -- would you 15 16 agree or not that almost all of the lawsuits 16 make that inaccurate? 17 A. Depend -- I'd have to look at it. 17 that Mel Harris files are for collection of 18 18 I don't -- I don't recall. But I could tell credit card debts? 19 MR. MILLS: Objection. 19 you that it was not -- that was not an 20 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 20 accurate statement. 21 MR. SCHER: Objection. 21 Q. All right. And what does chain of 2.2 A. I think it's speculation. No. 22 title say? 23 Q. You don't know? 23 MR. MILLS: Objection. 24 A. No, that's -- that's -- line of 24 A. I don't -- it's been ten years. 25 credits, it's speculation. That's -- no, 25 How am I going to remember what a change of 186 188 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 title said? that's not accurate. 3 3 Q. All right. What's a retail charge Q. You don't know one way or the 4 4 other? agreement? 5 5 MR. MILLS: Objection. A. Right. Q. You used the term yourself, and --Q. All right. 6 6 A. Yes. A. Telephone bills, it's not 7 7 8 Q. I didn't know what that meant. 8 So, I'm just wondering what you meant? 9 Q. I'm just asking, do you know one 9 10 A. A retail charge agreement is an 10 way or the other -agreement that -- that is between the -- the 11 A. No. 11 Q. -- if --12 12 credit card holder and -- and the A. I do not. 13 credit-issuing institution. 13 14 Q. That's all on --14 Q. The card member agreement? 15 15 A. That's correct. A. Yeah. 16 16 Q. But you don't know if a specific Q. All right. The chain of title, if 17 card member agreement relates to a specific 17 available, do you know if chain of title 18 were typically available for the -- when you 18 consumer when you sign an affidavit of 19 sign affidavits of merit? 19 merit, correct? 20 MR. MILLS: Objection. 20 MR. MILLS: Objection. 21 2.1 A. Typically, yes. A. That's inaccurate. 22 Q. Okay. And how many pages is a 22 Q. In what way is that inaccurate? 23 23 chain of title? A. The banks have their retail credit A. It depends how many are in the 24 card agreements by time. So, we are able to 24 25 chain. 25 know at a particular time what credit card 47 (Pages 185 to 188)

189 191 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 agreements are applied to the bank. 2 understanding is that it referenced a -- a 3 Q. You mean the way it should work. 3 portfolio with -- with -- that references a But you don't know specifically if that's 4 4 file, that it's in the file. 5 the card member agreement that Miss Bueno 5 Q. What do you mean --6 A. I --6 had, for example? 7 7 MR. MILLS: Objection. Q. -- by that? References a file 8 A. Again, I -- I -- I gave you my 8 that's in the file --9 testimony. My understanding is that the --9 A. No. I mean -- I'm sorry -- it -the credit card agreement for that time, 10 it references an electronic file -- I --10 from the best of my memory. what is universal. That is my 11 11 12 Q. Well, do you remember one way or 12 understanding. 13 Q. Okay. But you don't know if a 13 the other if when you get a chain of title specific credit card agreement is if it says the name Miss Guzman (sic) -- LR 14 14 15 specifically tied to Miss Bueno, for 15 Credit 14 sold the Guzman account to LR 6, 16 example, or Mr. Guzman; is that true? 16 who sold it to LR 18? Do you know -- the 17 MR. MILLS: Objection. 17 chain of title doesn't reference the 18 A. It depends on the case. You know, 18 specific consumer, right? 19 sometimes -- sometimes we do. It depends. 19 A. It --20 Q. The chain of title that you're 20 MR. MILLS: Objection. 21 talking about, they don't specifically 21 A. -- references a file. reference the consumer for whose affidavit O. It references a file. And a file 2.2 2.2 23 of merit you signed, correct? 23 is what? 24 A. No, they do not. 24 A. It's a file. 25 Q. Okay. So, looking at the chain of 25 Q. A spreadsheet? 190 192 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 title, you don't know one way or the other A. It's electronic representation --3 whether that chain of title includes the 3 it's an electronic representation of data 4 consumer like Mr. Guzman or Miss Bueno? You 4 don't know if that specific chain of title 5 Q. Spreadsheet of data? The name, 5 applies to that, right? 6 6 address, phone --7 7 A. No, that's --A. It's not --8 MR. MILLS: Objection. 8 Q. -- number --9 9 A. -- inaccurate. A. -- a spreadsheet. 10 Q. In what way is it inaccurate? 10 Q. It's information such as name, A. In the -- because the chain of address, phone number, date of birth, things 11 11 title references an electronic file. 12 like that, right? 12 13 O. Yes. And? 13 A. And more. 14 A. It's on the -- it's part of the --14 MR. KESHAVARZ: Let's go off the 15 the -- of the chain of title. 15 record for a second. Q. I know. But nothing in the chain 16 16 (Whereupon, a short recess was of title says Miss Bueno or Mr. Guzman, 17 17 taken.) 18 18 Q. Back on the record. Okay. So, 19 MR. MILLS: Objection. 19 the computer program at Mel Harris's system 20 Q. It says one company sold a batch 20 generates a judgment packet, correct? of debt to another --A. What is a packet? 21 21 22 A. No. It references --22 Q. Is a judgment packet included in 23 MR. MILLS: Objection. 23 an affidavit of merit -- well, strike that. A. -- it references a -- my -- I -- I 24 24 The documents that are generated -- I don't remember. It -- it -- my 25 25 like the affidavit of merit, is that --

193 195 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 O. Yes? A. Which -- which exhibit are we A. You know -- you know, we would --3 talking about? 3 Q. Three. 4 we would manually -- sometime I would 4 manually type them. 5 A. Exhibit 3. Okay. 5 Q. Yes? Is that it? 6 Q. Is that generated by using б something called a fixed document with --7 A. Yeah. We would . . . 7 8 Q. Other than that, that -- it would with fields? 9 A. I mean -- I don't -- I mean --9 be a true statement? 10 10 does it have fields? I think -- are you MR. MILLS: Objection. 11 talking about the database, or are you 11 Q. The mail merge would -talking about the document? A. Okay. 12 12 Q. Well, why don't we do this. Does 13 Q. Go ahead. 13 Exhibit 3 and 7, the -- no -- excuse me -- 3 14 A. Yeah. I mean they would -- you 14 15 and 5, the affidavits of merit --15 either -- we either manually did it, or we 16 A. So, this is yours. Hold on. Let 16 did it in the -- in the software. 17 me give you that back -- (handing and 17 Q. And the -- that mail merge system perusing documents) -- so, Exhibits 3 and 5. 18 18 is the only source of the information --19 Q. Okay. That's generated by using a 19 A. I --20 -- basically a mail merge system, correct? 20 Q. -- that generates the affidavit of 21 In layman's terms. 21 merit that's Exhibit 3 and 5? 2.2 A. Very simplification of it. I'll 2.2 A. That's --23 go with yes. 23 MR. MILLS: Objection. 24 Q. Okay. 24 A. -- inaccurate. 25 A. Very simplification. 25 Q. In what way? 194 196 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. And it merges information about an 2 A. I just said. It -- sometimes we 3 account in some sort of form -- into some manually type them. 3 4 Q. Okay. Anything else? sort of form --4 5 A. Well, how else am I going to --5 A. String. Q. -- string -- form -- whatever --6 how else --6 and that generates Exhibit 3 and 5, correct? 7 7 Q. And, again --A. That's correct. 8 A. Yes, sometimes they're manually 8 9 typed. I don't know how else -- I did not 9 Q. All right. And that's the only source of generating 3 -- Exhibits 3 and 5, 10 10 handwrite them. 11 correct? 11 Q. Got it. Thank you. All right. 12 A. So, the only way? No. 12 A. What do you mean by the only 13 Q. Because sometimes you manually do 13 source? 14 MR. MILLS: Objection. 14 it? 15 Q. This mail merge is the source of 15 A. Sometimes you manually do it. the information that leads to Exhibit 3 and 16 Sure. Of course. 16 17 Q. All right. Thank you. 17 5 being signed; is that true? 18 MR. KESHAVARZ: Let's mark this as 18 A. Oh --19 MR. MILLS: Objection. 19 Exhibit 8. A. -- absolutely not. 20 20 (Whereupon, the aforementioned Q. In what way is it not true? 21 data file produced by LR Credit in the 2.1 Guzman case (about 45 pages) was marked 22 A. We drafted the . . . 22 23 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 for 2.3 Q. Go ahead. 24 A. The paralegal would draft identification as of this date by the 24 affidavits sometimes. 25 25 Reporter.)

197 199 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 file. Q. (Perusing document.) 3 I'm showing you what's been marked 3 Q. Okay. What information is in the as Exhibit 8. It's a document that's been 4 file that you -- that is not in Exhibit 8, 4 produced by LR Credit in the Guzman case. 5 or that is in Exhibit 8? When you spoke a few minutes about a data 6 б MR. MILLS: Objection. file that's associated with the bills of 7 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 7 8 sale, do you mean -- and I said, do you mean MR. SCHER: Objection. 9 a spreadsheet, and you said, no, I mean a 9 A. Excuse me? data file. Is Exhibit Number 8 the type of 10 10 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 11 data file that you mean? 11 discussion was held, and a portion of 12 MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. 12 the testimony was read back.) 13 A. I have to look. 13 Q. So, what basically I'm trying to get at is the data file that you talked 14 14 Q. Take your time. 15 A. (Perusing document.) 15 about that goes into the affidavit of merit, 16 Okay. Could you ask the question 16 right? 17 17 MR. MILLS: Objection. again? 18 A. Say that again? 18 Q. Sure. Is Exhibit Number --Q. Strike that. Just let's move on 19 MR. SCHER: 8. 19 20 Q. -- 8 the type of -- is it 20 from the line. 21 information that's in that data file that 21 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 22 you were mentioning being transferred with 22 discussion was held.) the chain of accounts -- chain of title? 23 23 MR. KESHAVARZ: Now, let's go off 24 MR. MILLS: Objection. 24 the record so I can check my notes, and 25 25 I think we're done. A. No. It's not. 198 200 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Q. Do you know what Exhibit 8 is? (Whereupon, a short recess was 3 3 A. It looks like data. taken.) 4 Q. Do you know if Exhibit 8 is used Q. Okay. Back on the record. I'm 4 5 just going through a checklist of --5 in some manner --6 6 A. I -- I wouldn't know --A. Sure. 7 7 Q. -- by Mel Harris --Q. -- final questions I have. We 8 A. -- that would be speculation on my 8 talked all the way at the beginning of the 9 deposition about what steps you took to 9 part. Q. -- by Mel Harris? 10 determine if you had any of the documents 10 11 asked for in the subpoena. And one thing 11 A. No. I --12 you did to check was check your Gmail 12 MR. MILLS: Objection. 13 account, correct? 13 A. -- no. I don't know. It's 14 14 A. That's correct. speculation. 15 Q. You don't know? 15 Q. Did you take any other steps to determine whether you had or had access to 16 A. Yeah, I don't know. 16 17 any of the documents that were subpoenaed to 17 O. That's fine. 18 A. It could have been manually 18 be brought here today? 19 entered. It could have been copied. I 19 A. I looked. I have a little storage 20 closet -- and -- and just double-checked. 20 don't -- I don't know. But I -- I knew I didn't but I -- I -- I --Q. Okav. 21 2.1 I did check but I did not. 22 A. But that would not be --22 23 23 that's . . . Q. Okay. 24 A. The only -- only time I had -- I 24 O. That would not be what? 25 had one. They -- I was in a room. They 25 A. That -- it's not -- this is not a

201 203 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 dropped it. I read it. And that was it. 2 the data that was all in Mel Harris's 3 No changes --3 database, I believe you want to call it the 4 system, do you know if the information -- do 4 O. Okay. 5 A. -- no changes, no nothing. 5 you know where that information is now? 6 6 Q. Did you take any other steps to A. No. look for documents? 7 7 Q. Do you know if some of that 8 information has been transferred to Einstein 8 A. No. Q. Okay. Did you ask your other law 9 law -- Einstein & Associates law firm? Do 9 firm that represented you in the Sykes case 10 10 you know? to give you a copy of the transcript from 11 11 A. I -- I -- I left Mel Harris your deposition? 12 12 almost four years before. So, I -- I -- I A. No, I did not. 13 was not part of any -- you know -- I was not 13 there when they transferred, or anything 14 14 Q. Okay. When you got the subpoena 15 in this case, what is the first thing that 15 like that. 16 16 Q. But do you know if information was 17 transferred from Mel Harris to Einstein, or 17 A. I looked in my e-mail for -- did 18 18 you call me? do you not know? 19 Q. (Indicating.) 19 A. The -- the gentleman -- I do know 20 20 Dick -- Dick -- I know his first name was A. You did. Q. That was the first -- yeah. 21 Dick. I don't know his last name. But he 21 A. And said check your e-mail, thank 22 passed away. 2.2 23 you, come on in, do the best you can. 23 Q. Was he the tech guy at --Q. Was I nice to you? 24 A. He is the tech guy. 24 25 A. You were very nice. 25 O. -- at Mel Harris --202 204 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. All right. So, are you paying Mr. 2 A. At Mel Harris. And he came over, Scher to represent you today? 3 and then he passed away. So, you're out of 3 A. I don't know. Actually, to be 4 luck on that one. And he did the conversion 4 honest with you -- he's not going to be --5 to -- I -- so, he's the only one that would 5 no -- well, actually -- I don't know. We 6 know. And he's dead. 6 haven't worked it out. I think he's here on 7 7 Q. Well, I can't depose him. his own. I didn't -- we don't have a -- me 8 A. You can't depose him. 8 9 Q. But you didn't -personally? No, I'm not. Q. Do you know who might be? 10 A. And he had run Mel Harris 10 A. No, I don't. 11 11 throughout the entire Sykes case -- through the Sykes case. I -- I did not prepare any 12 Q. All right. How did you get Mr. 12 Scher to represent you for your deposition 13 of the materials. Dick did all of that. 13 14 today? 14 Q. All right. But do you know one 15 A. I didn't even know he was coming. 15 way or the other --16 16 Q. All right. A. I don't know. 17 17 A. I found out. And he basically --Q. -- well, listen to the question --18 the e-mail said, I'll see you there. I'm, 18 A. Oh. 19 like, oh, okay. I was going to come by 19 Q. -- if information was transferred 20 20 from the Mel Harris law firm to the Einstein myself. 21 21 Q. Other than speaking with me, did firm? Do you know one way --22 you speak with anyone else about the -- the 22 A. I don't know. subpoena that you got --23 23 Q. -- or the other? Okay. It's --24 24 A. No. fair enough. 25 25 Q. -- on the deposition? Okay. Now, A. Yeah.

205 207 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. Was there any particular reason 2 A. (Perusing documents.) 3 3 why you left Mel Harris? Okay. A. I wanted to open up my own -- to 4 Q. And you recognize, these are the 4 5 affidavits of merit? 5 do mobile apps. Q. So -- and just very briefly, what 6 6 A. I do. do you do now? 7 7 Q. And one of them is LR Credit 13 8 A. I am the CEO of Digital 8 versus Jose Guzman. That's Exhibit 3, I 9 Pomegranate. 9 think -- sorry -- it's Exhibit 5. And the Q. I should look at your --10 other one is LR Credit 18 against Agustina 10 A. It's right there. Digital 11 Bueno. Those are the two affidavits you 11 Pomegranate is a -- a project for USAID and 12 have in front of you? 12 World Bank. So, I decided to volunteer my 13 A. That's correct. 13 -- well, not volunteer -- but actually turn 14 Q. Okay. We discussed -- you 14 15 it into a company. And it's kind of like a 15 discussed that the affidavits -- both 16 Peace Corps. So -- yeah --16 affidavits include a statement from you that 17 you were the authorized and designated 17 Q. Okay. A. It's nice -- helping out poor 18 18 custodian of records for the plaintiff in each action: is that correct? 19 countries, I guess. 19 20 Q. Did you ever work for any of the 20 A. That's correct. original creditors referenced in the 21 21 Q. Okay. And you understand what 2.2 affidavits of merit like Sears, Chase Bank? 22 custodian of records means? 23 23 MR. KESHAVARZ: Objection to form. 24 24 Q. Were you ever a custodian of A. I do. 25 records for the original creditors like 25 Q. All right. How did you -- how did 206 208 1 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 2 2 Sears or -you come to become the custodian of records 3 3 A. No. No, I was not. for the plaintiff in those actions? 4 MR. KESHAVARZ: All right. All 4 A. I became -- I maintained the right. That's all I have. I pass you 5 database. And so, they -- they asked me to 5 to the opposing counsels, and they get be the custodian of records. б 6 Q. Who is they when you refer --7 7 to ask you up to seven hours of 8 auestions. 8 A. I don't remember. 9 Q. Was it a person who asked you? 9 (Whereupon, an off-the-record A. I believe it was. discussion was held while counsel 10 10 11 changed seats.) 11 Q. Let me --12 12 MR. KESHAVARZ: Before the A. Yeah, I don't -- I believe it was questions start, can you try to pause 13 13 Mel Harris. 14 for a second between when he asks you a 14 Q. You believe Mel Harris asked you question and when you answer, in case I 15 15 to serve as custodian of record for LR 16 need to say objection, okay? 16 Credit 13 in the Guzman action, and LR THE WITNESS: All right. 17 17 Credit 18 in the Bueno action; is that 18 **EXAMINATION BY** 18 correct? 19 19 MR. MILLS: A. That's correct. 20 20 Q. Okay. Did anybody else speak to Q. Mr. Fabacher, my name is Benjamin Mills. I represent LR Credit 13, LLC in the 21 you about your role as custodian of records 21 22 Guzman action, and LR Credit 18, LLC in the 22 aside from Mel Harris? Bueno action. I want to just turn your 23 23 A. I don't recall. attention back to Exhibits 3 and 5 that we 24 24 Q. Anybody from LR Credit 13? 25 25 A. No. talked about today.

209 211 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 Q. Anybody from LR Credit 18? 2 MR. MILLS: Nothing further. 3 A. No. 3 MR. LICHTMAN: I just have a Q. Did you ever speak to anybody who 4 4 couple. worked for LR Credit 13? 5 5 **EXAMINATION BY** A. Never. 6 6 MR. LICHTMAN: 7 Q. Did you ever speak to anybody who 7 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Fabacher. My worked for LR Credit 18? 8 name is Jeffrey Lichtman. I am representing 8 9 A. Never. 9 Samsery, Inc. and William Mlotok in the Q. So, it's fair to assume nobody 10 10 Guzman case, and in the Bueno case. from LR Credit 13 -- is it fair to assume 11 11 Did you ever participate in any that nobody from LR Credit 13, LLC assisted 12 12 discussions with anyone at Samserv, Inc. 13 you in drafting the affidavit of merit in 13 with regard to service of process in the Guzman action? 14 connection with any case that was brought by 14 15 MR. KESHAVARZ: Objection to form. 15 the Mel Harris law firm? 16 A. Could you repeat the question? 16 A. What -- what -- can you be Q. Okay. Sure. Is it fair to assume specific? Like, what do you mean? Like, 17 17 that nobody who worked at LR Credit 13 18 any discussion? 18 assisted you in drafting the affidavits of 19 19 Q. Yes. Did you have any discussions 20 merit in the Guzman action? 20 with anyone at Samserv about their service of process on behalf of Mel Harris? 21 MR. KESHAVARZ: Objection. 21 22 Form. Calls for speculation. 22 A. So, again -- so, their -- about 23 A. That's accurate. 23 their service. Like, their -- their -- what 24 Q. And the same question as to LR 24 do you mean about their service of process? 25 Credit 18 as to the Bueno action? 25 Q. About the method of service or 210 212 1 T. FABACHER T. FABACHER 1 2. MR. KESHAVARZ: Objection. 2 process --3 3 Form. A. No. 4 4 A. That's accurate. O. -- in that -- cases. 5 5 Q. So, nobody from LR Credit 13, LLC A. No. Q. When you did speak to people at instructed you to create the document of --6 6 7 7 Samsery, Inc. what was the general topics of affidavit of merit in the Guzman action? conversation? 8 MR. KESHAVARZ: Objection to form. 8 A. The only --9 9 A. That's correct. Q. And the same question I'll ask, 10 MR. KESHAVARZ: Objection to form. 10 the LR Credit 18 in the Bueno action? A. -- the only person I ever spoke to 11 11 MR. KESHAVARZ: Objection. was -- was Bill, Bill Mlotok. 12 12 13 13 Q. Okay. And -- and what was the Form. 14 A. Correct. 14 general nature of the conversation? 15 Q. And you never discussed -- you 15 MR. KESHAVARZ: Object to the never -- you never discussed Jose Guzman 16 16 form. with anybody at LR Credit 13, correct? 17 17 A. How are you doing. 18 A. The particulars of the case? 18 Q. So, they were all personal in 19 Q. Correct. 19 nature? 20 20 A. That's correct. A. All personal in nature. I -- I can't -- I do remember one or two things Q. And I have the same question as to 21 21 LR Credit 18 in the Bueno action. You --22 22 like -- no, I -- I -- I can't remember any you never discussed Mrs. -- or Miss Bueno 23 particular -- it wasn't my area. So, I 23 with anybody at LR Credit 18, correct? 24 didn't -- again, that was all 24 A. That's correct. 25 25 pre-litigation. It wasn't my area.

213 215 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 2 Samserv, or do you know? Q. Okay. So, just to summarize, tell 3 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. 3 me if I'm saying this correctly, that 4 That wasn't my area. 4 because the service of process function 5 MR. KESHAVARZ: Okay. That's it. 5 happened earlier in the case as opposed to 6 MR. SCHER: Good? 6 post-judgment, that was not an area that you 7 MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. 7 would get involved with in the context of 8 Off the record. your -- your working for Mel Harris, fair? 9 (Whereupon, at 4:37 p.m., the 9 A. It's -- okay. I remember one 10 examination of this witness was 10 conversation about taking photos. That was 11 concluded.) 11 12 12 O. Okay. What --13 A. So --13 TODD FABACHER 14 14 Q. -- was that conversation about? A. That was, basically, that Bill was 15 15 16 Subscribed and sworn to before me 16 going to -- he asked some questions about 17 this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_. 17 the GPS, if he could do the GPS and take the 18 18 photos. 19 Q. Okay. So, just then to frame this 19 NOTARY PUBLIC 20 so that we could have a better transcript, 20 21 was there a time at which process servers 21 22 for Samserv were equipped with a type of 22 23 device that would allow them to record GPS 23 24 data when they were serving process? 24 25 A. Correct. 25 214 216 1 T. FABACHER 1 T. FABACHER 2 EXHIBITS 2. Q. And by GPS data, we mean some type 3 3 of digital record of a location where the 4 PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS: 5 EXHIBIT EXHIBIT 4 process server was at the time of serving 6 DESCRIPTION **PAGE** NUMBER 5 process? Fabacher subpoena A. From my understanding, correct. in Guzman action 6 8 7 Q. And then this would be stored Fabacher subpoena 8 somewhere so it could corroborate exactly 9 in Bueno action 10 3 Affidavit of Merit 72 9 where the process server was in connection (LR Credit 18, LLC 10 with a particular job that was attached to a 11 vs. Agustina Bueno) 12 4 Opinion in Monique 11 specific location, correct? Sykes vs. Mel 12 A. As -- as the -- as the phone 13 Harris 13 company report, correct. 14 5 Affidavit of Merit 105 (LR Credit 13, LLC 14 Q. Okay. And other than that, do you 15 vs. Jose Guzman) 15 remember having any conversations other than 16 6 Todd Fabacher 146 LinkedIn page 16 hello, how are you, and GPS conversations 17 17 with Mr. Mlotok? 159 Affidavit of 18 A. Nothing. Again, it wasn't my 18 service (LR Credit 18, LLC vs. 19 area. No, I can't really recall much. 19 Agustina Bueno) 20 MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Thank you 20 Data file produced 196 by LR Credit in the 21 very much. 21 Guzman case (about 22 MR. KESHAVARZ: Just -- just 45 pages) 22 23 quickly. And I'll say it really loud. 2.3 (Exhibits retained by Counsel.) 24 Do you know who had the main 24 25 relationship at Mel Harris with 25

```
217
 1
              T. FABACHER
 2
                INDEX
 3
 4
      EXAMINATION BY
                                     PAGE
 5
      MR. KESHAVARZ
                                      4
 6
      MR. MILLS
                                206
 7
      MR. LICHTMAN
                                   211
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
                                              218
 1
             T. FABACHER
 2
           CERTIFICATE
 3
 4
      STATE OF NEW YORK)
                  : SS.:
 5
      COUNTY OF KINGS )
 6
 7
        I, RICHARD AURELIO, a Notary Public for
      and within the State of New York, do hereby
 8
 9
      certify:
10
        That the witness whose examination is
11
      hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and
      that such examination is a true record of
      the testimony given by that witness.
13
        I further certify that I am not related
14
      to any of the parties to this action by
15
      blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
16
      interested in the outcome of this matter.
17
18
        IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
19
      my hand this 18th day of April 2017.
20
21
22
23
             RICHARD AURELIO
24
25
```

	I	1	I
A	13:16 28:19 83:20	180:5,8 182:12,14	123:17,19
able (5)	123:24 126:15	182:15,20,22 184:5	aforementioned (7)
8:2 11:25 21:24	206:22,23 207:19	184:17 188:18	4:4 72:19 84:15
180:22 188:24	208:16,17 209:14	189:22 192:23,25	105:16 146:2 159:4
absolutely (1)	209:20,25 210:7,11	195:20 199:15	196:20
194:20	210:22 216:7,9	209:13 210:7	afternoon (4)
access (10)	218:15	216:10,14,17	16:10,12 17:4 211:7
38:13,24 39:3,12,16	actions (3)	affidavits (116)	agency (3)
157:9 161:16,23	2:5,16 208:3	71:12,21 72:3,8	121:19 122:5 123:23
170:4 200:16	active (2)	73:11,17 74:16	agency's (1)
accessed (1)	149:17 163:13	75:12,23 78:3,7,13	122:8
39:19	actual (2)	78:21 79:5,19 81:3	ago (8)
accessible (1)	21:16 148:21	81:10,14,15,18,25	45:3 53:2 66:6 68:11
165:5	addition (1)	82:5 83:3,16 87:22	70:14 113:23 119:5
accessing (1)	71:6	89:2,18 92:14 94:2	119:12
38:22	address (5)	94:22 96:24 97:16	agree (18)
account (23)	33:2,4 129:14 192:6	98:5,12 99:22	6:17,21 7:7 11:3,23
33:23 34:3,15,22	192:11	100:4,11,19 101:3,9	13:9,18 22:25
35:9 36:15 39:15	addresses (5)	101:20 102:6,7,9,10	23:19,22 25:3
39:16,20 55:20	33:8,11,16 62:12,22	102:18,19 103:4,5	89:25 94:25 95:4,8
107:17 112:13,21	administer (1)	103:12,14,19	168:19,24 185:16
144:17 166:16	3:10	104:12,18 105:4	AGREED (2)
168:15 169:17	admit (2)	110:19,25 113:10	3:4,19
171:4,5,21 191:15	6:5,6	115:15,18 116:3,15	agreement (21)
194:3 200:13	affiant (12)	116:17,18 117:10	5:16 18:11 114:6
accounts (14)	95:23,23 96:5,8,9,10	117:25 118:8,16	168:14 169:16,19
41:2 120:9 121:18	96:11,16,23 97:11	121:16 122:2,16,18	170:2,3 172:17
122:5 126:7 131:22	97:24 98:10	122:20 123:11	175:20 178:5
132:12,21 171:20	affidavit (75)	125:16 131:16	180:13,14 188:4,10
172:3 173:8,24	31:2 71:23 72:20	132:4 135:2 136:4	188:11,14,17 189:5
184:22 197:23	73:4 77:25 80:12	138:2,3,5,15 139:21	189:10,14
accuracy (4)	83:24 95:16,22	139:25 140:11,23	agreements (7)
173:3,8,10 174:3	96:25 97:14,20,25	144:11 153:17,21	170:16 171:22
accurate (19)	99:16 100:15,17	154:3 156:2 162:7	172:12,13 183:7
67:10 98:24 99:3	102:6,8 103:8	166:6 174:18,19,24	188:24 189:2
119:6,14,21 165:25	104:4 105:17,25	177:7,13 178:2	Agustina (7)
170:8,25 174:19	106:9 111:22	179:5 181:6 182:7	1:10 72:21 159:6,14
175:22,24 184:13	112:11 114:9,10,11	183:18 184:3 185:2	207:10 216:11,19
184:14 186:2,8	124:4,6,8,11 126:18	185:6 186:19	ahead (13)
187:20 209:23	128:25 129:22 130:5 132:14 133:7	193:15 194:25 205:22 207:5,11,15	15:2 88:25 93:6,25 95:2 103:17 108:8
210:4	130:5 152:14 155:7	207:16 209:19	126:3 140:9 173:16
acquire (1)	150:15 153:25	affirmation (1)	178:12 194:23
55:8	160:2 161:8 162:22	174:22	178:12 194:23
acquires (1)	166:11,14 167:6,12	affirmations (1)	Ahmad (7)
187:14	168:5 174:22	72:12	1:22 2:4,7 6:15 9:23
action (24)	176:21 177:20,21	affirms (2)	16:13 17:5
1:5,12 2:11,11 13:5	1/0.211//.20,21	WIII III	10.13 17.3

al (3)	4:19	22:24 23:19 40:15	162:21
1:7,14 16:24	apologize (4)	58:2 68:17 78:9	attachments (1)
allow (1)	40:10 61:24 131:19	88:18 97:6 102:15	31:16
213:23	167:19	125:6 164:12	attempt (3)
allowed (1)	apparently (3)	200:11 208:5,9,14	26:25 178:4,6
85:11	25:9 97:12 157:9	213:16	attending (1)
amount (4)	application (1)	asking (48)	21:4
69:11 72:7 106:20	154:6	22:20 23:9 31:21	attention (2)
184:15	applications (2)	37:12 39:8 40:20	30:6 206:24
amounts (2)	56:17 154:8	58:2 64:19 66:7	attest (8)
55:20 62:12	applied (2)	67:4 68:12,13,14,19	100:2,9 102:4 105:11
ampersand (1)	52:18 189:2	70:8,11,12,15 74:18	116:10 117:15,17
45:23	applies (3)	75:2,3,15 76:16,23	133:23
answer (46)	22:16 153:16 190:6	77:11,21 79:14	attesting (8)
19:21 24:11 26:10,12	apply (1)	91:14 93:7 105:10	83:13 113:15 129:23
26:15 27:2 28:8	152:23	114:21,25 119:11	130:4 132:7,18,20
31:11 63:3 66:8,16	appreciate (4)	128:17 134:8,13	137:13
68:24 76:18,23	4:22 25:11 57:24	135:5 139:8 141:6	attests (1)
77:13 78:11,12	142:20	141:8 144:24 149:4	99:16
79:9 80:15 82:3	approach (1)	162:14 171:15	attorney (11)
88:19,20 91:2,20	177:13	179:22 180:18	9:9,13 13:11 16:13
93:10 97:8,22	approximately (8)	185:9 186:9	17:6 26:7 43:2 77:7
103:10,25 107:8	28:22 53:19 65:15,18	asks (2)	143:9 160:17 174:7
115:22 119:23	65:22 67:7,9 68:5	30:11 206:14	attorneys (6)
125:7 131:6 132:24	apps (1)	aspects (1)	2:5,10,15,20 18:17
133:2 134:19	205:5	42:25	19:22
136:14 139:4	April (2)	asserted (3)	Aurelio (3)
140:14 141:10	1:16 218:19	12:16 80:11 94:19	1:24 218:7,23
163:13 174:9 175:5	area (7)	assignor (4)	authorize (1)
185:14 206:15	158:2,4 212:23,25	120:14 122:8 126:10	11:6
answered (4)	213:6 214:19 215:4	131:25	authorized (22)
40:19 78:9 97:6	arm (1)	assisted (2)	3:10 99:17 100:6,21
98:25	77:12	209:12,19	101:5,13,22 103:6
answering (3)	arraignment (1)	associated (1) 197:7	103:21 104:6,13,20
8:10 27:8,11	10:8	Associates (4)	116:5,13,20 118:3 118:10 125:19,25
answers (1) 41:15	array (1) 76:24	1:7,14 146:24 203:9	126:4 127:3 207:17
anticipate (1)		assume (7)	automates (1)
27:7	art (1) 153:4	5:4 27:19 28:5 150:2	158:15
anticipating (1)	articles (3)	209:10,11,17	automation (1)
40:13	50:14,21 51:9	assuming (1)	153:5
anybody (7)	articulate (1)	50:25	available (4)
208:20,24 209:2,4,7	27:2	assumption (1)	10:4 180:16 186:17
210:17,24	aside (6)	166:22	186:18
anyway (1)	38:25 82:19 102:14	attached (2)	<b>Avenue</b> (1)
26:22	171:14,14 208:22	30:9 214:10	2:12
Apartment (1)	asked (16)	attaches (1)	avenues (1)
	asicu (10)	utuciics (1)	
L			

23:7	60:23	213:15	brought (4)
average (3)	basic (1)	billing (1)	158:13,20 200:18
65:14 182:7 183:10	81:9	170:24	211:14
aware (9)	basically (9)	bills (2)	browser (3)
127:20,22 143:20	44:10 46:4 56:18	186:7 197:7	36:16,22 38:3
157:15 173:13,19	132:9,18 193:20	birth (1)	Bueno (31)
173:22 184:25	199:13 202:17	192:11	1:10 5:2,18 9:24
185:10	213:15	birthday (1)	10:19 11:8 18:10
A's (1)	batch (9)	45:15	19:2,4 32:5,14
32:16	178:7 179:16,17,19	bit (4)	72:21 126:19
B	179:20,24 180:3	41:20 57:18 66:12	140:18 159:6,14
	181:13 190:20	101:17	189:5,15 190:4,17
B (3)	batches (4)	blood (1)	206:23 207:11
4:8 88:10 216:2	175:12 181:16,21	218:16	208:17 209:25
BA (1)	182:2	book (1)	210:11,22,23
42:4	beginning (11)	43:10	211:10 216:9,11,19
back (23)	26:3 43:15,18 45:16	Borrels (8)	bullshit (1)
10:15 15:10 35:9,22	51:15 53:19 66:11	48:24 49:3,8 51:14	178:18
36:22 37:6 43:19	87:23 89:17 99:14	51:20,21,25 52:3	bumming (1)
61:23 70:13 84:12	200:8	bottom (1)	44:8
88:20 107:3 119:5	behalf (3)	146:23	bums (1)
139:16 156:21	124:23 128:8 211:21	bought (1)	44:10
165:14 176:9 178:7	believe (29)	44:4	bunch (1)
192:18 193:17	8:25 9:6,10 21:21,23	Bowie (2)	183:17
199:12 200:4	38:21 41:13 51:3	50:9,12	business (6)
206:24	57:7 80:8 90:8	bracket (8)	120:12 121:20 122:6
background (2)	92:18 96:7,12,13	120:10,11,13,14	126:8,12 131:23
17:16 41:19	119:2 123:9 126:23	121:19,20,23	buyer (3)
backing (1)	137:10 147:4,23	123:23	147:24 187:7,7
69:9	149:2,7,18 155:2	break (4)	buying (1)
backup (1)	203:3 208:10,12,14	35:20 50:22 84:6,8	68:4
69:20	Ben (1)	breakdown (3)	Bye-bye (2)
backups (2)	12:20	58:11,14 66:19	15:23 25:15
68:2,6	Benjamin (4)	Brett (2)	B-A-S-E (1)
balance (1)	2:13 13:3 21:11	2:22 91:6	46:14
166:17	206:20	brief (1)	
balances (1)	best (5)	10:12	C
108:20	26:12 27:5 30:2	briefly (1)	C (4)
ballpark (8)	191:11 201:23	205:6	2:2 4:8 218:2,2
57:11 58:17,21 67:6	better (1)	bringing (2)	call (22)
67:9 71:14,15,17	213:20	152:8 158:23	6:25 9:19 10:11,15
bank (6)	beyond (1)	broad (3)	11:10 15:5,9,11
187:12,14,14 189:2	91:9	22:14 23:17 105:11	16:3 67:13 83:5,6,7
205:13,22	big (2)	Broke (1)	90:6 109:7,12,15
banks (1)	62:3 90:17	87:9	111:16 113:7
188:23	bill (4)	Brooklyn (2)	115:12 201:18
barely (1)	170:13 212:12,12	1:23 2:6	203:3
		1.23 2.0	

called (9)	74:12 78:23 98:10	205:22	119:11
4:8 46:14 50:5 61:12	98:11,13 105:5	check (14)	closet (1)
61:18 70:23 113:22	142:25 212:4	32:24 37:4 38:9 40:5	200:20
154:20 193:7	cause (1)	149:11,25 167:15	cloud (2)
calling (5)	162:25	180:11 182:19	35:3 36:23
9:24 16:8 25:22	cell (1)	199:24 200:12,12	cloud-based (1)
90:12 110:18	37:4	200:22 201:22	37:2
Calls (1)	CEO (1)	checklist (1)	club (1)
209:22	205:8	200:5	114:11
call-back (1)	certain (1)	checkout (1)	code (11)
13:21	157:6	170:5	118:19,21,23 119:2
capacity (1)	certification (1)	City (3)	122:22,25 123:2,3,4
43:8	3:7	89:4 94:4,24	123:10 133:20
card (19)	certify (2)	Civil (3)	codes (1)
53:12 166:22 167:4	218:9,14	1:21 89:4 94:4	51:11
171:6,18,22 183:5,6	chain (24)	claim (1)	coding (12)
184:18,22 185:18	170:22 172:18	157:6	49:16,17 67:23 69:4
188:12,14,17,24,25	173:18,25 174:2	clarify (7)	69:5,6,12,16 70:23
189:5,10,14	180:15 183:6	28:4 32:11 96:9	71:6,24 183:15
care (1)	186:16,17,23,25	101:15 107:7,10,12	collect (1)
7:23	187:5,21 189:20,25	class (1)	184:21
case (62)	190:3,5,11,15,16	28:19	collection (20)
1:5,12 5:21 6:7 7:9	191:13,17 197:23	clear (9)	73:12,15 75:24 78:22
7:10 9:25 10:22,23	197:23	15:18 27:9 64:8	79:6 101:2 120:10
11:17 14:3 16:9,23	Chambers (6)	98:23 117:2 152:14	120:13 121:19,22
18:5,5 19:2,2 20:4	9:20 10:14 16:4,8	155:23 156:11	122:5,8 150:5
20:17 23:3,5 29:6,7	17:2 25:23	160:12	151:4 153:7 155:16
31:15 32:5 33:22	chance (1)	clearly (1)	158:15,17 184:20
34:2 36:9 40:3,6,22	87:3	90:11	185:17
41:7 55:3,5,6 61:14	change (8)	Clerk (16)	collections (4)
70:13 74:20 81:12	47:13 53:14 58:8,14	10:13 17:2,14,20,24	99:19 123:23 154:3
85:15 87:13 106:2	66:20,25 82:6	18:7 19:13 21:7,9	154:19
106:10 137:12	187:25	21:15 23:24 24:2	college (5)
181:2 184:17,18,18	changed (3)	25:6,12,14,22	41:20 42:7 43:20,23
189:18 196:22	82:13 122:25 206:11	client (2)	43:25
197:5 201:10,15	changes (4)	7:21 159:14	come (9)
204:11,12 206:15	41:10,14 201:3,5	clip (2)	52:16 61:16 110:21
210:18 211:10,10	charge (13)	50:3,8	111:22 113:22
211:14 213:5	76:9,11 79:12,18	clipping (1)	114:7 201:23
216:21	112:13,21 114:6	51:17	202:19 208:2
cases (33)	144:17 178:5	clips (2)	comes (4)
5:2,18,25 6:23 11:4,8	180:12,13 188:3,10	49:18,20	108:23 111:4 112:21
11:19,22,25 16:22	charged-off (1)	clock (1)	156:21
18:14 19:7 20:8,10	147:24	6:18	coming (2)
20:14 21:6 22:3,15	charge-off (1)	close (3)	144:23 202:15
22:17 23:12,15	170:24	47:8 120:14 121:19	comma (3)
24:10,14,24 73:18	Chase (1)	closer (1)	127:22,25 128:2
	<u> </u>	I	ı

comments (3)	181:2	38:6 54:23 55:17	205:19
12:24 13:6 21:13	connection (2)	55:18,24 58:8	COUNTY (1)
company (11)	211:14 214:9	59:21 60:7 62:18	218:5
45:21 47:23 48:24	constant (1)	62:19,22,23 66:22	couple (2)
108:13 147:12,23	43:3	69:7 70:4 73:9 78:2	165:15 211:4
148:12 149:17	consumer (8)	81:4,5,16,23 86:20	course (12)
190:20 205:15	147:24 161:11	98:14,16 99:24	20:25 25:12 26:6
214:13	162:18 171:5	100:13 101:11,24	65:24 85:4 120:11
compare (3)	188:18 189:22	104:15,22 106:12	121:20 122:6 126:8
174:21 177:19 184:4	190:4 191:18	113:16 115:11	126:11 131:23
competencies (1)	contains (1)	119:17 125:21	196:16
167:15	109:23	126:19,20 127:14	court (11)
complaining (1)	context (2)	130:9 131:17	1:2,9,22 2:6 3:12
45:14	83:25 213:7	132:14 133:8,17	11:6 26:21 27:9
complete (1)	Continue (1)	135:8,16 139:15,22	89:4 94:4,24
153:5	15:3	140:20 143:19	Court's (1)
completeness (2)	continued (1)	144:3 146:18	91:17
167:19,22	36:11	152:20 155:17	co-defendant (1)
comprehensive (1)	continuing (2)	169:12 176:7	21:12
153:6	131:13,21	177:23 179:5,6	craft (1)
computer (39)	contradicted (1)	180:2 188:15,19	61:8
35:25 36:16 37:21	40:17	189:23 192:20	crazy (1)
38:8,20 39:5,20	conversation (4)	193:20 194:7,8,11	143:10
42:12,16,18,21	212:8,14 213:10,14	200:13,14 207:13	create (6)
43:13,16 49:2	conversations (2)	207:19,20 208:18	47:12 53:22 61:11
55:15 57:14 58:20	214:15,16	208:19 210:9,14,17	154:9 155:7 210:6
59:15 60:24 62:3	conversion (1)	210:19,20,24,25	created (1)
65:4,6 67:8,14 69:2	204:4	213:25 214:6,11,13	154:7
106:15,22 110:12	coordinates (1)	correctly (2)	creating (1)
110:13 122:22	134:3	29:24 213:3	153:17
128:6 133:21	copied (1)	correspondence (2)	creative (1)
144:22 153:15	198:19	33:22,25	153:3
155:19 164:8,9	copier (1)	corroborate (1)	credit (103)
177:22 192:19	65:5	214:8	2:11,11 10:19,24
computers (2)	copies (1)	counsel (9)	12:22 13:4 16:15
39:12 65:25	159:18	3:5,16 5:16 7:24 8:16	16:24 17:7 18:10
computer-related (	<b>copy</b> (5)	77:3 159:18 206:10	21:11 72:20 73:19
65:25	3:13,16 61:2 162:6	216:23	73:24 74:7,13,13,20
concern (4)	201:11	counselor (1)	75:8,10,16,25 76:12
8:12 94:10,11,14	copying (3)	77:10	76:21 77:15 78:5,6
concerned (1)	59:4,6,7	counsels (1)	78:16,24 97:2,18,19
20:12	Corps (1)	206:6	98:3,6,14 105:17
concluded (3)	205:16	counter (1)	106:2,10 108:2
16:2 25:17 215:11	correct (98)	170:5	124:15 125:3
conditional (2)	5:3,7,13 26:16 30:12	counting (1)	127:14,18,19,22,25
112:12 114:4	31:25 32:18,21,22	7:20	128:2,9,21,21 129:3
conditions (1)	32:24,25 36:17,22	countries (1)	129:4,8 142:22
		<u> </u>	

143:18 150:10		183:8 193:11 203:3	91:17 171:9
159:5 166:22 167:4	D (6)	208:5	defeating (1)
168:14 169:16	3:2 4:8,8 46:14,14	date (14)	92:4
170:4,15 171:3,6,18	217:2	1:16 4:7 52:10 72:23	defendant (8)
171:22 183:5,6	daily (5)	75:20 84:19 105:20	12:5 13:5 95:14 96:2
184:18,22 185:18	120:9 122:4 126:7	123:5 146:5 157:12	106:17,19 107:17
188:12,23,25	131:14,22	159:8 160:4 192:11	108:4
189:10,14 191:15	data (81)	196:24	defendants (13)
196:21 197:5	54:21,24 55:3,9,10	dates (4)	1:8,15 2:10,15 11:2
206:21,22 207:7,10	55:16 56:17 60:15	147:8 156:3 157:20	11:19 20:3 89:3
208:16,17,24 209:2	62:21 63:10,13,14	163:18	94:4,24 96:18
209:5,8,11,12,18,25	63:15,16,19,21,25	David (2)	97:12 167:24
210:5,11,17,22,24	64:2,4,6,7,13,14,15	50:9,12	defense (1)
216:10,14,18,20	64:22,25 69:23,24	day (18)	18:16
creditor (4)	69:25 71:11,13	24:23 28:25 47:12	define (2)
106:18 108:18	82:14,22 83:10,13	48:12 68:2 179:9	117:13 139:8
166:17 187:6	83:14 101:12	179:19 181:9,17,22	definition (9)
creditors (5)	109:12,15,17,21	182:3,8,18 183:10	64:18 130:16,22,23
167:25 168:16	110:18,25 111:13	183:14,17 215:17	138:13 139:8,9
169:17 205:21,25	111:20 112:9,19	218:19	141:4,9
credits (3)	113:12 121:24	days (8)	degree (2)
74:8,22 185:25	128:8,24 129:6	3:15 29:3,7,10,11,12	41:25 42:3
credit-issuing (1)	130:7 132:10	29:14,18	delays (1)
188:13	133:16 137:16	DBase (1)	29:16
criminal (2)	155:20 157:3	46:10	delete (2)
10:8 15:16	160:20 161:7,8	dead (1)	34:7,18
Crossways (1)	164:11 167:22	204:6	deleted (2)
2:21	174:3,21 177:15	deals (2)	35:11,14
currently (2)	180:11 182:19	113:6 163:12	Denver (1)
16:15 17:7	192:3,5 196:21	debt (15)	43:25
custodian (50)	197:6,10,11,21	99:19 106:20 123:23	dep (3)
99:17 100:6,21 101:5	198:3 199:14 203:2	147:22,23 151:4	11:16 87:8,12
101:13,22 103:7,15	213:24 214:2	166:15,24 167:25	department (2)
104:6,13,21 116:5	216:20	168:2 170:14	163:8 164:2
116:13,21 118:3,11	database (50)	184:21 187:7,7	depend (2)
125:2,8,11,13,20	46:13 49:14,15 53:22	190:21	81:13 187:17
126:5 127:4,6,9,12	53:24 54:2,5,8,15	Debtmaster (13)	Depending (1)
128:5,11,18,21,24	54:17,18,22,25 55:8	61:19,20 62:8,15,20	56:20
129:2,7 130:14,16	55:9 60:3,17,19,21	63:3,4,10 155:7,13	depends (10)
130:23 131:3,11	61:4,4 62:7,10,18	177:17,23 184:6	15:17 111:7 154:13
135:21 142:22	63:5,8 64:16 83:7	debts (7)	154:15 182:14,15
143:17,21,23	83:12 109:13,16,17	147:25 166:23 167:4	184:16 186:24
205:24 207:18,22	109:22 110:18	170:17 172:22	189:18,19
208:2,6,15,21	111:2,14,20 112:10	173:4 185:18	depose (2)
custodians (1)	112:20 113:13	decided (2)	204:7,8
103:21	144:23 156:6 157:8	46:3 205:13	deposed (1)
	157:9 158:7 167:13	decision (2)	29:6
	I	I	I

	1	1	1
deposition (54)	213:23	14:12,17 18:8	90:20
1:18 3:7,8,13 5:17,20	Dick (4)	divided (1)	draft (1)
7:11,13 10:2,20	203:20,20,21 204:13	182:6	194:24
11:4,7,21 13:9,17	dictionary (2)	docket (1)	drafted (1)
16:16,18,19 17:8,11	130:21 139:10	14:2	194:22
17:23 18:2,12,20,25	difference (1)	document (45)	drafting (2)
19:8 21:2,2,19	61:3	30:3,13 32:8 55:11	209:13,19
22:15,20,22 23:3	different (24)	61:7,8,9 72:25 73:8	drive (4)
24:10,16,17 26:7	21:5 23:6 24:22	83:15 84:20 86:18	2:21 34:25 111:5,6
28:14,23 30:5,25,25	40:19 63:22 66:11	88:8 89:12 93:5	dropped (1)
39:25 41:4,6,10	74:4 77:22 81:13	105:22 106:6	201:2
66:8 87:12 145:10	81:15,19,25 82:15	107:14 108:23,24	due (2)
145:13 200:9	114:9,10,12 141:13	109:3,22 123:6,7,16	55:20 62:12
201:12 202:13,25	150:22 154:2,5,18	146:7,10 152:15	<b>duly</b> (2)
depositions (5)	155:9 163:7 164:17	159:10,15,21,22,23	4:9 218:11
6:22 11:24 12:11	differently (1)	160:16 165:18,20	<b>duty</b> (2)
20:13 24:8	174:11	168:12 170:12	10:8 15:16
describe (4)	difficult (3)	176:18 193:7,12	<b>D-E-B-T-M-A-S-T</b>
10:17 49:12 70:23,25	59:8 61:3 105:10	197:2,4,15 210:6	61:19
describes (1)	digital (4)	documents (23)	
13:12	34:2 205:8,11 214:3	5:11 14:7 30:11 31:3	E
description (2)	directly (1)	31:4,6,23 32:15,20	E (9)
152:12 216:6	43:24	91:17 105:7 113:22	2:2,2 3:2,2 4:8 216:2
design (1)	director (3)	124:2 135:13,18	217:2 218:2,2
63:9	59:11 95:15 96:3	180:15 183:4	earlier (2)
designated (20)	disagree (4)	192:24 193:18	65:12 213:5
99:17 100:6,21 101:5	93:13,13,17 94:20	200:10,17 201:7	Eastern (3)
101:13,22 103:7,21	discover (1)	207:2	1:9 14:12 18:7
104:6,13,21 116:5	32:20	doing (25)	education (1)
116:13,20 118:3,11	discussed (5)	30:2 38:18 40:11	42:7
125:19 126:4 127:3	207:14,15 210:15,16	43:13 57:14,25	effect (2)
207:17	210:23	58:19,20 65:4,24	3:11,14
designed (6)	discussion (11)	66:2 67:8,13 68:6	eight (5)
21:3 59:23,24 60:5	25:20 26:19 30:17	69:2,20 72:2,8	99:9 120:2 164:17
60:16,18	61:22 105:13	145:3 154:3 182:18	165:19,21 <b>Einstein (4)</b>
designing (1)	134:15 145:6	183:10,14,15	` ′
61:4	199:11,22 206:10	212:17	203:8,9,17 204:20 either (5)
desktop (4)	211:18	DOLOWICH (1)	32:21 87:22 170:20
37:10,18,25 38:2	discussions (2)	2:20	195:15,15
determine (5)	211:12,19	double-checked (1)	elaborate (1)
31:23 80:11 163:23	dispute (12)	200:20	64:12
200:10,16	7:23 10:3,17 12:2	download (4) 34:24 35:3 37:22	electronic (17)
develop (1) 55:25	16:19 17:11,21,22	50:3	106:14 141:19,23
developed (1)	21:16 24:5 25:5 169:9	downloaded (1)	142:13,14,17,17
56:11	District (9)	37:21	155:21 157:22
device (1)	1:2,2,9,9 10:23 11:10	Do-do-do (1)	158:5 162:3 167:16
ucvice (1)	1.4,4,7,7 10.43 11.10	DU-UU-UU (1 <i>)</i>	150.5 102.5 107.10
	-	-	-

	_		_
167:22 190:12	et (3)	110:20 122:13	201:17,22 202:18
191:10 192:2,3	1:7,14 16:24	124:9 125:17,18	e-mailed (1)
electronically (2)	everyone's (4)	126:17,22 127:2	40:2
161:17,20	6:14 19:11,17 22:11	129:4,7,18,18 130:7	e-mails (16)
eleven (5)	evolved (1)	130:8 131:14 132:4	31:25 32:24 33:18
86:6 88:13 89:19	123:3	132:12 133:17	34:6,25 35:8,21
92:15 93:15	exact (5)	135:3,7 136:5,16,21	36:8,21 37:5,11,20
Ellis (5)	57:22 68:21 110:16	137:6 138:2,3,20	37:23 38:9,24
14:22,23 15:6 16:9	128:4 185:11	141:18 143:24	39:22
17:3	exactly (4)	144:2,6,9,12 145:25	
employed (2)	18:9 52:10 57:25	146:4,8,18 158:14	<b>F</b>
124:17,18	214:8	159:3,7,12 161:9,18	F (3)
employee (1)	examination (7)	161:24 165:14	3:2 4:8 218:2
147:18	4:12 206:18 211:5	167:7,8 193:2,5,14	Fabacher (240)
endorsing (1)	215:10 217:4	194:7,16 195:21	1:19 2:21 4:1,16,21
176:21	218:10,12	196:19,23 197:4,10	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1
Engineering (1)	examined (1)	197:18 198:2,4	10:1,21 11:1 12:1
42:5	4:11	199:4,5 207:8,9	13:1 14:1,8 15:1
English (1)	example (11)	216:5,5	16:1 17:1 18:1,3
44:9	61:6,13 73:4 112:13	exhibits (13)	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1
entail (1)	136:9 140:2 144:16	4:3,6 5:6 30:4 126:18	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1
176:20	158:25 180:12	128:22 138:7	27:1 28:1 29:1,21
entered (3)	189:6,16	156:13 193:18	30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1
112:16 150:9 198:19	examples (1)	194:10 206:24	34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1
entire (1)	28:11	216:4,23	38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1
204:11	exceptions (1)	exist (6)	42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1
entirely (1)	41:17	110:13 172:12	46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1
64:8	exclude (1)	176:12,13,14,19	50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1
entities (12)	91:10	existed (1)	54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1
73:20,24 74:7 76:22	excuse (8)	106:21	58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1
77:15 99:19 120:10	30:9 52:14 100:18	existence (1)	62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1
121:22 125:3	129:4 147:2 182:8	151:9	66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1
127:14,19 150:10	193:14 199:9	exists (1)	70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1
entity's (1)	execute (2)	110:19	74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1
120:14	73:17 75:11	explain (4)	78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1
equipped (1)	executed (1)	54:3,9 90:14 144:21	82:1 83:1 84:1,21
213:22	81:4	exporting (1)	85:1 86:1 87:1,8,11
ESQ (5)	executing (3)	56:21	88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1
2:7,8,13,18,22	75:23 178:2 180:5	extending (1)	92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1
ESQS (1)	exhibit (97)	92:4	95:14 96:1,3 97:1
2:4	4:25,25 30:7,9,9,10	extent (5)	98:1 99:1 100:1
essentially (2)	30:24,25 31:7,8,24	7:10,14 12:14 24:18	101:1 102:1 103:1
18:6 174:23	32:5,16 72:22 73:3	24:21	104:1 105:1 106:1
established (3)	80:12 81:2,9,19	e-mail (16)	107:1 108:1 109:1
133:4,8 156:4	84:14,18,23 87:3	31:15 33:2,4,6,7,11	110:1 111:1 112:1
estimate (1)	97:17 105:15,19,24	33:16,19,21,25	113:1 114:1 115:1
68:16	106:9,16,22 109:24	37:14 38:14 84:3	116:1 117:1 118:1
	I	I	ı

119:1 120:1 121:1	88:23 89:17,20	<b>fill</b> (1)	following (4)
122:1 123:1,17,19	92:11,13,17 100:13	157:5	9:22 16:6 25:17
124:1 125:1 126:1	100:16 123:22	fills (1)	145:8
127:1 128:1 129:1	126:14	156:22	follows (1)
130:1 131:1 132:1	fail (1)	final (1)	4:11
133:1 134:1 135:1	141:15	200:7	force (1)
136:1 137:1 138:1	fair (10)	find (9)	3:14
139:1 140:1 141:1	29:19 57:9 70:7 99:4	31:20 40:6 52:19	forced (1)
142:1 143:1 144:1	99:5 204:24 209:10	98:12 107:2 118:15	38:17
145:1 146:1,3	209:11,17 213:8	136:11 163:17,20	form (37)
147:1 148:1 149:1	false (3)	finding (1)	3:20 26:9,14 55:21
150:1 151:1 152:1	120:25 122:9 123:13	118:18	79:8 81:3 91:7,13
153:1 154:1 155:1	familiar (3)	fine (27)	106:14,21 112:17
156:1 157:1 158:1	83:18 123:21 126:13	23:16 24:15 44:11	112:22,23,24 113:4
159:1 160:1 161:1	far (2)	47:4 57:9,24 85:22	113:6,7,22,25
162:1 163:1 164:1	20:12 35:22	85:22 87:18,21	114:16,17 115:3
165:1 166:1 167:1	Federal (1)	91:9 92:24 93:3	147:6 156:22 157:5
167:13 168:1,6,13	1:20	106:5 114:20	157:16 194:3,4,6
169:1 170:1 171:1	feel (5)	137:17 149:23	207:23 209:15,22
172:1 173:1 174:1	21:17 29:12 84:23	151:14,20,24,24	210:3,8,13 212:10
175:1 176:1 177:1	86:12,22	152:4 156:16	212:16
178:1 179:1 180:1	Felt (1)	174:13 176:6,9	formal (1)
181:1 182:1 183:1	29:3	198:17	42:11
184:1 185:1 186:1	field (2)	finish (3)	format (7)
187:1 188:1 189:1	133:22 144:20	71:18 165:14,15	89:5,6 94:5,6,12,15
190:1 191:1 192:1	fields (2)	firm (9)	94:17
193:1 194:1 195:1	193:8,10	151:3,8 165:5,12	forms (4)
196:1 197:1 198:1	fifteen (5)	201:10 203:9	103:13,14,18 114:2
199:1 200:1 201:1	86:19,22,25 87:4,5	204:20,21 211:15	forth (6)
202:1 203:1 204:1	figure (5)	firms (1)	62:12,22 69:21
205:1 206:1,20	39:19 62:2 134:5	151:6	106:21 160:8
207:1 208:1 209:1	137:19 143:12	first (14)	218:11
210:1 211:1,7	file (21)	4:9 17:15 85:14,24	found (2)
212:1 213:1 214:1	50:11 56:22 190:12	86:4 88:24 94:10	32:9 202:17
215:1,13 216:1,7,8	191:4,4,7,8,10,21	94:19 134:25 135:7	four (7)
216:16 217:1 218:1	191:22,22,24	146:23 201:15,21	137:23,23,24,24
Fabacher's (1)	196:21 197:7,10,11	203:20	139:14,17 203:12
176:19	197:21 199:2,4,14	five (7)	frame (5)
facilitate (1)	216:20	46:23 58:20 88:10	57:21 58:23 72:15
25:10	filed (9)	119:5,12 133:21	154:16 213:19
fact (10)	73:12,15,18 75:24	182:6	free (5)
5:23 20:20 23:2	78:14,22 79:6	fixed (1)	34:14 46:4 84:24
83:23 87:19 91:13	101:23 184:21	193:7	86:12,22
93:17 94:18 142:21	files (3)	Floor (3)	FREEHILLS (1)
142:22	162:19 185:17 187:8	1:23 2:6,12	2:10
facts (14)	filing (3)	follow (2)	friend (1)
80:11,24 83:19 87:25	3:6 177:4,5	89:4 94:5	52:21
	l	l	

			1
front (3)	178:19	194:23 195:13	205:19
14:8 22:8 207:12	girl (1)	199:23	guy (4)
full (2)	46:19	goes (9)	45:3 164:21 203:23
13:6 21:14	give (30)	87:7 111:21 113:21	203:24
fully (5)	10:14,15 13:22 17:16	115:15 155:19	guys (2)
41:15 83:17 123:18	39:4,11 49:9 57:22	157:14 159:20	143:10 178:22
123:20 126:12	66:7,15 68:10,17,18	163:8 199:15	Guzman (41)
full-time (2)	68:20 70:15 71:15	going (56)	1:3 5:2,18 10:24 11:8
48:14 51:22	76:15 77:20 80:16	8:15 11:10 12:12	11:11 13:16 16:9
function (4)	114:25 130:18	15:4,5,15 19:10,17	16:14,24 17:6 19:2
51:4,5 63:7 213:4	132:24 133:22,22	19:17 22:10 26:24	20:4 30:10 31:2,24
functions (3)	134:19 136:14	35:16 36:10,21	32:14 105:18 106:2
62:14,25 63:6	140:2 141:9 193:17	37:6 38:13 40:13	106:10 108:3
further (7)	201:11	45:8 61:24 67:13	126:19 140:19
3:19 36:22 120:6,8	given (3)	73:23 74:2 75:18	189:16 190:4,17
121:5 211:2 218:14	75:15 183:25 218:13	76:6 77:8 82:15	191:14,15 196:22
future (2)	giving (6)	83:23 84:25 85:25	197:5 206:22 207:8
18:21 21:25	64:21 76:24 88:19	86:5,9,19,21 90:25	208:16 209:14,20
F-A-B-A-C-H-E-R	139:9,16 140:8	91:8 92:25 93:9,10	210:7,16 211:10
4:17	glad (2)	98:21 109:5 141:12	216:7,15,21
	78:20 80:22	142:10 143:10,15	gym (2)
G	glasses (1)	149:21 160:12	45:14 166:25
gather (1)	167:20	165:14 167:21	
54:4	<b>Gmail (20)</b>	171:18 178:23	H
general (8)	33:23 34:6,22 35:9	187:25 196:5 200:5	H (2)
49:9 67:19 87:20	36:15,21 37:2,5,20	202:5,19 213:16	4:8 216:2
100:14 134:13	38:2,3,9 39:3,15,16	good (10)	hair (1)
141:9 212:7,14	39:19,22 40:25	16:10,12 17:4 24:12	47:24
generally (17)	41:4 200:12	25:14 29:4 45:11	half (6)
34:22 57:10 58:12	go (54)	152:4 211:7 215:6	49:6 51:15 58:19
59:20,24 65:23	6:9 10:4 15:2 35:8,21	<b>GPS</b> (6)	69:19 70:3 179:2
67:16 68:5 69:12	36:12 38:2,18	134:3 213:17,17,23	hand (1)
81:24 82:4 119:18	41:19,20,22 55:12	214:2,16	218:19
139:13 141:4	75:18 76:6 86:24	graduate (2)	handing (3)
150:19 171:6	87:20 88:22,23,25	42:9 43:19	104:25 159:21
175:10	89:14 92:20,23	graduated (1)	193:17
generated (5)	93:6,23,25 95:2,12	43:23	handled (1)
23:11 112:4 192:24	103:17 108:8	Great (1)	155:6
193:6,19	111:24,25 118:15	112:3	handwrite (1)
generates (3)	118:18 126:3 140:9	ground (1)	196:10
192:20 194:7 195:20	141:14 144:20	91:22	hang (1)
generating (1)	146:22 156:20	grounds (2)	46:7
194:10	159:21 165:19	37:13 76:25	hanging (1)
gentleman (2)	168:4 173:16 176:9	guess (11)	47:3
47:11 203:19	177:6 178:7,12	15:8 23:25 24:4	happened (1)
getting (4)	180:4 187:12	39:18 64:17 96:20	213:5
50:18 62:4 142:15	192:14 193:23	121:14 147:7,8,9	happens (1)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

162:17	hear (1)	9:20 16:4	142:12 167:17
happy (2)	13:2	honest (2)	include (5)
76:18 134:24	held (13)	53:5 202:5	116:20 118:2,9 144:6
hard (3)	1:21 10:25 11:18	hour (1)	207:16
34:25 111:4,6	25:20 26:19 30:17	6:19	included (8)
<b>Harris</b> (112)	61:22 105:13	hours (2)	75:25 98:13 104:12
1:7,14 52:5,24 53:7	134:15 145:6	56:24 206:7	133:9 142:13,16
53:19 56:2,12,25	199:11,22 206:10	How's (2)	182:23 192:22
58:7,13 59:10,15,21	hello (1)	55:13 70:21	includes (3)
59:25 66:21 70:4	214:16	hundred (1)	144:4 170:15 190:3
71:9 72:5 73:12,15	helped (1)	35:12	including (5)
74:16 75:11,22,24	25:10	Hung (1)	120:12 122:6 126:8
77:24 78:14,22	helping (1)	46:25	131:24 166:16
79:6,18,23 81:9	205:18		incorrect (2)
83:17 84:17 89:3	HERBERT (1)	I	96:7 126:2
94:3,23 95:16 96:4	2:10	id (8)	incorrectly (2)
96:10,11 100:20	hereinbefore (1)	121:6,7,8,9,10 124:5	95:19,21
101:4,21 103:5	218:11	165:23,24	independently (1)
104:20 115:20	hereunto (1)	idea (4)	112:10
116:19 117:12	218:18	49:9 58:17 134:4	Index (1)
118:2,9,17 119:7,20	hi (4)	172:4	16:24
121:17 122:3,17,23	9:23 10:13 12:4	identification (7)	India (2)
128:7 141:20	19:25	4:6 72:23 84:18	46:20,22
146:24 147:4,15	HIPAA (2)	105:20 146:5 159:8	indicating (18)
150:17 151:7,9	180:21 181:2	196:24	57:4 61:7 82:16
152:13,24 157:23	historical (1)	identified (1)	83:10 89:15 100:3
161:13,16,23 162:5	123:9	109:7	100:10 103:16
162:6,19,21 163:17	History (4)	identify (1)	105:2 108:6,11
163:19,23 164:4,7	87:25 88:10 89:20	146:12	113:14,18 115:17
164:12,15,23 165:2	92:16	identifying (1)	117:7 134:17
165:3 171:4,20	Hmm (1)	106:23	135:12 201:19
172:22 173:4,9,25	85:18	image (1)	Industrial (1)
175:2 184:21	hold (7)	50:10	42:5
185:17 198:7,10	10:10,12 15:7 16:21	immediately (1)	inefficient (1)
203:11,17,25 204:2	152:14 160:23	17:9	65:2
204:10,20 205:3	193:16	import (1)	infers (1)
208:13,14,22	holder (1)	167:14	140:25
211:15,21 213:8	188:12	impossible (1)	infirmities (1)
214:25 216:13	holders (2)	123:5	24:19
Harris's (8)	168:15 169:17	inaccurate (13)	information (87)
106:15,22 155:19,22	holding (1)	167:2 170:2 174:14	49:14 53:9,22 54:4,5
158:7 165:5 192:19	147:23	175:3 179:3 187:10	55:4,21,22 59:12
203:2	hole (1)	187:11,16 188:21	61:13,14,15,16
head (3)	178:20	188:22 190:9,10	62:11,21 64:15
10:7 27:3,3	home (4)	195:24	71:4,7,25 88:16
health (2)	38:8,20 39:20 129:14	inaudible (5)	95:15 96:3 106:17
114:11 184:18	Hon (2)	13:19 16:11 21:16	106:24 109:23

110:7,17,21,23,24	218:17	6:10 12:23 13:5	84:2,5,9,12 87:14
110.7,17,21,23,24	Internet (2)	21:13	87:18 91:6,23
112:19 131:15	49:20 50:15	jointly (3)	105:3,14 126:24
132:3,13 133:6	interrupted (1)	10:25 11:19 13:14	142:10,18 145:16
136:21 138:20	161:5	Jose (8)	145:22 151:14,17
139:24 140:22	investor (3)	1:3 105:18 106:2,10	151:20 159:2,20
141:19 142:14	149:15 151:11,23	108:3 207:8 210:16	171:11,13 192:14
144:2,10 146:17	involved (3)	216:15	196:18 199:23
152:22 153:5,22	47:15 178:25 213:7	judge (17)	206:4,12 207:23
154:23 155:18,21	iPhone (3)	0 0 1	200.4,12 207.23
	35:7 37:15 182:6	3:12 6:11,13,25 9:25	210:12 212:10,15
156:5,8 157:8,13,19 157:22 158:6 160:2		10:3,6,14 15:14	,
	IRS (1)	17:2,16,17 23:4,6	214:22 215:5,7
161:11,17,24 165:4	110:15	25:7,22 178:16	217:5
166:15 173:3,8,11	issue (11)	judges (1)	key (1)
177:19,21 183:9,16	8:13,24,25 9:7,12	7:18	50:8
184:5 192:10 194:2	10:19 20:17 24:9	Judge's (1)	kind (2)
194:16 195:18	25:23 38:25 82:19	10:16	46:7 205:15
197:21 199:3 203:4	issued (1)	judgment (5)	kinds (1)
203:5,8,16 204:19	85:15	153:11,14 162:19	166:24
insert (1)	issues (1)	192:20,22	KINGS (1)
121:24	65:6	judgments (3)	218:5
installation (2)	items (5)	150:9 163:2,13	knew (3)
65:4,5	30:24 109:6,8,14	jump (1)	63:5 76:8 200:21
installed (2)	165:15	134:18	know (207)
59:17,20			6:2,24 7:16 8:5 9:8
installing (1)	J	K K	12:8 13:14 20:15
65:25	J (2)	KAUFMAN (1)	22:19 34:5 35:12
instance (1)	45:22,23	2:20	36:5,10 37:9 42:24
104:4	jealous (1)	keep (4)	43:3 44:8,9 46:5
instances (3)	47:5	114:20 123:7,8 162:6	48:25 49:5,11 50:9
169:14,15 172:12	Jeff (2)	kept (2)	50:18 54:3,9,13
institution (1)	12:4 91:23	90:12 123:9	57:16,19,23 58:23
188:13	Jeffrey (3)	Kerry (3)	59:3,5,17 63:6,6,8
instructed (2)	2:18 20:2 211:8	149:2,5,8	63:12,15,18 64:7,22
26:9 210:6	Jessica (2)	Keshavarz (91)	64:24 65:16 66:4,5
integrate (1)	2:8 145:9	1:22 2:4,7 4:2,13	66:6,6,9,10 67:18
162:24	<b>job</b> (8)	5:15,22 6:2,8,11,17	68:9 69:24 70:9,14
integrated (3)	44:18 48:14 51:22	6:21 7:3,6,17 8:3	70:15,16 73:16
157:22 161:12,15	52:17 53:13 71:5	8:19 9:23,24 10:18	74:11 75:14,16
integrates (2)	183:25 214:10	11:23 13:22 14:5	76:10,15 77:12,13
63:10,12	jobs (1)	14:11,16,21,24 15:2	79:10,10,12,12,13
integration (2)	51:24	15:4,20,23 16:7,12	79:15,22,25 82:7
155:20 158:5	jog (1)	16:13,23 17:4,5,19	85:8,10,14,20,21
integrity (1)	74:22	17:22,25 18:9	86:5 89:6,9 94:14
69:24	John (1)	19:16 21:21 23:25	102:3,7 110:9,11
interested (3)	57:7	24:4 25:4,8,13,15	112:16 117:20
158:14 160:19	join (4)	25:21 72:17 80:19	119:8,24 120:19
150.17 100.17	<b>9</b>		117.0,21120.17

121:4 122:19	L (3)	learning (2)	217:7
133:14,19 134:23	3:2,2 125:10	42:21 43:11	Lichtman's (3)
136:7 137:11	language (1)	leave (2)	12:23 13:6 21:13
138:23,23,24 140:4	126:16	53:3 75:19	line (14)
140:13,24 144:18	large (1)	leaving (1)	82:24 87:8,20,21
145:19 146:9	184:2	183:16	92:20,20,23,23
148:13,15,16,21,22	largely (3)	left (5)	111:25,25 121:6
149:4,11,13,14,22	50:14 58:7,10	51:20 52:25 75:17	158:24 185:24
149:23,24 150:6,8	late (1)	203:11 205:3	199:20
150:13 151:10	6:19	legal (2)	lines (1)
152:16 154:15,21	law (44)	130:23 163:7	92:5
155:8 156:23,25	1:21 2:4 10:6,13	letter (1)	LinkedIn (5)
157:18,21 158:4	11:14 12:21,25	46:14	146:3,14,16,17
159:15 160:3	13:7,20,25 14:15,18	letters (1)	216:16
162:10,11,11,12	14:23,25 15:3,13,22	55:22	list (9)
163:25 164:3,3,10	15:24 16:10,21	letting (1)	74:21 75:15 76:16,18
164:13,22 165:3,10	17:2,14,20,24 18:7	91:19	76:20 77:10,14,14
165:11 166:23	19:13 21:7,9,15	let's (26)	77:20
169:23 172:6,19,20	23:24 24:2 25:6,12	6:12 7:17 26:3 30:8	listed (4)
172:21 174:5	25:14,22 52:4	41:19 47:18 88:23	30:24 31:7 98:6
177:14 181:3	151:2 165:12	90:20 92:23 93:23	109:15
183:19 184:11,16	180:25 201:9 203:9	93:23 102:24	listen (2)
185:5,23 186:3,9,17	203:9 204:20	105:14 108:25	8:15 204:17
188:8,16,25 189:4	211:15	113:17 115:12	listening (2)
189:13,18 190:2,5	laws (2)	123:25 126:25	143:8,8
190:16 191:16	82:13 180:21	135:22 145:24	lists (1)
195:3,3 196:9	lawsuit (2)	165:13 176:23	98:2
198:2,4,6,13,15,16	28:20 187:8	192:14 196:18	litigation (5)
198:20 202:4,6,10	lawsuits (11)	199:19,23	151:4,18 153:6,13
202:15 203:4,5,7,10	73:12,15 75:12,24	Leucadia (8)	155:5
203:13,16,18,19,20	78:14,22 79:6	89:3 94:3,24 96:18	little (5)
203:21 204:6,14,16	101:2 150:5 184:20	97:12,15 99:19	41:20 57:17 66:12
204:21,22 214:24	185:16	167:23	101:17 200:19
215:2,3	lawyers (1)	Lexington (1)	live (1)
knowing (1)	80:4	2:12	123:6
12:12	layman (3)	Lichtman (37)	lived (2)
knowledge (5)	54:14 55:12 64:23	2:18 5:19,23 6:4 7:8	61:13,18
79:17 83:19 123:21	layman's (9)	12:3,4 19:12,25	living (1)
126:14 165:3	46:11 47:21 49:7	20:2 22:12 23:23	64:9
known (1)	54:11,13 64:19,21	24:15 31:9 80:15	LLC (23)
29:20	90:16 193:21	80:20 87:16 89:23	1:7,14 2:11,11 45:23
knows (1)	layperson (1)	91:25 157:16,25	72:20 105:17
60:23	64:11	158:8,10 161:14,19	127:18,23 128:2,2,4
Kuala (1)	leads (1)	162:2,8 163:4	149:19,20 150:23
44:21	194:16	165:7 185:20	159:5 206:21,22
	learn (1)	197:12 199:7 211:3	209:12 210:5
L	42:17	211:6,8 214:20	216:10,14,18
	,	211.0,0 211.20	210.10,11,10

			1
LLP (3)	LR (92)	9:21 11:11 14:13,19	98:10
2:10,15,20	2:11,11 10:19,24	14:22 15:5,11 16:5	management (8)
loan (1)	12:22 13:4 16:14	16:8 17:12 18:24	53:9 59:12 71:2,7,25
114:8	16:24 17:7 18:10	19:4	88:15 164:11
loans (1)	21:11 72:20 73:19	magistrates (1)	183:15
166:24	73:23 74:7,8,13,13	19:6	managing (2)
location (6)	74:19,21 75:8,10,16	mail (11)	49:13 59:14
157:12 160:3 161:9	75:25 76:12,21	55:11,13,16,19 56:19	Manhattan (2)
163:22 214:3,11	77:15 78:4,5,16,24	56:21 63:11 193:20	57:3,5
locations (3)	79:17 96:25 97:18	194:15 195:11,17	manipulates (1)
156:3 157:21 163:18	97:18 98:3,6,14	main (8)	63:24
log (1)	105:17 106:2,10	60:15 62:14,24 63:6	manner (1)
39:11	108:2 124:15 125:3	151:8 154:11	198:5
logic (2)	125:9,10,11 127:13	164:19 214:24	manually (9)
112:12 114:8	127:18,19,22,25	maintain (6)	34:9 195:4,5,15
long (18)	128:2,8,21,21 129:3	60:17 126:6 131:22	196:3,8,13,15
15:8 28:22 34:5	129:4,8 142:22	132:9 139:3 177:14	198:18
42:20,25 44:13	143:18 150:10	maintained (9)	mark (8)
45:3 46:15,21 48:2	159:5 171:2 191:14	101:12 120:12	4:2 72:17 84:13
49:2 52:23 66:6	191:15,16 196:21	121:18 122:4,7	105:14 107:21
69:14 84:23 86:24	197:5 206:21,22	126:9,11 131:24	145:24 159:2
150:3 182:11	207:7,10 208:15,16	208:4	196:18
look (23)	208:24 209:2,5,8,11	maintaining (6)	marked (12)
31:2,6,14,25 36:12	209:12,18,24 210:5	67:17 68:7 69:22	4:5 72:21 73:3 84:17
37:25 38:2 45:11	210:11,17,22,24	71:11 137:13,15	84:22 105:18,23
50:12 84:25 103:11	216:10,14,18,20	maintains (1)	146:3 159:6,12
118:19 139:10	luck (1)	120:9	196:22 197:3
146:8 159:14,19	204:4	maintenance (3)	marriage (1)
168:25 177:16,16	Lumpur (1)	68:3,3 69:20	218:16
187:17 197:13	44:21	major (13)	match (3)
201:7 205:10	luncheon (1)	51:14,24 53:14,17,20	124:4,6,11
looked (4)	145:6	66:18,19 67:12,14	matches (2)
31:12,14 200:19	Lutz (3)	67:17 71:8 72:4	122:12 177:22
201:17	149:2,5,8	79:22	materials (1)
looking (10)	lying (1)	majority (7)	204:13
30:4 50:7 52:22	176:3	79:14 117:14,18	matter (1)
55:12 106:8 133:25	L-E-U-C-A-D-I-A	169:13,14 171:20	218:17
167:6,7 182:5	167:24	184:2	maximum (3)
189:25	3.5	making (3)	175:15,17 178:13
looks (2)	<u>M</u>	13:19 41:17 69:23	mean (151)
146:21 198:3	M (3)	Malaysia (2)	23:2 24:6 31:13
lot (6)	9:21 16:5 53:9	44:21 45:22	36:15 37:25 38:4
29:15 47:3 67:25	machine (2)	man (3)	47:22 49:9,21,25
112:12 122:19	59:4,6	9:9 45:2 70:15	53:25 54:2,6,7,16
170:2	machines (2)	manage (3)	55:6,23 56:15,19
loud (2)	59:8 61:2	47:24 49:15 59:18	59:19 60:11,20
160:14 214:23	magistrate (12)	managed (1)	64:12,24 66:5,10,13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

67:19,20 68:9,9,11	Mel (120)	merge (10)	merits (6)
70:17 71:22 72:9	1:7,14 52:4,24 53:7	55:11,13,16,19 56:19	94:23 140:11,23
76:7,20,22 77:4,14	53:18 56:2,11,25	63:11 193:20	153:23 167:13
82:12,13,18 83:8	58:7,13 59:10,15,21	194:15 195:11,17	176:22
89:25 93:10 96:10	59:25 66:21 70:4	merged (1)	met (2)
100:2,10 102:4	71:9 72:5 73:12,15	108:24	46:19 47:11
109:9 110:2,8	74:16 75:11,21,24	merges (2)	method (1)
112:24 115:3	77:24 78:14,22	56:21 194:2	211:25
117:16 121:21	79:6,17,23 81:9	merit (129)	Miami (2)
122:19 124:22,25	83:17 84:16 89:3	71:23 72:3,9,13,20	41:23,23
125:10 127:6,6,9,11	94:3,23 95:16 96:4	73:4,11,18 74:16	Michael (3)
128:6,11 129:9,13	96:10,11 100:20	75:12,23 77:25	80:6 148:16 149:9
129:16,18,21,24	101:3,21 103:5	78:4,7,13,21 79:5	Microsoft (1)
130:7,10,14,17,18	104:19 106:14,21	79:19 80:12 81:4	157:8
130:22 131:2,15	115:19 116:19	81:10,15,18,25 82:5	middle (1)
132:2,8,13 133:5,10	117:11 118:2,9,17	83:3,16 87:23 89:2	161:6
133:12,14,16,25	119:6,20 121:17	89:18 92:14 94:2	mighty (1)
135:23,25 136:2,7	122:3,17,22 128:7	96:24,25 97:17,25	45:11
136:12,17,20,23,24	141:20 146:24	98:5,12 100:5,12,17	Mills (154)
137:5 138:6,11,14	147:4,14 150:17,18	100:19 101:3,9,20	2:13 6:10,19,24 7:4
138:18,19,23,24,24	151:6,9 152:13,24	102:18,20 103:4,6,8	8:17 12:20,20,22
138:25,25,25	155:19,21 157:23	103:19 104:4,12,18	13:3,3,13 21:8,10
139:23 140:10,21	158:6 161:12,16,23	105:4,17,25 106:9	21:11 23:22 25:3
141:19 143:9,25	162:5,6,19,21	110:19,25 111:22	73:21 74:10,17
144:10,14,19	163:17,19,23 164:4	113:11 115:15,19	75:13 76:2,5,14
147:25 151:22	164:7,12,15 165:2,5	116:3,15,18 117:11	77:17 78:10,17,25
153:7 156:12	171:4,20 172:22	117:25 118:8,17	80:14 82:23 88:3
161:15 162:14	173:4,5,9,25 175:2	121:16 122:2,16	89:22 91:21 92:19
164:9 165:11 169:8	184:21 185:17	125:17 126:18	93:20 94:13,21
169:18,20 173:2,18	192:19 198:7,10	131:16 132:4,14	95:18 97:3,21 98:8
178:10 179:14	203:2,11,17,25	133:7 135:3 136:4	98:15 99:10,25
180:23 185:15	204:2,10,20 205:3	136:15 138:2,4,16	100:8,23 101:7,10
189:3 191:5,9	208:13,14,22	139:21,25 144:11	101:25 103:9,24
193:9,9 194:12	211:15,21 213:8	153:17 154:4	104:8,16,23 106:25
195:14 197:8,8,9,11	214:25 216:12	157:14 168:6	109:25 110:5,22
211:17,24 214:2	member (5)	174:18,20,24 177:7	111:3,23 113:3,24
means (15)	148:7,21 188:14,17	177:20,21 178:3	115:21 116:7,16,22
13:14 24:5 54:18	189:5	179:5 180:5 181:6	117:5,21 118:5,14
87:12 94:18 110:10	members (5)	182:8,12,15,16,20	119:9,15,22 120:3
121:14,15,23 131:3	148:9,14,25 149:5,10	182:22 183:18	120:17 121:2
131:9 136:8 143:6	membership (2)	184:3,5 185:3,8	122:10 123:14
169:11 207:22	45:14 166:25	186:19 188:19	124:21,24 125:5,12
meant (2)	memory (6)	189:23 192:23,25	126:22 127:8,15,21
188:8,9	74:22 119:14,19	193:15 195:21	128:10,13,23 130:2
medical (4)	147:2,3 191:11	199:15 205:22	130:11,15,20 131:5
114:10 180:22,25	mentioning (1)	207:5 209:13,20	131:8 132:6,16
184:17	197:22	210:7 216:10,14	133:13 135:24
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

136:6,18,22 137:7	mother (1)	necessary (2)	non-party (10)
138:10 141:22	134:23	12:19 20:15	1:18 2:20 6:16 7:22
142:24 144:13	motion (1)	need (15)	10:21 11:16 18:2
145:11 150:12	162:20	13:18 15:11 16:19	18:13 24:17 151:21
159:17,22 166:12	move (1)	17:12 19:14 21:17	normal (6)
167:11 168:11,18	199:19	22:9 25:7 54:12	27:6 40:12 179:7
170:11,19 171:24	moved (4)	73:25 91:25 144:20	180:4 182:21,24
172:10,24 173:12	43:25 46:20 48:19,20	149:11 166:13	normally (10)
174:6,8 175:4	moves (1)	206:16	178:2 181:5,7,8,9,17
176:17 180:6	162:18	needed (1)	181:21,22 182:19
182:13 183:2	Moving (3)	41:14	187:2
184:24 185:4,13,19	99:6,7 131:12	never (18)	notary (11)
186:20 187:23	multiple (1)	15:18 24:25 34:4,23	1:24 4:10 111:10,10
188:5,20 189:7,17	113:25	34:24 35:2,5 85:23	111:12,17,19
190:8,19,23 191:20		148:21 175:17	112:20 113:13
194:14,19 195:10	N	179:15 181:13	215:19 218:7
195:23 197:24	N (3)	209:6,9 210:15,16	note (6)
198:12 199:6,17	2:2 3:2 217:2	210:16,23	27:21 77:17 89:24
206:19,21 211:2	nail (1)	new (27)	132:5,15 159:17
217:6	169:21	1:2,9,23,25 2:6,12,12	notes (2)
mine (2)	name (38)	2:17,17,22 4:10,20	17:15 199:24
14:10 146:13	4:14 29:20,23 39:12	4:20 40:12 48:19	notice (3)
minutes (1)	39:14,17 45:22	48:21 49:3 59:5	7:9 153:4 154:23
197:6	73:19 75:25 78:15	68:4 89:4 94:4,24	noticed (9)
MIS (2)	79:21 80:3 106:18	126:6 127:5,5	7:11 10:20,22,25
53:9 59:11	106:19,19 108:6,7	218:4,8	11:18 13:10 17:23
mischaracterizatio	112:3,5,20 113:13	news (4)	18:2,4
12:6	115:17 150:9,11	49:18,20 50:3,8	notices (4)
misunderstood (1)	154:18,25 155:3	newspaper (2)	12:8 13:15,17 20:8
38:23	157:11 161:10,10	50:14,20	noting (1)
Mlotok (5)	164:22 191:14	next-to-last (1)	7:19
2:16 20:3 211:9	192:5,10 203:20,21	30:7	number (40)
212:12 214:17	206:20 211:8	nice (4)	13:21,23 14:2 16:25
Mm-hmm (2)	named (5)	47:4 201:24,25	53:2 56:13 67:5
81:21 87:9	10:21,24 18:3 48:24	205:18	68:10,12,15,18,19
mobile (1)	80:6	nine (2)	73:3 74:14 75:9
205:5	names (13)	57:2 176:10	76:3 79:15 84:23
moment (3)	55:20 56:4,7,14	nineteen (2)	88:9,14 92:5
10:10 14:5 113:23	62:12,21 76:16,18	45:5,6	105:24 107:18
Monique (2)	76:20,24 82:19	ninety (2)	117:17 121:13
84:16 216:12	128:4 164:18	45:5 184:8	122:13 124:5,10
month (2)	narrow (1)	nodding (1)	125:22 133:7,8
65:4,7	114:14	27:2	135:4 146:12
months (1)	nature (3)	non-lawyer (1)	166:16 184:12
46:23	212:14,19,20	80:5	192:8,11 197:10,18
Moody (3)	necessarily (3)	non-lawyers (1)	216:6
2:8 105:6 145:10	90:15 167:5 168:23	80:4	numbered (1)
	I	I	I

			l
77:15	125:5,12 127:8,15	199:10,21 206:9	109:14,21 111:4,6
numbers (5)	127:21 128:10,13	oh (15)	111:16,17,18
55:20 74:24 75:2	128:23 129:20	8:9 14:10,21 15:4	112:11,15,18 113:2
76:21 129:14	130:2,11,15,20	31:19 52:14 57:16	113:19 114:4,13,15
	131:5,8 132:5,15,16	71:20 95:22 126:24	115:13,24 116:12
0	133:13 135:24	160:10 167:18	120:7 123:12,18
O (2)	136:6,18,22 137:7	194:18 202:19	125:24 126:16,21
3:2 4:8	138:10 141:22	204:18	128:20 129:2,15
oath (4)	142:24 144:13	Ohio (1)	130:13 131:10
3:11 100:12 134:9	145:12,23 147:6	41:24	134:24 135:14,21
141:7	148:5,10 150:12	okay (280)	136:15 138:14
object (13)	157:16,25 158:8,10	5:14 11:14 12:21	139:18 140:21
11:2,20,25 20:11,19	161:14,19 162:2,8	13:7,20,25 14:15,18	142:2,6 143:4
24:20 82:23 83:23	163:4 165:7 166:12	14:23 15:20,24	144:17 146:11,19
91:21 98:20 145:14	167:11 168:11,18	17:14,14,19 19:13	147:10,13,20 149:8
174:6 212:15	170:11,19 171:24	21:7,15 23:24	149:16,19 150:8
objected (2)	172:10,24 173:12	24:24 25:6 27:6,13	151:2,8,25 152:11
20:11 22:22	174:8 175:4 176:17	28:2,9 30:2,23	152:18,22 153:2,15
objecting (5)	180:6 182:13 183:2	31:19,21 32:4,11,19	153:25 154:11
20:22 21:19 22:2	184:24 185:4,13,19	32:23 33:15,19	155:4,11,18 156:23
23:8,10	185:20,21 186:20	34:5,21 35:6,8,15	157:2,10,18 158:18
objection (192)	187:23 188:5,20	37:24 38:7 40:11	159:24,25 160:7
7:12 21:6 22:6,13,14	189:7,17 190:8,19	40:21,24 41:12	161:3 162:5 163:5
25:2 26:8,8,14	190:23 191:20	43:8,12,15 44:18	163:11,20 165:2,9
27:21 28:7,11 31:9	194:14,19 195:10	45:11,19 46:21	165:17 166:7,18
73:21 74:10,17	195:23 197:12,24	47:2,15 49:7,17	168:7 169:13 170:7
75:13 76:2,5,14	198:12 199:6,7,8,17	50:6,9 51:19 53:17	170:9 171:2,16
77:17,18 78:8,10,17	206:16 207:23	54:21 55:14,25	172:2 173:2,14
78:25 79:8,24	209:15,21 210:2,8	57:6 58:11,17	175:10,22 176:2,6
80:14,17,18 88:3,4	210:12 212:10	59:18 60:5,8,22,25	176:11,16 177:9
89:22,23,24 90:18	objections (4)	61:6 62:24 64:14	179:4,7,24 180:3,7
90:19 91:7,8,12,13	3:20 12:15 18:19,22	64:17 65:3 67:6,24	182:10 183:11
91:19 92:2,3,19	obtain (2)	69:14,18 72:7,12,16	184:14 186:22
93:20 94:13,21	31:6 32:20	73:10 74:6 76:11	187:5 189:13,25
97:3,4,21 98:8,15	obtained (4)	77:21,23 80:10,23	192:18 193:5,19,24
99:10,20 100:8,23	120:13 122:7 126:9	83:8 84:2,21 85:20	195:12 196:4
100:24 101:7,10,25	131:25	85:25 86:11,17,24	197:16 198:21
103:9,24 104:8,16	offensive (1)	87:2,6 89:8,11,13	199:3 200:4,23
104:23 106:25	45:7	93:4,23 94:2,7,22	201:4,9,14 202:19
109:25 110:5,22	office (4)	95:6,9,11,14,17,20	202:25 204:23
111:3,23 113:3,24	1:22 2:4 46:6 52:4	96:2,6,19 97:16,23	205:17 206:16
115:21 116:7,16,22	officer (3)	98:4,11,17 99:5,15	207:3,14,21 208:20
117:5,21 118:5,14	148:8,11 151:10	99:15 100:17	209:17 212:13
119:9,15,22 120:3	off-the-record (10)	102:11,18 103:2,2	213:2,9,12,19
120:17,18 121:2,3	25:19 26:18 30:16	103:16 104:3,10	214:14,20 215:5
122:10,11 123:14	61:21 105:12	106:7 107:19,24	old (3)
123:15 124:21,24	134:14 145:5	108:11,19,20,22	44:25 45:3,8
		, - , - ,	, - , -

Oleg (2)	2:15	212:23 214:10	percent-plus (2)
164:21,22		particularly (3)	184:3,20
once (2)	P	26:8 40:12 42:12	period (6)
163:8 174:20	P (4)	particulars (1)	42:20 66:21 81:8
ones (3)	2:2,2,13 3:2	210:18	82:7,9 168:2
76:8,8,12	packet (3)	parties (3)	perjure (1)
one-way (1)	192:20,21,22	1:20 3:6 218:15	77:2
44:4	page (25)	party (1)	perjury (4)
onward (1)	30:7 87:4,5,6,23,24	47:12	37:13 77:2,5,6
72:13	88:10 89:17,19	pass (1)	person (13)
open (1)	92:13,15 94:10	206:5	59:10 60:23 74:15
205:4	99:6,8 119:25	passed (2)	75:11,22 78:6 79:3
opinion (6)	146:3,14,16,17,23	203:22 204:3	96:23 144:22
9:16,18 84:16 87:11	165:19 176:10	password (3)	164:19 165:10
132:6 216:12	216:6,16 217:4	39:13,14,17	208:9 212:11
opportunity (2)	pages (10)	patient (1)	personal (8)
20:10 41:9	86:2,6,10 89:9,21	180:23	33:6 38:13 39:5
	93:14,16 186:22		83:19 123:21
oppose (1) 162:20	196:22 216:21	pause (1) 206:13	126:13 212:18,20
	paper (3)		*
opposed (4)	54:20 156:16 162:4	pay (1) 34:20	personally (7) 39:6 83:18 90:3
27:2 142:14 154:3	paragraph (6)		
213:5	115:16 135:2,7	paying (2)	123:18,20 126:13
opposing (1)	144:16 152:20,23	110:14 202:2	202:9
206:6	paragraphs (2)	payment (1)	perusing (36)
optical (1)	88:2 135:15	61:14	5:11 30:3,13 32:8
50:22	paralegal (1)	PC's (4)	72:25 73:8 83:15
order (5)	194:24	44:2 59:3,3 67:18	84:20 86:18 88:8
11:6 18:23 19:5 23:4	parallel (3)	Peace (1)	89:12 93:5 105:7
171:14	10:23 15:6 18:5	205:16	105:22 106:6
ordered (3)		penalty (2)	123:16 124:2
23:4,5 59:5	Pardon (1)	77:5,6	135:13,18 146:7,10
orders (4)	14:7	people (7)	152:15 159:10,22
85:15,17,19 162:25	Park (1)	79:13,20 80:2 140:19	159:23 160:16
original (12)	2:21	157:7 164:17 212:6	165:18,20 168:12
3:8,16 106:18 108:18	PARNAGIAN (1)	percent (30)	170:12 176:18
166:17 168:14	2:15	35:12 58:20,25 59:2	180:14 193:18
169:16,18,25 187:6	part (11)	65:13 66:5 67:7,20	197:2,15 207:2
205:21,25	49:22 67:15,17 71:8	67:21,23 68:8,21	<b>phone</b> (20)
outcome (1)	83:24 114:8 116:14	69:2,5 70:18,21	6:13 7:18 11:10
218:17	157:4 190:14 198:9	71:24,25 117:19,24	17:17 25:9 35:7,17
Outlook (1)	203:13	118:7 169:7,7,8	36:2,2,19,21,25
34:21	participate (1)	183:14,15 184:8,12	37:5 38:10,22
outstanding (1)	211:11	185:9,10	170:4,5 192:6,11
166:17	particular (10)	percentage (8)	214:12
owners (2)	34:13 42:24 55:7	57:13 58:18 65:7,22	photos (2)
148:3,22	64:5 81:12 137:8	169:6,6 172:2	213:10,18
O'HARE (1)	188:25 205:2	185:11	phrase (3)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

70:24 118:2,10	49:10 73:7 75:6	36:4	102:3
physically (1)	84:14 101:18	prepare (1)	produced (3)
50:20	102:13,17 105:15	204:12	196:21 197:5 216:20
picked (1)	106:4 107:12 135:4	preparing (2)	program (14)
43:10	135:10,11,14	167:12 168:5	48:23 56:10 61:18
piece (2)	145:25 173:6	present (2)	153:15 154:18,25
54:20 156:16	174:12 183:24	33:16 179:15	155:3 158:15
<b>Pin</b> (1)	plug (1)	presented (1)	162:15,23,24 163:6
150:3	59:7	124:12	163:10 192:19
Pinpoint (21)	point (12)	pretty (5)	programmed (1)
56:9,10 60:6 62:4,15	10:5 18:21 21:25	47:8 51:16 63:19,20	48:22
62:17 63:9 147:11	23:2 26:24 39:9	109:19	programmer (5)
147:14,17,20 148:4	41:3 81:6 87:24	previous (1)	46:3 110:12 121:12
149:6,10,19 150:4	107:22 152:8	185:14	133:21 154:12
150:11,18,23 151:3	162:20	pre-judgment (3)	programmers (1)
151:13	Political (1)	154:19 155:5,6	154:10
placed (1)	42:4	pre-litigation (1)	programming (22)
10:12	Pomegranate (3)	212:25	42:13 43:5,7,9,13,16
plain (2)	34:3 205:9,12	printed (3)	45:18,20 46:8
63:20,20	poor (1)	55:3 112:11 177:19	48:12 49:3,8,11,13
plaintiff (50)	205:18	prior (5)	49:16 57:15 58:20
1:4,11 2:5 16:14 17:5	portfolio (3)	7:12 96:18 155:12,13	66:13 153:7 154:2
17:6,24,25 74:12	170:14,17 191:3	156:3	158:9 164:10
75:7,8,9,25 76:13	portion (2)	probably (5)	programs (8)
78:4,15,23 96:24	61:22 199:11	43:4 52:9 67:23	56:2,5,8 59:15 62:25
97:18 98:2,7,13	pose (1)	69:17 164:16	110:13 153:3
99:18 100:25 101:8	145:12	problem (6)	167:14
101:23 103:8,22	position (3)	8:21,23 9:4 15:22	project (1)
104:7,14,22 106:17	21:22,24 52:20	22:17 26:23	205:12
106:20 107:16	possible (2)	Procedural (4)	pronouncing (1)
108:11,16 116:6,14	122:24 183:3	87:25 88:10 89:19	29:23
116:21 118:4,12	possibly (2)	92:15	proper (1)
125:20 126:5 127:4	48:4 162:9	Procedure (1)	115:9
137:2 150:5 187:8	post (2)	1:21	properly (1)
207:18 208:3 216:4	153:10,13	proceedings (7)	7:11
plaintiff's (16)	post-judgment (9)	9:22 16:6 25:17	prospective (1)
4:5 13:11 30:10 31:7	154:2,19 155:15	83:20 123:22	22:21
72:22 73:3 84:17	158:17,19 162:15	126:14 145:9	protective (1)
84:22 105:19,24	162:17,24 213:6	process (24)	23:3
126:10 131:25	Potentially (2)	156:20,22 157:11,20	provide (1)
146:4 159:7,12	179:21,23	158:16 161:10	77:10
196:23	practice (1)	163:21 177:4,6,12	provides (1)
plea (1)	176:20	179:8 180:4 182:21	166:15
15:15	prefer (5)	182:24 211:13,21	providing (2)
please (25)	35:24,25 37:10 38:5	211:24 212:2 213:4	91:18 99:22
4:3,14 14:6 17:13	115:7	213:21,24 214:4,5,9	Public (4)
27:10,14 30:15	preference (1)	produce (1)	1:24 4:10 215:19
	l	l	

	1	1	
218:7	73:22 76:19 77:22	raised (2)	158:20 162:14
pull (2)	78:11,18 80:16,21	12:17,18	205:2
49:20 88:20	85:14 87:20 88:18	ran (1)	reasonable (4)
pulls (1)	88:21 89:16 92:9	178:14	27:19 28:5 66:14,15
64:15	93:10 97:25 99:2	range (4)	recall (16)
Pune (2)	102:12 103:3,10	70:19 153:3 181:20	39:25 40:4,8 41:16
46:20,22	104:17 106:8 107:6	187:3	104:2,9,10,11,19
purchase (1)	107:9 114:14	read (71)	128:3 181:18,23
168:2	117:22 121:25	5:8 30:14,22 32:6	182:4 187:18
purchased (1)	122:14 128:14,17	53:21,24 54:2,7,15	208:23 214:19
170:15	132:25 134:16,22	54:16,18,19,20,21	receive (2)
purpose (2)	136:14 137:3	54:25 55:2,8 56:17	168:14 169:15
7:15 92:4	138:17 139:4,18	60:21 61:7,10,23	received (4)
purposes (2)	140:14 141:10,25	63:19 64:22 73:6	12:8 13:15,16 167:22
38:15,15	142:3 155:24	82:22 85:3,11,16,19	receives (1)
pursuant (5)	158:12 159:25	85:23,24 86:3,7,12	170:13
1:20 5:20 20:13 21:5	161:2,6,8 173:5,23	86:13 87:3,5 88:5	recess (4)
23:16	174:16 177:2	89:8 93:8 95:19,21	84:10 145:7 192:16
pushy (1)	183:20,23 197:16	95:25 106:3 119:16	200:2
15:10	204:17 206:15	120:7 126:17	recognize (1)
put (14)	209:16,24 210:10	130:21 135:17	207:4
12:7,13 38:25 55:10	210:21	144:23 152:12	record (42)
57:20 67:5 102:14	questioning (3)	160:12,13,15,21	4:15 7:20 8:18,20,20
139:5 143:15 147:9	36:11 82:25 158:24	166:13 167:21	10:2,5 12:7 16:15
166:4 171:14,14	questions (12)	176:7,16,24 178:4,5	16:17 17:8,9 18:16
178:20	19:9,18 21:3 22:10	180:7,13,14,15,22	25:21 27:9 60:10
puts (1)	23:10 27:14 58:3	180:25 199:12	60:12,13 61:12
64:2	86:2 200:7 206:8	201:2	84:13 100:22
putting (3)	206:13 213:16	reader (2)	103:22 125:8,11
59:4,4 82:19	quick (3)	50:5,22	126:5 131:4,11
P-I-N-P-O-I-N-T (1)	84:4,6,7	reading (5)	138:13 140:8
56:9	quickly (1)	41:13 61:4 64:24	145:15,18 166:2
p.m (2)	214:23	120:23 179:19	176:8 192:15,18
1:16 215:9	quite (3)	reads (13)	199:24 200:4
	13:2 59:8 84:23	62:6,6,17 63:13,15	208:15 213:23
Q	quote (7)	63:16,21,25 64:4,6	214:3 215:8 218:12
queries (1)	99:16 120:8,15	64:7,12 178:17	recording (1)
64:14	123:20,24 124:5,10	ready (3)	145:13
query (2)	quoted (6)	7:25 89:14 134:18	records (102)
64:17,18	83:24 90:8,11 121:22	real (2)	99:18 100:7 101:6,14
question (82)	124:3 165:24	63:4 84:4	101:23 103:7 104:7
8:8 15:8 23:13 26:11	quotes (2)	really (10)	104:14,21 116:6,14
26:25 27:8,11,17,20	166:3,5	6:8 12:19 22:24 63:2	116:21 118:4,11
28:3,6 30:20 40:8		70:12 86:9 90:9	120:9,12 121:18
40:20,23 42:24	R	145:23 214:19,23	122:4,7 123:10
45:8 61:25 62:16	R (4)	reason (6)	125:3,13,20 126:7,9
65:21 66:15 71:19	2:2 3:2 4:8 218:2	19:20 34:13 158:13	127:4,7,10,12 128:5
	<u> </u>		

128:12,19,20 129:3	33:22 34:2 74:13	174:10	53:13,18 58:6 66:18
129:8,10,17,19,21	153:12 157:19	report (1)	66:19 71:8 72:4
130:6 131:15,22,24	170:17	214:13	responsibility (1)
132:11,21 133:5,11	regardless (3)	reporter (8)	53:20
135:22,23,25 136:2	75:9 76:3 78:5	4:7 27:9 72:24 84:19	responsive (3)
136:8,10,12,17,19	regular (6)	105:21 146:6 159:9	31:23 32:15,20
136:20,23,25 137:4	120:11 121:20 122:6	196:25	rest (2)
137:5,13,16,20,25	126:8,11 131:23	reporter's (1)	111:21 112:18
138:7,11,14,18	related (4)	26:21	restate (1)
139:6,6,9,13,14,17	36:9 83:20 123:22	represent (5)	173:5
139:20 140:5,10,15	218:14	87:10,13 202:3,13	restraining (2)
141:2,2,4,12,18	relates (1)	206:21	153:4 154:23
142:13,17,22	188:17	representation (2)	restraint (1)
143:17,21,25,25	relating (1)	192:2,3	163:6
144:6,8,19 148:20	126:15	represented (1)	retail (10)
149:12 205:25	relationship (2)	201:10	112:13,21 114:6
207:18,22 208:2,6	147:13 214:25	representing (5)	144:17 178:5
208:21	relativity (1)	12:5 13:4 20:2 21:11	180:12,13 188:3,10
refer (1)	121:5	211:8	188:23
208:7	relevant (1)	request (4)	retain (1)
reference (6)	166:16	11:5,11 18:23 19:3	36:25
120:20,22 121:12	relief (5)	requested (1)	retained (2)
137:8 189:22	11:5,12,13 18:23	11:13	34:6 216:23
191:17	19:4	required (2)	retrieves (1)
referenced (2)	remember (41)	26:10,14	14:7
191:2 205:21	28:24 41:2,17 44:7	reserve (4)	returns (1)
references (9)	44:24 48:5 52:10	18:18 21:25 22:5	149:25
87:11 190:12,22,24	52:25 53:4,8,12	24:19	review (3)
191:3,7,10,21,22	70:12,13 72:14	reserved (1)	41:6 86:22 145:21
referencing (1)	74:23,23,25 75:20	3:21	reviewing (2)
137:10	76:7 79:21 80:3,9,9	reserving (2)	93:14 176:20
referring (3)	90:10 116:2,12	22:7 145:20	Richard (3)
28:11 108:15 131:20	117:16 118:13	reside (1)	1:24 218:7,23
refers (2)	127:25 128:2 147:8	4:18	right (115)
23:15 107:16	148:24 164:18	resolve (1)	6:12 7:17 13:23 14:9
reflected (8)	187:25 190:25	10:3	15:20 16:7 18:18
132:3 136:3,21	191:12 208:8	resolved (2)	19:15,24 21:25
138:15,20 140:22	212:21,22 213:9	19:15 22:8	22:5,7 23:18 24:19
144:2,11	214:15	resolves (1)	25:4 26:17 28:10
refresh (2)	repeat (9)	25:5	29:5,17,19 32:17
146:25 147:3	27:22 73:22 78:18	respectfully (1)	34:19 35:17 38:14
refused (1)	80:21 97:10 118:6	20:24	45:4 47:9 48:9,11
179:16	128:14 158:11	respective (2)	50:16 51:13 52:23
regard (4)	209:16	1:20 3:5	53:6 56:23 57:9
12:10,11 91:15	rephrase (7)	response (2)	59:9,16,19 63:11
211:13	27:15,18 28:4 88:21	4:24 94:8	64:10 67:9 70:22
regarding (6)	104:17 173:17	responsibilities (7)	74:9 77:12 78:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

82:21 85:10 87:18	run (1)	146:23 153:3	182:20 184:6
94:12 95:24 96:17	204:10	156:21 160:10,11	SDNY (2)
100:7 109:2,16	running (2)	166:10 176:12	11:18 14:4
111:12 112:7 117:6	34:16 149:16	190:17,20 191:14	sealing (1)
122:21,23 133:4		scan (2)	3:6
137:24 138:16	S	50:10 162:12	search (10)
140:17 141:21	S (7)	Scanlon (3)	30:23 31:22,22 32:9
145:4,16,20,22	1:7,14 2:2,18 3:2,2	9:21,25 16:5	32:15 36:18 49:19
146:22 147:10	216:2	Scanlon's (1)	50:23 51:11 178:15
150:3,14,16 151:2	sale (4)	25:22	searchable (1)
151:17 154:17	170:14 172:22	scanned (3)	51:9
156:17 161:7	173:24 197:8	50:21 162:6,13	searched (7)
162:16 163:15	sales (1)	scanners (1)	36:8,14,15 40:4,5,10
165:13 169:11	173:24	68:4	51:11
170:7 171:7 174:15	salons (1)	Scher (75)	Sears (2)
179:4 181:5 182:5	47:24	2:22 6:15,18 7:19 8:7	205:22 206:2
182:9,11,17 184:22	sample (1)	8:10,21 27:21 28:7	seats (1)
185:3 186:5,6,16	170:15	29:8 30:19 31:11	206:11
187:9,21 188:3	Samserv (16)	36:7 37:7 57:20	second (6)
190:6,18 191:18	2:16 20:3 156:20	71:18 74:18 77:4	90:19 102:25 165:23
192:12 194:9	157:7,19 158:6	78:8 79:8,24 81:6	171:15 192:15
196:11,17 199:16	159:13 160:2	83:22 88:4 89:24	206:14
202:2,12,16 204:14	161:12 163:19	90:22,24 91:3,12	SECRETARY (18)
205:11 206:4,5,17	211:9,12,20 212:7	95:5,7 97:4 98:22	10:6,13 11:14 12:21
207:25	213:22 215:2	99:20 100:24 107:8	12:25 13:7,20,25
RNIS (1)	Samserv's (2)	119:23 120:18	14:15,18,23,25 15:3
154:20	155:21 165:4	121:3,8,10 122:11	15:13,22,24 16:10
rnis.exe (1)	saw (1)	123:15 124:7	16:21
155:2	41:4	129:20 132:5,15	security (3)
role (1)	saying (24)	134:18,21 142:8,12	38:15 39:5 69:25
208:21	24:22 37:19 60:16	145:17 147:6 148:5	see (42)
room (2)	81:20 88:9 101:19	148:10 151:12,15	10:9 20:5,23 31:13
31:12 200:25	107:13 108:22	151:18,21 160:13	35:21,23 36:12
rough (3)	112:22 124:7 132:9	160:23,25 161:5	37:5 48:2 60:25,25
66:7 68:16 70:18	132:17,18 137:12	167:17 171:8,12	77:7 87:7 90:21
roughly (14)	137:15 139:2 142:8	174:25 183:20,23	93:16 115:25
44:22 48:7 56:24	144:22 153:10	185:21 197:19	116:10 121:6,7,11
65:3,7 66:3 68:7,25	160:11 172:18	199:8 202:3,13	122:18,20,21
69:6,11,15 70:2,6	176:14 177:18	215:6	123:25 124:3
70:16	213:3	school (1)	128:25 129:22
rule (1)	says (27)	42:9	132:19 135:4,6,9
17:12	26:13 87:8,22,25	Science (1)	144:14 146:11
rules (2)	89:18,19 96:18	42:4	160:10 163:21
1:21 87:9	97:17 102:8 105:2	scowling (1)	165:13,14 175:20
ruling (6)	112:21 125:24	26:22	179:18 182:18
10:16 15:6,25 16:20	131:21,24 136:25	screen (5)	183:19 202:18
25:16,24	136:25 144:17	49:24 174:23 177:22	seek (2)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			_
6:5 40:6	157:20,21 159:5,13	179:8,9,16,18	45:16 130:24
seen (1)	160:4,6,18 161:9,9	182:22 186:19	133:19 139:19
118:23	162:7,22 163:18,19	188:18	143:16 146:9 176:5
seller (1)	211:13,20,23,24,25	signature (1)	178:20 180:20,24
170:16	213:4 216:18	106:12	sit (2)
sellers (1)	serving (6)	signed (53)	46:6 86:25
167:25	95:25 96:2 163:19,21	3:9,11,14 77:25	sitting (1)
send (1)	213:24 214:4	79:11,13 81:11	118:25
84:3	set (6)	83:17 96:23 97:14	situation (2)
sense (4)	13:10 19:9 55:14	97:16,20 98:2,12	56:20 181:4
46:5 63:7 69:23	162:16 218:11,18	100:4,5,11,18,19	situational (1)
174:17	sets (3)	101:3,9,11,20 103:4	82:11
sent (1)	19:18 22:9 30:11	104:5 105:25	size (1)
174:4	seven (12)	106:11 113:11	34:15
sentence (39)	86:2,6 87:7,23 88:10	115:16,19 116:15	slightly (2)
88:24 93:24,24 94:10	89:17 92:14 93:14	116:19 117:11,25	12:6 77:21
94:19 95:12 96:18	94:11 164:17	118:8,17 121:16	slow (1)
98:18 99:8,13	165:19 206:7	122:2,16,19 123:11	27:24
119:25 120:2,16,23	shaking (1)	125:16 130:4,25	small (1)
120:24 122:15	27:3	131:9 141:7 179:4	147:18
123:12,13 125:22	shareholder (1)	179:24 184:4 185:2	SMITH (1)
131:13,13,18,19	147:19	185:6 189:23	2:10
153:2 166:10,11	short (3)	194:17	software (14)
167:9,10 168:9,10	84:10 192:16 200:2	significant (6)	42:16,18,22 47:18,22
169:9 170:9,10	shorthand (1)	58:9,16 66:20,24,25	47:24 50:12 59:21
172:8,9 174:14	109:11	67:4	59:25 65:5 66:2
176:14,16,24	show (3)	significantly (2)	150:25 167:14
seriously (1)	36:2 49:18 162:25	58:15 69:10	195:16
134:12	showed (1)	signing (5)	softwares (1)
serve (2)	49:23	79:18 104:11,19	155:9
157:12 208:15	showing (5)	177:5,6	sold (5)
served (9)	73:2 84:21 105:23	signings (3)	44:2 187:6 190:20
5:6,21,24 7:8 18:22	159:11 197:3	78:7 79:4,5	191:15,16
20:7,9 24:23	sic (26)	Similar (1)	solid (3)
156:21	52:11 72:13 89:3	55:11	68:10,12,14
server (9)	94:23,25 95:15,17	simplification (2)	soon (1)
68:2,3 69:9,20	120:10 123:22,24	193:22,25	11:9
156:20 157:20	125:18 126:5,9,15	simultaneous (1)	sorry (19)
161:10 214:4,9	126:18 146:25	23:9	12:25 21:10 40:10
servers (3)	153:23 167:12,13	simultaneously (2)	43:17 51:6 71:20
68:7 163:21 213:21	167:15 168:5,14	20:21 21:20	73:22 77:10 86:16
serves (6)	170:14 176:21,22	single (3)	95:18 97:9 103:16
95:16,16,22 96:4,7	191:14	18:12 22:2 144:20	131:19 140:8,9
97:11	sign (18)	singular (1)	167:18,19 191:9
service (27)	74:15 78:3,21 98:5,9	143:6	207:9
3:15 51:18 102:6	100:15 116:3	sir (14)	sort (8)
156:2 157:6,12,13	174:18,20,23 178:7	4:23 8:17 26:2,4	15:16 50:22 55:15
		l	

106:13 158:5	65:17 148:18 149:3	218:4,8	strategy (1)
167:14 194:3,4	185:22,25 198:8,14	stated (7)	153:6
source (4)	209:22	88:2 89:17,20 92:13	Street (5)
194:10,13,15 195:18	speculative (1)	100:20 121:5	1:22 2:6,17 4:19 57:7
Southern (3)	168:22	122:15	stretch (1)
1:2 10:23 14:16	spell (1)	statement (8)	62:3
spa (1)	48:25	166:18 167:3 168:3	strike (11)
48:12	spelled (1)	168:17 170:18	80:24 92:11 109:4
space (1)	167:23	187:20 195:9	124:14 129:4
34:16	spend (7)	207:16	141:24 150:4
spas (2)	57:14 58:18,19 65:24	statements (8)	163:16 171:3
47:12,25	69:15 70:4 182:12	91:16 120:24 152:19	192:23 199:19
speak (6)	spent (4)	170:24,25 171:7,18	string (21)
21:22 202:22 208:20	67:15 69:12 72:2,8	183:5	113:7,8,9,10 114:22
209:4,7 212:6	spit (1)	states (4)	115:6,8,8,10,12,13
speaking (24)	54:5	1:2,9 120:6,8	115:14,14,18,23
48:7 56:24 57:11	spoke (2)	stating (1)	116:14,20 118:16
58:12 59:20,24	197:6 212:11	145:18	133:23 194:5,6
65:3,7,23 66:3	spread (2)	step (4)	strings (1)
67:16 68:5,7,25	181:7,14	31:24 32:23 35:23	192:4
69:6,12,15 70:3,6	spreadsheet (4)	51:21	stuck (2)
81:24 82:4 119:18	191:25 192:5,9 197:9	steps (4)	178:22,24
150:19 202:21	SS (1)	80:10 200:9,15 201:6	stuff (4)
specific (34)	218:4	stipulate (5)	15:17 150:17,18
12:12 74:3 75:5 92:9	stack (1)	13:8 18:17 20:16	179:2
99:21 104:3 106:16	174:19	21:18 23:14	submitted (3)
106:23 107:15,17	stand (1)	STIPULATED (2)	89:2 94:3,23
107:17 109:6,7,8	6:25	3:4,19	subpoena (17)
128:17,18 132:25	standard (1)	stipulating (1)	7:9 12:16 23:16
134:16 136:9,11,13	176:20	87:16	30:11 31:24 32:5
136:14 138:3,5	stands (2)	stipulation (6)	32:12,14,14,21
139:24 156:8	141:2 154:21	12:14 20:25 22:20	153:5 154:24
173:23 188:16,17	start (10)	23:5 80:16 87:15	200:11 201:14
189:14 190:5	6:20 26:3 43:9 87:6	stipulations (1)	202:23 216:7,8
191:18 211:17	91:3 113:17 145:11	12:10	subpoenaed (4)
214:11	151:12,12 206:13	<b>stop</b> (1)	12:9 24:17 38:17
specifically (11)	started (10)	91:4	200:17
40:23 51:8 102:19	32:12 43:5,7,10,13	stopped (1)	subpoenas (22)
128:3 138:7,11	45:18,19 46:5	53:15	4:5,25 5:4,21,24 8:13
140:16,19 189:4,15	50:18 53:15	storage (1)	8:14 9:2,7,12 20:7
189:21	starting (1)	200:19	20:14 21:5 24:22
speculate (3)	53:21	stored (1)	30:5 32:16 53:22
35:16 144:24 149:21	starts (1)	214:7	53:23 54:6 55:4,22
speculating (5)	176:13	stores (3)	153:22
119:10 139:2 153:10	state (9)	62:10,11,20	subpoena's (1)
172:14 176:3	1:25 4:10,14 122:3	straightaway (1)	8:5
speculation (8)	126:6 127:5 153:4	177:8	Subscribed (1)
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

215:16	33:22 34:2 36:9	105:1 106:1 107:1	86:7 93:3 106:4
succeed (1)	40:2,6 41:7 84:16	108:1 109:1 110:1	107:20 108:25
141:15	85:15 87:12 119:5	111:1 112:1 113:1	109:5 112:8 126:25
sue (3)	171:9 178:25	114:1 115:1 116:1	134:12 135:11,22
171:5,5 173:9	201:10 204:11,12	117:1 118:1 119:1	146:8 159:14
sued (1)	216:12	120:1 121:1 122:1	182:21,25 197:14
172:23	system (20)	123:1 124:1 125:1	200:15 201:6
sues (3)	53:10 55:15 59:12	126:1 127:1 128:1	213:17
171:21 173:4,25	60:9,11,13,14 61:12	129:1 130:1 131:1	taken (8)
sufficient (1)	71:4,7 106:15,22	132:1 133:1 134:1	1:19 20:13,20 28:14
37:16	128:7 155:19	135:1 136:1 137:1	84:11 145:7 192:17
suit (1)	161:13 183:9	138:1 139:1 140:1	200:3
101:24	192:19 193:20	141:1 142:1 143:1	talk (1)
suite (3)	195:17 203:4	144:1 145:1 146:1	6:4
2:17,21 145:10	systems (2)	147:1 148:1 149:1	talked (6)
summarize (1)	72:2 183:16	150:1 151:1 152:1	69:3,8 162:15 199:14
213:2	S-Y-K-E-S (1)	153:1 154:1 155:1	200:8 206:25
summons (1)	28:19	156:1 157:1 158:1	talking (16)
160:10		159:1 160:1 161:1	60:22 91:3 99:21
supported (1)	T	162:1 163:1 164:1	108:2,3 111:10
91:16	T (221)	165:1 166:1 167:1	133:19 144:18
sure (37)	3:2,2 4:1,8 5:1 6:1	168:1 169:1 170:1	153:16 169:7 171:8
5:12 6:3 11:15 12:19	7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	171:1 172:1 173:1	171:10 189:21
15:22 20:18 22:24	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1	174:1 175:1 176:1	193:3,11,12
23:18 27:23 48:5	15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1	177:1 178:1 179:1	task (2)
52:15 57:12 69:23	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1	180:1 181:1 182:1	55:7 58:24
78:20 85:7 88:7	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1	183:1 184:1 185:1	tasks (2)
90:10 105:6 112:2	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	186:1 187:1 188:1	58:12 67:12
118:7,20 119:3	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1	189:1 190:1 191:1	taught (3)
140:15 145:19	35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1	192:1 193:1 194:1	42:19 44:8 46:4
147:9 148:6,11	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	tax (1)
155:25 158:13	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	149:25
160:12 168:5	47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1	201:1 202:1 203:1	taxes (1)
177:20 179:23	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	204:1 205:1 206:1	110:14
196:16 197:18	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	207:1 208:1 209:1	tech (7)
200:6 209:17	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	59:9 164:15,20,24
swear (3)	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	165:4 203:23,24
77:11 100:12 134:9	67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	216:1,2 217:1	technical (7)
swearing (1)	71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	218:1,2,2	54:8,12,14 113:5,8
100:16	75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1	Taiwan (3)	114:24 115:4
swore (1)	79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1	44:5,6,14	Technologies (11)
139:13	83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1	take (39)	146:24 147:11,14,17
sworn (4)	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	5:9 15:9,15 17:8,15	147:21 149:6,10,20
3:9 4:9 215:16	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1	19:8 27:25 30:8,15	150:4,19 151:3
218:11	95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1	32:7 34:17 35:22	technology (3)
Sykes (20)	99:1 100:1 101:1	55:10 65:8,21	95:15 96:4 152:5
28:19 29:8,9 31:15	102:1 103:1 104:1	80:11 84:5,7,24,25	telephone (7)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

9:19 10:11 15:25	4:24 73:5 141:8	151:5,6 156:4	183:17 188:24,25
16:3 25:16 129:14	testimony (13)	162:10 167:21	189:10 197:14
186:7	13:9 41:10 61:23	168:25 185:22	200:24 213:21
tell (20)	119:4,17,17 156:4	193:10 199:25	214:4
9:14 34:16 68:21	175:21,23,25 189:9	202:7 207:9	times (12)
70:7 88:22 90:3	199:12 218:13	third (1)	28:16,19 104:11
97:15 98:25 104:24	text (14)	144:16	125:6 137:22,23,24
114:3 129:11 169:5	50:5,11,14,23 51:3,9	thought (4)	137:25 139:14,17
172:4 177:5,10	51:12 82:18 106:15	14:10 18:11 66:17	156:3 170:3
179:7,12 180:3	113:4,6 115:13,14	102:14	timestamp (1)
187:18 213:2	133:6	thousand (1)	123:2
telling (2)	texts (1)	52:9	title (27)
37:15 61:2	107:16	three (8)	53:6 71:5 88:14 96:7
template (22)	tfabacher@digital	29:3 30:11,24,25	125:15 128:18
81:3,10 82:8,10,18	33:10	126:24 137:22	170:23 172:18
82:24 83:2,5 90:4,5	Tfabacher@gmail	187:4 193:4	173:18 174:2
90:6,7,9,11,12,15	33:3	three-year (2)	180:16 186:16,17
90:16 92:10,13,17	thank (14)	82:7,9	186:23 187:5,22
93:13,22	4:21 15:21 25:13	ticket (1)	188:2 189:20 190:2
templates (1)	26:4 29:25 39:24	44:4	190:3,5,12,15,17
81:17	41:18 67:11 80:20	ticking (1)	191:13,17 197:23
ten (6)	152:2 196:11,17	6:18	titles (1)
68:11 70:13,14	201:22 214:20	tied (1)	183:6
181:24,24 187:24	they'd (1)	189:15	today (8)
term (19)	18:20	time (83)	35:18 41:2 118:25
22:14 23:17 54:8,11	thing (12)	1:16 3:21 4:22 5:9	119:21 200:18
54:12,13,14 55:12	26:20 66:2 71:10	6:14 7:5,21 18:4	202:3,14 206:25
73:23 90:16 92:14	79:23 85:5,12 86:3	19:11 22:11 25:11	<b>Todd</b> (11)
93:13 94:12,16	86:8 162:16 177:24	26:4 27:25 30:8,15	1:19 2:21 4:16 10:21
114:17 115:4	200:11 201:15	32:7 42:20 50:13	18:3 29:21 95:14
135:23,25 188:6	things (6)	51:21,25 57:14,17	96:2 146:3 215:13
terms (13)	67:25 90:4,25 145:18	57:18,20 58:15,18	216:16
42:12 43:16 46:11	192:11 212:21	58:19,21,23,24 65:8	told (1)
47:21 49:7 64:20	think (48)	65:21,23 66:21	70:11
64:21 100:14	5:19 12:13 13:7 15:9	67:6,8,15 68:6 69:6	tons (1)
116:13 119:6,14	19:21 23:20,20	69:11,19 70:3 72:2	102:10
160:3 193:21	25:5 35:13 39:21	72:8,14 81:6,8 82:6	top (1)
testified (6)	40:19,19 45:2	84:24 86:7 88:22	67:20
4:11 36:7 65:12	52:12 53:9 56:13	93:3 106:4 107:20	topics (1)
66:17 99:12 100:5	59:6 63:20 65:18	108:25 109:6	212:7
testify (16)	65:20 77:4 80:5	119:12 122:25	total (1)
8:2 30:5 37:12,17	83:22 91:7 95:18	123:10 127:2	178:17
66:9 68:20 75:3	96:17 99:11 104:3	135:11,22 154:13	totally (1)
76:25 77:9,19	114:23 119:13,19	154:16 160:6	20:23
91:14 116:9 135:5	121:23 133:18	163:22 175:18	tough (1)
143:7,11,15	137:14 142:15	178:8,11,14,22,24	15:14
testifying (3)	148:15 150:15	179:13,14,17	training (1)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

42:11	62:2 64:5 90:13	T-O-D-D (1)	unnecessary (6)
transcript (16)	97:23 105:9 107:2	4:16	12:14 13:8 20:5,24
18:13,20,25 19:7	114:25 128:15		22:23 23:21
22:3 23:11,15 24:7	134:4 136:11	U	unsigned (1)
24:13 40:2 41:4,7	137:18,18 139:12	U (1)	3:13
92:5 145:21 201:11	141:3 142:18,19	3:2	untrue (1)
213:20	143:12 144:15,25	<b>Uh-huh</b> (1)	172:15
transferred (9)	155:25 157:3	146:15	USAID (1)
128:8 141:20 150:10	160:14 169:21	ultimately (1)	205:12
173:9 197:22 203:8	176:4,5 182:18	187:7	use (56)
203:14,17 204:19	199:13	Umm (1)	6:5,22 7:14 11:3,7,21
traveling (3)	turn (6)	14:25	11:24 12:2,11,12
44:16,17,19	30:6 50:11 60:23	unable (1)	18:12,24 19:6
trial (1)	62:2 205:14 206:23	32:19	20:16 21:18 22:2
3:21	turned (1)	underlying (1)	22:21 23:17 24:5,7
tried (1)	29:18	166:15	24:9,13 33:5,8,13
90:13	twice (4)	underneath (1)	34:14,21 42:12
trouble (2)	19:9 28:17 69:14	115:17	63:2,21,24 70:24
143:11,16	137:20	understand (28)	73:23 74:3 82:23
true (45)	two (32)	9:5 11:15,15 24:6	83:2 90:5 92:12,16
32:4 80:13 88:2,23	4:25 13:15,17 19:18	26:11,13 27:13	93:12 94:11 104:13
89:5,21 90:2 92:18	20:14 21:5 22:9,17	28:12 31:5 54:19	113:5 114:21,21
99:9 100:13,16,22	23:6 24:22 29:7,10	62:16 65:2 93:9	115:7 136:16 137:4
116:8 120:2,16,24	29:11,12,14,18	94:17 102:22 107:3	137:19,20,21
122:8 123:13	33:17 52:9 62:14	109:8 115:3 137:3	139:12,20 140:15
146:18 152:20	62:24 113:14,16	138:17 148:13	144:8 151:5
166:11,18 167:3,10	116:11 117:6 124:5	156:25 160:15,18	user (4)
168:3,6,10,17	135:15 139:21,24	160:24 169:10	39:12,14,16 63:4
169:14 170:10,18	142:25 155:8	183:8 207:21	uses (5)
170:20 171:19,23	207:11 212:21	understanding (14)	63:14 74:12 151:3
171:25 172:5,9	type (10)	18:15 22:4 36:24	167:13 168:6
176:15 184:7,9	46:8 55:21 66:2	37:3 58:5 123:8	usually (1)
189:16 194:17,21	108:13 195:5 196:3	127:17 130:3	181:13
195:9 218:12	197:10,20 213:22	156:19 163:14	utilities (2)
truth (2)	214:2	189:9,12 191:2	56:13,15
90:2,2	typed (4)	214:6	U.S (1)
truthful (1)	112:7 156:5 157:7	understood (3)	9:21
41:15	196:9	8:3 27:20 28:6	
truthfulness (1)	types (2)	uniform (7)	V
91:15	81:25 82:14	89:5,6 94:5,6,12,15	vacate (2)
try (7)	typical (2)	94:16	162:19 163:2
27:10 31:6 141:13,16	181:4 183:17	UNITED (2)	valid (1)
141:17 162:18	typically (13)	1:2,9	24:24
206:13	168:13,21,25 169:5,8	universal (1)	validate (1)
trying (33)	169:11,23 180:18	189:11	180:11
19:5 31:17 36:5	180:20,23 182:12	University (1)	value (1)
39:18 40:18 45:2	186:18,21	41:23	137:14
		<u> </u>	l

waried (4)	5.10 6.2 6 9 25 7.6	172:16 175:6 186:3	12.0 14.0 19.2 14
varied (4)	5:12 6:3,6,8,25 7:6		12:9 14:9 18:3,14
71:16,16 72:9,11	11:21,25 18:18	186:10 187:11	20:8,9 21:4 24:23
varies (3)	19:19,22 21:24	188:22 189:3 190:2	29:9 71:20 84:3,7
65:9,11 181:19	22:5 34:20 37:17	190:10 191:12	91:5,10 95:6,9,20
varieties (1)	37:17,25 39:2 43:4	194:21 195:25	105:9 121:9,11
167:2	57:20 64:5 68:19	196:12 200:8	124:9 134:20,23
various (3)	77:2,16,19 84:5,24	204:15,21 218:16	145:14,20 161:3
102:9 167:13,25	86:3 90:22 91:11	website (2)	183:21 206:17
vary (1)	92:20,21 102:3	38:3,4	215:3,10 218:10,13
180:17	107:7,10 111:24	Webster (1)	218:18
vast (2)	117:2 120:21	139:10	wondering (2)
171:19 184:19	129:13 133:19,20	week (6)	162:23 188:9
Vera (2)	143:6 156:10,15	175:21 179:5 181:6,8	Woodbury (1)
9:20 16:4	160:19,21 203:3	181:15 182:8	2:22
verbatim (1)	206:23	went (4)	word (45)
166:9	wanted (7)	43:24,25 46:19 66:12	22:14 24:5 50:8
verify (2)	47:13,23 118:15	West (1)	66:23 74:13 75:8
71:12 112:10	145:19 163:17,20	4:19	75:10 82:24 90:4,5
version (1)	205:4	we'll (4)	90:6,9,10 92:10,12
56:18	wants (1)	6:4 109:15 111:16	92:16 93:21 97:18
versus (8)	47:12	113:5	97:24 98:3 110:9
10:19,24 16:14,24	warranties (5)	we're (13)	112:23,24 113:5,9
17:7 18:10 106:10	170:16 172:21 173:7	8:19,20 9:25 14:24	114:19,22 115:3,8,9
207:8	173:10 174:2	16:15 19:16 21:4	135:3 136:10,16
video (1)	warranty (4)	24:12 86:19 110:18	137:4,25 139:6,13
145:13	173:2,3,20,21	145:17 149:15	139:16,16,20 143:3
VIDEOTAPED (1)	wasn't (12)	199:25	144:8 169:10,21
1:18	49:22 50:24 51:4	we've (2)	171:17
voiced (1)	114:19 157:24	133:4,8	words (14)
24:25	158:2 176:5 178:25	WHEREOF (1)	50:23 76:12 78:4,24
VOLUCK (1)	212:23,25 214:18	218:18	82:7 96:25 98:6,14
2:20	215:4	whichever (1)	104:12 115:16
volunteer (2)	waste (5)	100:25	133:5 137:19 138:6
205:13,14	6:13 7:4 19:10,17	wife (1)	139:5
vs (8)	22:11	45:13	work (26)
72:21 84:16 105:18	water (1)	William (2)	43:12,24 45:25 51:14
159:6 216:11,12,15	62:4	2:16 211:9	52:24 65:25 66:2
216:18	way (43)	willing (3)	67:8,14 69:2 70:25
	13:11 38:23 39:2	7:25 38:16 39:6	124:13,15,20,22,23
W	41:3 49:19 53:14	wiring (1)	127:13 147:17
wait (3)	58:9,14 61:5 63:23	68:3	152:12,24 164:8,9
27:10 183:20,23	66:20 67:2,4 87:22	withdraw (2)	164:15,24 189:3
waived (1)	87:23 111:6 112:24	25:23 176:25	205:20
3:8	141:13 150:21	witness (46)	worked (21)
Wall (1)	157:7,23 161:25	1:19 2:20 3:9,15,17	43:14 44:20 45:24
2:17	163:23 166:20	4:9 6:16 7:22 8:4,9	46:3 51:25 52:4
want (48)	168:20 170:21	8:22 10:21 11:17	53:18 66:21 67:7
	1	1	

69:19 71:9 77:24	151:22 152:6,9	1:13	14:13
79:7 81:9 119:12	154:15,20 156:14	10 (2)	18 (26)
147:4 175:2 202:7	158:22 164:21	68:21 128:2	2:11 12:22 13:4
209:5,8,18	166:14 174:12	10005 (1)	72:20 74:14 125:9
working (9)	179:23 186:15	2:17	125:11 127:22
45:19 53:15 59:2,3	195:7,14 198:16	10011 (1)	128:9,21 129:8
65:5,6 72:5 118:9	201:21 204:25	4:20	142:23 143:18
213:8	205:16 208:12	10017 (1)	159:5 191:16
world (3)	year (14)	2:12	206:22 207:10
47:13,14 205:13	34:7,17 35:11 44:20	105 (1)	208:17 209:2,8,25
wouldn't (5)	44:21,23 46:16	216:14	210:11,22,24
35:12 37:8 83:5	49:5 51:15 65:4,6	11 (1)	216:10,18
122:24 198:6	65:14,24 154:13	87:24	18th (2)
wrapping (1)	years (12)	11241 (2)	4:19 218:19
151:13	53:2 66:12 68:11	1:23 2:6	196 (1)
write (15)	70:13,14 118:24	11797 (1)	216:20
42:16,17,21 47:24	119:5,12 164:16	2:22	1989 (1)
50:4 61:9,10,15	181:24 187:24	12 (2)	43:21
62:9 95:3 150:24	203:12	169:7,7	1992 (1)
156:10,13,15	year's (1)	12:02 (1)	45:4
178:17	66:11	1:16	1995 (6)
writing (1)	York (25)	13 (22)	43:7,15,18 45:5,6,17
153:22	1:2,9,23,25 2:6,12,12	2:11 21:12 105:17	1996 (2)
wrong (3)	2:17,17,22 4:10,20	106:10 108:3 128:9	47:7 48:4
8:5 9:14 88:15	4:20 40:12 48:19	128:22 129:3,8	77.7 70.7
wrote (3)	48:21 49:4 89:4	142:23 143:18	2
50:4,11 51:11	94:4,24 126:6	206:21 207:7	2 (7)
30.4,11 31.11	127:5,5 218:4,8	208:16,24 209:5,11	1:12 2:11 4:6,25 5:6
X	Young (4)	209:12,18 210:5,17	30:4 216:8
X (6)	80:7 148:16,25 149:9	216:14	20 (13)
1:3,8,10,15 216:2	00.7 140.10,23 149.9	135 (1)	66:4 67:7,20,20,22
217:2	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	2:21	68:8,25 69:5 71:24
	zero (2)	14 (2)	125:6 183:12,14
Y	58:25 65:13	169:7 191:15	215:17
yeah (57)	ZIP (1)	14th (1)	2000 (5)
5:10 8:22 15:13	133:19	2:12	48:8,16 49:4 50:19
26:12 29:9 31:10		146 (1)	51:15
44:12,17 47:6,17	1	216:16	2001 (3)
48:10 51:7 52:15	1 (19)	15 (1)	52:6 146:11 147:2
57:8,22 58:4,22	1:5 2:11 3:16 4:6,25	127:25	2001-2012 (1)
59:5,18 67:22	5:6 30:4,10,25 31:8	159 (1)	147:5
69:23 70:20 75:6	74:8,13 124:15	216:17	2002 (6)
81:7 84:9 91:5	125:3 127:14,16,17		52:7,13,14 53:19,21
102:23 107:21	127:18 216:7	16 (2) 1:22 2:6	72:13
114:18,18,18	1:16-cv-03499-GB	16-CV-3499 (2)	201 (1)
146:21 147:7,7,9	1:6	14:17 16:25	2:21
148:16 149:14,24	1:16-cv-04737-WF	14:17 16:25 16-CV-4737 (1)	2011 (2)
,		10-C V -4/3/ (1)	
	•		•

33:16 146:25	350 (5)	216:16	
2012 (14)	175:21 176:7 179:5		
` '		62 (1)	
35:9,22 36:13 37:6	181:6 182:6	169:8	
37:14,20 38:10	4	7	
39:22 41:2 52:11		7 (8)	
53:3 119:21 146:25	4 (10)		
147:3	84:18,23 87:3 125:18	159:3,7,12 161:9,18	
2017 (2)	126:18 165:14	161:24 193:14	
1:16 218:19	216:7,8,12 217:5	216:17	
206 (1)	4:37 (1)	7E (1)	
217:6	215:9	4:20	
211 (1)	447 (1)	70 (9)	
217:7	4:19	70:17,21 71:25 182:6	
23 (5)	45 (2)	182:7,18 183:10,15	
74:9 124:16 125:4	196:22 216:21	183:18	
127:14,16	450 (1)	72 (1)	
26th (2)	2:12	216:10	
1:23 2:6			
	5	8	
3	5 (46)	8 (12)	
3 (55)	57:2 105:19,24 106:9	74:14 196:19,23	
72:22 73:3 80:12	106:16,23 109:24	197:4,10,19,20	
81:2,9,19 97:17	110:20 126:22,24	198:2,4 199:4,5	
106:16,22 109:24	127:2 128:22 129:7	216:20	
110:20 122:13	129:18 130:7,8	80 (1)	
124:10 125:17	131:14,17 132:4,13	65:13	
124:10 123:17	133:8,17 135:3,4,8	82 (1)	
128:22 129:4,7,18	136:5 138:3,8,21	2:17	
130:7,8 131:14,16	141:19 143:24	84 (1)	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	144:3,6,9,12 167:7	216:12	
132:4,12 133:7,17	167:8 193:15,18	210.12	
135:3,7 136:5,16,21	194:7,10,17 195:21	9	
137:6 138:2,7,20	206:24 207:9	9/11 (1)	
141:18 143:24	216:14	52:8	
144:2,6,9,12 193:5	50 (20)	90 (2)	
193:14,14,18 194:7	` ′	184:3,12	
194:10,10,16	45:10,12 58:24	95 (1)	
195:21 206:24	117:19,24 118:7	48:5	
207:8 216:10	174:21 175:12,15	96 (2)	
3/1/11 (1)	175:17,18 178:8,11	48:8,16	
87:8	178:13 179:9,13,16	*	
30 (5)	179:25 181:13	98 (2)	
3:15 70:17,20 71:24	182:3	184:19 185:9	
183:15	6	98.1 (1)	
300 (1)		185:10	
2:17	6 (8)		
347-308-4859 (1)	1:16 146:4,8,12,18		
13:24	158:14 191:15		